



The Role of Mediation for ESI Disputes

By Allison O. Skinner

Prior to and following the amendments to the *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure* regarding discovery of electronically stored information (ESI), e-discovery has been the frequent headline in courses, seminars and articles. Almost half of the states have already either adopted similar rules or are considering adopting them. Like it or not, e-discovery is here and here to stay. By now most litigators are familiar with the buzzwords: litigation hold, preservation, collection, processing, and archiving, to name a few. Litigators and their clients are also becoming familiar with all the different types of ESI, as well as the places where ESI can be found. Litigators are seeing the ESI request served in the most complex cases to the simple negligence case. The world of electronic discovery is exploding exponentially.

How does the introduction of e-discovery translate into the day-to-day management of a case? As with other legal disputes, one of the best tools for handling a case efficiently and without the risk of an unpredictable judge or jury is mediation.

Advantages

Mediation is no longer just for settlement purposes. The self-determination process can be critical in handling the uncontrollable, unlimited nature of ESI discovery. Mediating e-discovery allows for creative, mutual solutions among litigants that most likely will save the parties time and money in the long run. The informal mediation process creates a forum for the parties to:

- self-direct workable solutions,
- define scope parameters,
- determine relevancy,
- create timelines for production or e-depositions,
- propose confidential compromises,
- create efficiencies with a mutual discovery plan,
- set guidelines for asserting violations of the plan,
- create boundaries for preservation,
- avoid spoliation pitfalls,
- manage protection of privileged information,
- maintain credibility with the court,
- avoid court-imposed sanctions, and
- allocate costs.

Revised *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure* 26 and 34 allow for the discovery of electronically stored information that is “reasonably accessible.” To comply with the *Rules*, the litigator must be prepared in the meet-and-confer meeting to talk intelligently about what ESI is reasonably available and in what format it will be produced. Since the meet-and-confer must occur early in the case, the litigator must know what ESI his or her

client has control over that is reasonably accessible at the *outset* of the litigation. The client may not be prepared to respond to ESI requests at that early juncture, but must be made to understand the importance and potential impact of the requests and the responses.

The litigants must make a determination of what data is accessible. Data falls into three categories for this analysis. A spotlight illustration helps describe data and whether a court could consider such data as “reasonably accessible.”

- The “Green Light” data is the data the court will find reasonably accessible because it is data that is accessed daily or frequently.
- The “Yellow Light” data is the old or deleted data. The court will weigh factors to determine whether this type of data is discoverable or not.
- The “Red Light” data is the legacy data or disaster back-up tapes. Typically, this data is not reasonably accessible and will not be discoverable.

But, just like the car wreck at the intersection case, whether the light is green, yellow or red is the source of dispute. Courts look favorably upon parties who work together to determine practical solutions for production of ESI. *Malletier v. Dooney &*

Bourke, 2006 WL 3851151, *Self v. Equilon Enter.*, 2007 WL 427964, *Flexsys Americas v. Kuhmo Tire*, 2006 WL 3526794. During the discovery phase of the litigation, the parties can choose to mediate their discovery disputes. Parties who mediate their discovery are in control of the outcome of what is being requested and what is being produced and how. A mediation eliminates the time and cost associated with seeking the court’s intervention. In the end, the litigants that select mediation of discovery disputes build credibility with the court. Even if all discovery disputes are not reconciled at the mediation, the mediation affords the parties an opportunity to illuminate the key disputes to be presented to the court.

Often, discovery battles can result in an exchange of potentially inflammatory correspondence that may be used as an exhibit to a motion to compel or motion for protective order. Such correspondence could be damaging to the litigant’s credibility with the court. Mediating the e-discovery dispute allows the litigants to make proposals confidentially. Under Rule 11 of the *Alabama Civil Court Mediation Rules*, “all information disclosed in the course of a mediation, including oral, documentary or electronic information, shall be deemed confidential.” A confidential exchange of proposals on how to create a workable discovery plan increases the chances of reaching mutual solutions.


How to Prepare for Mediating the ESI Dispute

Be prepared to be specific about a particular request.

Often a request reads “produce any and all” of a particular type of information. In fairness to the requesting party, the requestor does not know what the opposing party possesses so using the catch-all phrase “any and all” protects the requesting party from self-eliminating potentially discoverable documents. Notwithstanding this position, the requesting party needs to be able to articulate what type of information the requesting party is genuinely looking for at the mediation. On the other hand, the responding party should not be able to hide behind an “any and all” request. Often, the responding party objects that an “any and all” request is overly burdensome. After all, how could any person or entity attest that *all* information was provided? If the requesting party can provide general terms of the information requested, then the responding party must respond in good faith. Specificity of a request is particularly important when asking for ESI. Specificity allows for more accurate search parameters, ensuring the requesting party is obtaining the appropriate material and the responding party is appropriately responding to the request.

Be prepared to articulate the importance of the requested information. Although ESI is new to the discovery scene, the issues of relevance and burden are not. In the old familiar way, relevance and burden must be balanced. A mediation allows the parties to strike this balance using workable solutions. Because the *Federal Rules* provide for a cost-shifting provision when information is not reasonably accessible, a mediation provides a forum for the requesting party to demonstrate the importance of the requested information, and in certain cases that such information is not available by other means. The requesting party may, in

Serving Our State & Nation



**Alabama Army
National Guard
JAG Corps**

Seeking qualified attorneys to join the Alabama Army National Guard JAG Corps in units throughout Alabama.

Applicants must:

- Be a Graduate of Accredited Law School
- Pass a physical
- After required school-training, attend monthly drills, 2-week annual training and possible deployment world-wide

Bonuses available

Send current resume to:
1LT Scott Massey
1000 Yeager Pkwy
Pelham, AL 35124

Or e-mail to: scott.massey1@us.army.mil

those cases, argue the cost-shifting provision should not apply, particularly if the responding party has the resources to handle the requested production. On the other hand, the mediation allows the responding party to articulate other means for obtaining the requested information, if applicable. Or, the responding party may explain the onerous financial burden such a request entails.

Be prepared to request and respond in the appropriate format. Parties may produce information in a different form from the original source if the parties agree. A native format contains metadata embedded in the electronic file. Metadata may disclose pertinent information that the responding party did not realize it was disclosing, *ie.*, the history of the document showing who edited the document and when. Metadata may include privileged information that would not otherwise be discoverable. However, a converted format such as a .tiff, .bmp, .jpeg or .pdf file does not readily contain metadata. This format is considered a digital image of the information. Either way, the requesting or responding parties must be prepared to provide a technical position for arguing why certain information should be produced in a particular format.

Be prepared to describe your client's technological capabilities (and possibly your firm's). Typically, the plaintiff is requesting ESI from a company. The attorneys for both sides need to appreciate their own firm's document management capabilities and whether electronic service of discoverable information to one another is compatible. In other words, how is the attorney going to receive, review/read, categorize, evaluate, and present the ESI? In the same vein, the company's attorney must understand what information is maintained in the ordinary course of business, how it is stored, how it can be retrieved, how it can be produced, and how much it will cost. For both sides, an information technology representative (IT) needs to participate in the mediation. In some cases, the plaintiff will have already deposed a corporate representative designated to provide information about the company's active data, metadata, databases, system data, deleted data, ghost data, legacy data, and/or backup tapes. In those circumstances, both parties are more knowledgeable about their respective position with respect

to the ESI. However, in the cases where "e-depositions" have not been taken, it is imperative that both parties bring or have available a representative who can assist with navigating the technical issues. It is not the mediator's role to make such determinations.

The Result of the ESI Mediation

The outcome of the mediation will be memorialized in a mediator's report signed by the parties. Whether the ESI mediation involved one request or hundreds of requests, the mediator should confirm the parties' agreements. Broadly speaking, one or all of these issues can be addressed during the mediation per discovery request: request number, type of data, accessible ability, format, search parameters, method of production, preservation, privilege issues, waiver, timing of production, cost burden, and control method.

Once these issues are determined, the parties will depart the mediation well-equipped with a discovery plan. Mediating the ESI dispute is an efficient, cost-saving method for managing litigation while preserving judicial economy and maintaining some degree of control over what data is actually produced. ▲▼▲



Allison O. Skinner is a full-time mediator at Sirote & Permutt. She received her B.A. at the University of Alabama, Phi Beta Kappa, and her J.D. from the University of Alabama School of Law. Skinner is registered as a trial, appellate and divorce mediator by the Alabama Center for Dispute Resolution. Prior to becoming a mediator, she handled complex litigation that included discovery disputes involving electronically stored information.

Helping you untangle conflict ...



Conflict is inevitable. Your clients, oftentimes with well-meaning intentions, find themselves deep into the fray of a dispute long before they seek counsel for solutions. Litigation results as a common response to "solve" the problem at hand. Resolution of a dispute does not always mean settlement. If a case is tried, the parties should be firm in their resolve that the courthouse forum, with all of the risk and uncertainty, is the best alternative after exhausting every effort to determine a more certain outcome. I'll try to help you untangle the knot binding your client in conflict.

Call me to mediate your case.

CHARLIE ANDERSON, Mediator

- 22 years' litigation experience in 38 Alabama counties
- Registered with the State Court Mediation Roster since 1994
- Mediation training completed at the Harvard Law School
- Ready to help you find resolution and to bring peace to adverse parties

Charles L. Anderson

7515 Halcyon Point Dr.
Montgomery, AL 36117
(334) 272-9880
www.andersonmediationservice.com