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The Alabama Lawyer
It is hard to realize that the Bar year 1983-84 is now half over. Time has become an avalanche. In the reflective pause of the holiday season one realizes that this Bar has much to be thankful for — much that it can be proud of. By the same token there is much to be done — many needs that must be met.

We can look with pride at an achievement undertaken a couple of years ago — Alabama’s Mandatory Continuing Legal Education Program (MCLE). An undertaking that now comes to the fullness of fruition as a result of a lot of dedicated work by a lot of our members plus an enthusiastic response and cooperation by the whole of the membership. After two years the MCLE program of the Alabama State Bar is a well received and proven success.

We can take pride in the fact that other Bars have studied and adopted much of what we have done in this area. Even the American Bar Association is following our lead in combining CLE with the annual meeting.

Camille Cook received the ALI-ABA Committee on Continuing Professional Education’s Award of Merit for her work in the program. ALI-ABA brought their committee into Alabama to meet for the first time ever. It’s a nice feeling to know that this Bar is a leader when it comes to putting new fields into production.

Our other needs don’t allow us to bask in glory long. We do not have a Client Security Fund. We need one — not often — but when the need is there it is a desperate need. There is no provision in the statute by which we are licensed that allows the Board of Commissioners to allocate a part of our dues (license fees) to be used to reimburse for lawyer dishonesty. The Client Security Fund Task Force has recommended the creation of a Security Fund if we can work out the funding. Proposed legislation that will make this recommendation a reality will be considered by the commissioners on their January 20th meeting.

And that brings us to another need — money. The Bar is currently pushing its financial resources to the limit. Our budget is what is basically necessary to keep the doors open and the bills paid. We need additional funds that will enable us to fund new programs that are being developed by our committees. Right now we have placed an economic damper on their enthusiasm. For instance, we need $2,000 to fund a “hot-line” for use in connection with an Alcohol and Drug Abuse Program. We don’t have it. That we don’t is holding up the work of that committee.

There are two ways to go about increasing our financial resources. About ten percent of our Bar does not pay dues. A lawyer does not pay dues for the first two years following his admission to the Bar. I question that we can continue this luxury. Legislation is being considered that will remove this moratorium.

Then we can look at the amount of our dues. The $100 per year has not kept pace with the cost of rendering the service or meeting the needs demanded by a rapidly expanding Bar. We need to look to legislation that will increase this amount.

Another problem with which we are faced is the continuing effort of the Federal Trade Commission to regulate lawyers and the delivery of legal services. Bills are presently pending in the U.S. Congress

(Continued on page 18)
The legal profession is unique in that it has the responsibility to enforce its own professional standards. There are constant criticisms of the way this is done and its effectiveness; however, lawyers in Alabama have demonstrated their commitment time and time again. Commitment of not only time but of resources.

There is presently considerable discussion in Congress about how well those professions with self-regulatory authority and responsibility do their jobs. This is a focal point in discussions of legislation relating to Federal Trade Commission oversight of professionals. The McClure Amendment by Senator McClure (R-Idaho) would exempt from FTC control those professionals whose activities are being effectively regulated by the state's highest court. While FTC oversight would be burdensome and offensive, I feel that the Alabama State Bar and the Supreme Court of Alabama would meet this standard.

Actions speak louder than words. This fact is illustrated in no better way than to review the profession's commitment to professional responsibility in tangible areas.

During fiscal year 1983, the Alabama State Bar expended over twenty-eight percent of its total revenues for professional responsibility matters. These expenditures of $195,622 were paid from license fees. The cost was offset by slightly over $2,400 in revenues generated from reinstatement proceedings. This isn't the whole story by any means.

Lawyer participation in grievance procedures at the state and local levels accounts for well over $1,000,000 in noncompensable time for those who have accepted the responsibility to serve in the regulatory process.

The Center for Professional Responsibility is a further testament to lawyer commitment to their self-discipline role. The Board of Trustees of the Alabama State Bar Foundation committed itself to a fund drive in September 1980 to purchase a facility to house the Center for Professional Responsibility. The purchase price was $125,000 while furniture and equipment was another $27,285. Interest on the initial mortgage totalled $11,670.

The entire debt was paid in thirty months because lawyers enrolled in the "150 Club" which evidenced an individual gift of at least $150. Two hundred twenty-two other individual lawyers or firms made contributions to the fund raising effort. Designated gifts for specific purposes totalled $20,000.

Lawyers and their families gave $86,038 toward the purchase. The Foundation Trustees committed $40,000 from reserves and additional rental revenues of $42,500 accounted for the balance. The state bar rents the Perry Street facility from the State Bar Foundation for $1,750 per month which includes utilities and maintenance. During the period in which the mortgage was being retired, expenses for utility and maintenance costs totalled $17,317.

I don't believe the day will ever come when complaints about professionals will be nonexistent; however, I am convinced that our profession can effectively regulate itself. The efforts of Alabama lawyers in the past and the sense of professional awareness prevalent today is the best evidence. So long as we do a creditable job, we need not fear outside intrusion.

Reginald T. Hamner
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Sales Warranties
In Alabama
The Notice Requirement

by Nathaniel Hansford

Perhaps, one of the most common cases which confronts a practitioner is breach of warranty in the sale of goods. The fact pattern in many of the cases is very similar. The buyer, either a consumer or businessman, purchases an item from a seller. Buyer takes the item home or to his business and uses it as he should. Then after several months of normal use the “thing” fails to operate properly. Of course, most buyers at this stage would like to return the item to seller, receive their money back and forget about the whole affair. However, Article 2 of the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC), which is the controlling law in the case, does not operate so that one can rightfully return the goods.

One very important point in a sale of goods is tender. Once the seller tenders the goods, the buyer must either accept or reject the goods. If the buyer rejects, then the seller takes the goods back, attempts to prove proper tender and, if successful, recovers damages for breach of contract. On the other hand, if the buyer accepts the goods, the buyer has the goods. The buyer must keep the goods. The goods may be conforming or non-conforming, but the buyer keeps the goods and seeks money damages.

Under the Uniform Commercial Code, he cannot force the goods back on the seller or return the goods to the seller except in one limited situation. Although other avenues of recourse outside the Code, such as rescission for fraud, may be available to the buyer, breach of an express or implied warranty is the usual means of recovery for the defective goods.

In order to be successful in a suit for a breach of warranty, the claimant must show that he gave the seller reasonable notice of the breach. In fact, the Alabama courts have consistently held that the allegation of notice is a condition precedent to recovery. Simply filing suit does not constitute notice of breach of warranty under the Code. Prior notice to the seller must be plead in the buyer’s complaint. Section 2-607(3) of the UCC expressly states that “[w]here tender has been accepted the buyer must within a reasonable time after he discovers or should have discovered any breach notify the seller.
of breach or be barred from any remedy.

Traditionally, the Code and the courts have not treated the problem of notification of non-conformity as a small matter. The courts and commentators usually give several policy reasons to justify their rather inflexible position on notice. In Parker v. Bell Ford, Inc., the Alabama Supreme Court recognized that “Notice of breach serves two distinct purposes. First, express notice opens the way for settlement through negotiation between the parties . . . . Second, proper notice minimizes the possibility of prejudice to the seller by giving him ample opportunity to cure the defect, inspect the goods, investigate the claim or do whatever may be necessary to properly defend himself or minimize his damages while the facts are fresh in the minds of the parties.”

After recognizing that the law requires notice and that notice is a prerequisite to a cause of action on breach of warranty, the significant issues center on three areas of the notice requirement: (1) the form of the notice, (2) the contents of the notice, and (3) the timing of the notice. The Alabama courts have dealt with all three of these issues as well as some other notice problems.

**Form of the Notice**

One of the first issues that faced the courts was the question of the form of the notice. In the case of Page v. Camper City & Mobile Home Sales, the buyer had given oral notice of the breach and the seller contended that the Code required written notice. The supreme court reviewed Section 2-607(3) of the Uniform Commercial Code and based its opinion on the word “notify,” which appears in the section. The court recognized that the drafters had used the term “written notice” in other Code sections when they intended a writing. Moreover, written requirements throughout the UCC are usually imposed by the word “sent” or one of its derivatives. Although the court does not discuss this point, an oral communication of breach serves all of the policy reasons for notification just as well as written notice. Oral notice gives the seller the time he needs to investigate and encourage settlement as well as written communication. The evidentiary value of a writing is certainly outweighed by the burden it could place on the unsuspecting or unsophisticated buyer. To add a writing requirement for notice would create a potential trap for the buyer, would not further the policy reasons for notice, and would open notice to some of the same criticisms leveled at the Statute of Frauds.

**Contents of the Notice**

The next area of the notice requirement that generates cases is the issue of the contents of the notice. Of what must the buyer give notice? How much information and detail about the alleged breach must the buyer give the seller to fulfill the notice requirement?

Section 2-607(3) simply requires that the buyer’s communication must give the seller notice of the breach or be barred from any remedy. However, the comments to the section do give more guidance. Comment 4 provides that “[t]he contents of the notice need merely be sufficient to let the seller know that the transaction is still troublesome and needs to be watched.” As the comment further explains, there is no policy reason that requires the notification to be a definitive statement of all the objections nor is there reason to require that the notification be a claim for damages.

In the case of Parker v. Bell Ford, Inc., the plaintiff complained of excessive tire wear on his new truck that he had purchased from Bell Ford. Bell sent Mr. Parker to an alignment shop where the mechanic attempted repairs on the vehicle. Parker continued to have problems with his tires; however, he never again notified Bell nor registered a complaint until he filed suit. In discussing the notice requirement in a breach of warranty action, the supreme court referred to the pre-code case of Smith v. Pizitz of Bessemer which states that the notice must “apprise the vendor that a claim will be made against him and give him an opportunity to prepare a defense or notify his supplier.” The Pizitz court compared a tort claim with a contract claim. The court reasoned that in tort the defendant has immediate knowledge of the occurrence out of which the claim arises; however, the vendor has no opportunity to know of a contract claim until he receives notice of the claim.

This pre-code notion of the notice requirement is clearly more restrictive than the drafter of the code intended and tried to express in the comments. Notice that a buyer intends to make a claim requires more details and specifics of the defects and of the purpose for the communication than does notice that the transaction is “troublesome and must be watched.” Notice of intention to make a claim seems to go beyond a mere request that the seller correct the problem with the goods.

Although the Parker case quoted from Pizitz in formulating the standard for the contents of the notice, the court liberalizes the Pizitz rule. The court “expand[s] that rationale to also include the requirement of notice in order to enable the seller to make adjustments or replacements, or to suggest opportunities for cure, to the end of minimizing the buyer's loss and reducing the sellers’ own liability to the buyer.”

The idea that the court intended a loose test for the sufficiency of contents of the notice is further bolstered by its cite to White and Summers, UCC § 11-9 (1972). Professors White and Summers give their opinion, based on the drafters’ comments, that “it is difficult to conceive of words which, if put in writing, would not satisfy the notice requirement of 2-607.”

The proposition that Parker embraced the intention of the drafters to formulate a loose test on notice content is eroded by the court’s application of the rule to the facts. After seemingly expanding the rationale for notice stated
in Piritz, the court then concluded that Mr. Parker failed to give notice to the defendant and agreed with the trial court that its approval of a motion for a directed verdict in favor of the defendant was proper. The court reached the conclusion that the plaintiff gave no notice even in light of the fact that Parker had informed Bell Ford of the excessive tire wear and Bell attempted to solve the problem.12

Noteworthy, also, is the fact that the Parker court adopted the rule of the Gigandet case,13 a case decided under the Uniform Commercial Code. Gigandet concluded that the issue of what is a reasonable time for giving notice is a question for the jury or the trier of fact. In Parker, the supreme court read Gigandet to cover both the issue of the timeliness and the issue of reasonableness of the notice and agreed that these were jury questions. The court, however, recognized that timeliness and reasonableness of notice are only jury questions if the buyer gives some form of notice; if the buyer gives no notice then there is no issue for the jury and the issue is a matter for the court to decide as it did in Parker.

In the recent case of Volkswagen of America v. Harrell14 the Alabama Supreme Court returned to the issue of the type of notice required. In Harrell, the defendant contended that the plaintiff had failed to give it sufficient notice of the problems he was encountering with his camper. Justice Jones, writing for the court, concluded that the plaintiff did give proper notice. In this case, the plaintiff sought to have the vehicle repaired at several authorized V.W. dealerships and contacted the V.W.'s regional manager and the V.W.'s home office customer representative concerning the inability of anyone to correct the defects. These numerous and varied complaints about the problem with the vehicle "could scarcely be said to fall without the realm of 'proper notice' and an 'opportunity, to repair the van.' "15

Thus, the counseling point appears to be that in Alabama the buyer's simply informing the vendor that he is experiencing trouble with the product may not be sufficient notice. On the other hand, however, the buyer will not have to give a formal demand for a remedy or notify the seller that he intends to make a claim. The buyer will probably have to inform the seller that the item is defective or causing trouble, give the seller an opportunity to repair, and, if the seller fails to solve the problem, advise the seller that the item is still not operating properly. The number of chances the seller has to repair and the number of notices of failure to repair the court requires is unclear.

Timing of the Notice

The third problem area in the notice requirement is the timing of the notice. The comments to Section 2-607(3) state that "[t]he time of notification is to be determined by applying commercial standards to a merchant buyer. 'A reasonable time for notification from a retail consumer is to be judged by different standards so that in his case it will be extended, for the rule of requiring notification is designed to defeat commercial bad faith, not to deprive a good faith consumer of his remedy.' " In the Gigandet case,16 which was mentioned earlier, the supreme court ruled that the issue of what is a reasonable time for giving notice of breach of warranty is a question for the jury or the trier of fact. Gigandet waited six months to give notice of the defect and the court agreed with the trier of fact's decision that notice was not timely.

General rules governing the timing of the notice are difficult to formulate since the reasonable time standard may vary greatly depending upon the facts of the case. If one, however, is mindful of the policy as expressed in the Code's comment, the time limit should be very flexible. The general policy is to protect good faith dealings and to eliminate claims a buyer makes in bad faith or a buyer's underhanded tactics calculated to mislead or take advantage of the seller. Any time limitation should recognize that persons have natural tendencies to procrastinate and that many people lack the sophistication to know the proper course of action when confronted by a problem with a product. A 1980 Fifth Circuit United States Court of Appeals case discussed a very interesting aspect of the timeliness issue. In Koch Supplies v. Farm Fresh Meats,17 Farm Fresh purchased a fully equipped smokehouse from Koch. As part of the terms of purchase, the contract provided that Farm Fresh was to "inspect the equipment within 48 hours after its receipt; unless within said time [Farm Fresh] notifies [Koch], stating the defects, [Farm Fresh] shall be conclusively presumed to have accepted the equipment in its condition." Later, beyond the 48-hour period, the buyer discovered defects in the equipment. The court correctly recognized that the issue was not timely notice of breach of warranty. Rather, the problem in this case was timely rejection or revocation of acceptance under Section 2-608. The contract provision appeared to be a limitation on the period the buyer had to conduct a reasonable inspection and thus the provision was an attempt to set the exact time when the buyer accepted and could not later reject. The court concluded first that the 48-hour limitation was manifestly unreasonable and that it did not set the time of acceptance. Secondly, it decided that the buyer still had his remedy of revocation of acceptance since the defect in the product was latent.

Notice by the Third Party Beneficiaries

The final question the notice requirement raises centers on who must give the notice of breach. The UCC specifically requires that the buyer must give notice of a defect. Parties other than the buyer, however, may have the protection of a warranty. Under Section 2-318, the Code extends a seller's warranty to any person who may reasonably be expected to use or be affected by the goods and who receives personal injuries because of a breach of warranty.

Do the third party beneficiaries have to meet the notice requirements of the Uniform Commercial Code? Simmons v. Cleenco Industries deals with this question. The Alabama Supreme Court decided that the third party beneficiary was not subject to the Code's requirement of notice and the court grounded its decision on several bases. First, the code uses the word "buyer" when it sets out the notice require-
ment in Section 2-607; a third-party claimant is not a "buyer." A third-party claiming the benefit of Section 2-318 is someone affected by the buyer's goods. The beneficiary of the extended warranty does not come within the express language of the code and, thus, does not have to give notice of breach. Moreover, the simple fact that the legislature extended warranty protection to third parties other than buyers does not mean the legislature intended that such a party must give notice. If the legislation had intended to require such notice, the Code would say that.

Finally, the court examined the policy reason for these notice requirements and concluded that the reasons did not apply to third party beneficiaries. Since these warranty beneficiaries may recover only for personal injury, the damage is done at the time of the breach of warranty. Notice is inconsequential in preventing or mitigating the harm when the injury has already occurred. Furthermore, the court believed that the statute of limitations adequately protects seller against stale personal injury claims by third party beneficiaries.

Conclusion

The Alabama courts, like most other jurisdictions, take the notice requirement seriously. In order to bring an action for the breach of warranty in the sale of goods the buyer must have given the seller proper notice of the defect. Sound policy reasons support Alabama's position on the notice requirement and will continue to support it for the future. The courts, however, should be mindful of the danger of the notice requirement. Sellers should not be able to defeat a valid claim because of a technical approach to notice. The rather loose test of the sufficiency and timing of notice followed by the drafters of the Code adequately protects the seller and at the same time does not unduly restrict buyers in seeking recovery. If the buyer advises the seller within a reasonable time after discovery of a problem with the goods that he is having troubles, this should fulfill the UCC's notice requirement.

Footnotes

6. Page v. Camper City & Mobile Home Sales, 292 Ala. 562, 297 So. 2d 810 (1974); accord, T.J. Stevenson & Co. v. 81, 193 Bags of Flour, 629 F.2d 338 (5th Cir. 1980).
12. Parker, at 1103.
14. Whether tested by the substantial evidence rule or the scintilla evidence rule, the record served no evidence from which the jury could reasonably infer that Parker gave notice of defect prior to filing this suit. Id. n. 8 at 1103.
17. Id. at 163.
18. Id.
19. Koch Supplies v. Farm Fresh Meats, 630 F.2d 282 (5th Cir. 1980).
21. These same arguments could be made to support the position that the law should not require notice in any case involving personal injury even if the buyer suffers the injury and he is the one claiming recovery for breach of warranty.
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Women Bar Leaders Date Back

In reading the November 1983 issue of The Alabama Lawyer, page 316, I noted the comment about Juliet St. John being the first woman attorney to serve as president of a local bar association in Alabama. Unless Mrs. St. John was president prior to 1948, the above statement is incorrect.

According to the Mobile Bar Association records, we have had two women attorneys serve as president, Rosa Gerhardt in 1948 and Doris VanAller in 1951.

Barbara C. Rhodes
Executive Director
Mobile Bar Association

It is my pleasure to bring to your attention a bit of Alabama legal history.

The members of the Baldwin County Bar Association were the first to elect a woman, Mrs. Phyllis S. Nesbit, their president in 1967.

She was in 1976 elected a district court judge in Baldwin County and thus became the first woman to be elected a trial judge in Alabama.

Judge Nesbit was reelected in 1982 and is presently serving her second term as district judge.

Fairhope
H. Greer Minic

Disciplinary Action Questioned

As an Alabama attorney living and working in Italy, I keep abreast of the activities of my fellow Bar members by reading The Alabama Lawyer. I feel compelled to write you now after reading the Disciplinary Report in the September 1983 issue. One item disturbs me greatly. I am referring to the Disciplinary Board's decision to privately reprimand an attorney who illegally purchased and used marijuana and cocaine.

I find it absolutely ludicrous that the Board decided that a private reprimand of such conduct was sufficient. This lawyer's illicit possession and use of controlled substances was not a mistake or an accident, it was not negligence or a simple error of judgment, but willful misconduct. To allow an individual who swore to uphold the law and promised to serve faithfully as an officer of the court to be disciplined with a mere private reprimand is laughable. Regardless of the facts and circumstances of the individual case, suspension from the practice of law should have been the minimum appropriate disciplinary action and disbarment well may have been warranted.

I have to ask myself whether the Board's attitude in disciplining this drug-abusing attorney is a reflection of its policing of other Alabama lawyers who willfully commit crimes. If so, the Alabama Bar needs a new Disciplinary Board.

The bottom line is that the Disciplinary Board's handling of this case is inexcusable. I have strong doubts as to the Board's ability to rigorously enforce high standards of conduct among our attorneys.

Vicenza, Italy
Frederic L. Borch

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

The purpose of the Letters to the Editor column is to provide a forum for the expression of the readers' views. Members of the Alabama State Bar are invited to submit short letters, not exceeding 250 words, expressing their opinions or giving information as to any matter appearing in the publication or of concern to the bar membership. The editor reserves the right to select excerpts therefrom to publish. All letters specifically addressed as Letters to the Editor will be candidates for publication in The Alabama Lawyer. The publication of a letter does not, however, constitute an endorsement of the views expressed. Letters should be sent to: The Alabama Lawyer, Letters to the Editor, P.O. Box 4156, Montgomery, Alabama 36101.
Thinking back — reflecting — July 23, 1983, came and passed quickly. But, it was a memorable day. Perhaps, not so much because of the events of that particular hot, hazy summer day, but for the remembrances which that day brought.

Gathered before several hundred of their friends among members of the bar, were seven “heroes of the legal profession.” It was a nostalgic time as they reflected on their numerous years of practice — the old times, the funny times, the poignant times, the rough times, and the now times. They told stories of “now and then,” delighting those assembled that final morning of the Alabama State Bar Convention.

“The Reflective Roundtable,” as the program was appropriately named, was videotaped and has now become an invaluable relic of the bar association. Those distinguished attorneys participating on the panel included John A. Caddell (moderator), Robert F. Adams, Douglas Arant, James J. Carter, W. Guy Hardwick, Robert B. Harwood, and Seybourn H. Lynne.

Following are excerpts from “The Reflective Roundtable” — as they told it:

“T
his story was told when I first started practicing law in Decatur. There was a young woman who had a child without the benefit of wedlock, and she persuaded the father to have the child legitimized. So they went to a lawyer there in Decatur and asked him if he would handle this proceeding. He told them, ‘Yes, I’d be glad to represent you on it and try to get this done, but this judge that we have is pretty tough, and I can’t promise you it will be accomplished.’ And so they said, ‘Well, we want you to try it,’ and they went and filed a petition. The judge, the lawyer put the evidence on, the father agreed and all of that. The judge said, ‘Now, wait a minute. Before I make this decision I want to talk to this lady privately. Let’s go to my chambers.’ So he took her in there and he said to her, ‘I want to know if you understand that even if I grant you this petition that this child is still a technical bastard.’ He said, ‘Do you know what that means?’ She said, ‘Yes sir, that’s what my lawyer says you are.’

John A. Caddell
Decatur

“... I wanted to read you just a few of the fees and a few of the expense items. On the matter of expense items I find:

Roll of 500 stamps ........ $15.00
Paid Vivian Zingleman (who was a secretary) to reimburse her for amount spent for suppers (plural) in town when working late ................. 0.60

‘From the fee book:
Cash fee — In re Taylor-St. Clair Dispute ...................... $1.00
Preparation of deeds — (Now, I don't know how many, but there's more than one) $5.00
Preparation of income tax returns $10.00
(That was plural, and it was for the doctor who had the best practice in town at the time. But at that time, in order to prepare an income tax return, all you had to know how to do was to add and subtract!)

"This is against an insurance company:
For investigation of accident leading to suit against shipbuilding company, obtaining statements from six witnesses, negotiating for settlement, drafting releases and securing their execution, arranging competitive bids on property damage, having fence repaired, and securing release of owner $20.00

"Do you wonder that they had to put in insurance adjusters to handle most of that?"

Robert F. Adams
Mobile

"I remember a lawyer here named M.B. Grace. He was quite well-known in Birmingham. He had — I don't know how it came about — but he had numerous claims involving something. All of them were identical claims of one kind or another, and charges were preferred against Mr. Grace by the grievance committee of the State Bar Association for soliciting these claims. And he had solicited them — no question about that.

"Mr. John D. McQueen, from Tuscaloosa, was the president of the Alabama State Bar Association at that time. And they had a hearing over there and, of course, it was found by the bar commission that he had solicited these claims for cases and... what they did was find him guilty and the punishment was to be a public reprimand. And Mr. McQueen and all the bar commission was assembled there and everybody around, and Mr. McQueen administered the reprimand. It was a very, very impressive occasion. (That was... over in the old courthouse... in that large room over there where Judge Huey used to be the presiding judge.)

"When Mr. McQueen finished his reprimand, Mr. Grace raised his hand and asked if he could say a word. Mr. McQueen and everybody was surprised, but he then said he'd like to ask whether it would be alright now to go ahead and finish those claims."

Douglas Arant
Birmingham

"We had a justice of the peace appointed back in, I'd say, in the 30s — when we used to have them. He didn't know any law — you didn't have to be a lawyer. He was very proud of his appointment, and some of the boys gave him a few books (text books and things of that kind).

"He'd been presiding there as the justice of the peace for about two months and one day this boy comes in and says, 'Judge, I got a problem.' The judge said, 'Yes, what is it?' and he said, 'You remember that gal, Louise, that you married me up to about three weeks ago?... I done found out she's gonna have a baby and that baby is gonna be due in about two months... and I ain't the poppa. What am I gonna do about it?' The judge said, 'Now that do present a problem.'

"He reached back on the shelf and pulled down a book that happened to be Brannan's Negotiable Instruments. He flipped through the book and said, 'Uh oh, there ain't nothin' you can do about it, because it says right here where the maker cannot be found, the endorser is liable!'"
"I would follow Jimmy Carter, a professional joke teller. But, I've listened to these two gentlemen talk about how hard it was when they got out of law school. They were fortunate. Indeed. Most of them got offers from firms. I got out in 1933, the end of the depression, and I started practicing alone. I have often said that during that first year I made one $20 fee and a lot of small ones."

James J. Carter
Montgomery

"In the latter part of 1954, Jim Folsom had been elected governor for the second time, and I was elected lieutenant governor. A mutual friend asked us to go on a fishing trip one weekend. We went, and it was an enjoyable trip. We fished some, ate some, and did some of the other things that you're laughing about! Sunday morning Governor Folsom had a full growth of beard. He decided that he needed a shave. The only razor on board was a straight razor. He got one of his aids who lathered his face, took that razor, and was shaking so that Jim said, 'You can't shave me.' He called a second aide. The second aide lathered his face, took the razor, and was shaking. Jim says, 'You can't shave me.' I said, 'Well, Governor, let me shave you.' I took the razor, got down near to his face and he grabbed it and said, 'No, you can't shave me either. One quick jerk of that razor and you'd be governor of Alabama.' "

"I want to say this to you. I wouldn't have harmed the governor, but he would have gotten a damn close shave!"

W. Guy Hardwick
Dothan

"On the court we didn't have too much opportunity for having humorous occurrences — except some of the arguments would approach that.

Judge Ben Ray always made a delightful argument — a very able lawyer, he was a delightful man, and I loved him. He represented a woman who was young, married an older man, and he was taken quite ill. Took him out to St. Vincent's and, finally, ran some tests and found out he was loaded with arsenic. So they got to investigating and they found a lot of ant poisoning in her bedroom. So she was tried and convicted of assault with intent to murder. Judge Ray's argument was that you had to have malice in murder. There couldn't possibly be any malice in this case because this woman had only used ant poisoning when she could have used rat poisoning.

"I had lunch with him several years later and was kidding him about that, and he said, 'Well, what in the hell was I gonna argue?'"

Judge Robert B. Harwood
Tuscaloosa

"Another incident that happened involved friends of mine among members of the bar. George Rogers was defending a fellow in a moonshine case who was convicted. George Rogers could cry harder than any lawyer that I ever knew in pleading for mercy. He came into my office four or five times asking ... said, 'This fellow never really had a chance. He served two sentences, he's never really had a chance. I wish you'd put him on probation.' "

"But think of this: He drew his will properly and he left you almost a million dollars, tax-free. She said, 'Yes, I know. That was very kind of him.' You know, some days I'd give ten thousand dollars if it just to have him back.' "

James J. Carter
Montgomery

"One day, about two months later (I always let these people report after Christmas to serve their sentences — or New Years). Butch Clements, a dear friend of mine, showed up in the office. The second aide lathered his face, took the razor, and he was shaking. Jim says, 'You can't shave me.' I said, 'Well, Governor, let me shave you.' I took the razor, got down near to his face and he grabbed it and said, 'No, you can't shave me either. One quick jerk of that razor and you'd be governor of Alabama.' 

"I want to say this to you. I wouldn't have harmed the governor, but he would have gotten a damn close shave!'"

W. Guy Hardwick
Dothan

"About that time ... a friend of mine called me and said he had a note that he wanted me to sue on if I would. I didn't ask him why he didn't sue on it — that was my mistake. I said, 'Yeah, send it to me, I need it.' So I filed suit on that note in an adjoining county and I never could get a return on what happened with the suit. So one day I called the clerk in that county and said, 'What happened to my suit?' And he said, 'Haven't you got any sense at all? The man you sued is the circuit judge.' "

I asked him why he didn't sue on it — that was my mistake. I said, 'Yeah, send it to me, I need it.' So I filed suit on that note in an adjoining county and I never could get a return on what happened with the suit. So one day I called the clerk in that county and said, 'What happened to my suit?' And he said, 'Haven't you got any sense at all? The man you sued is the circuit judge.' "

James J. Carter
Montgomery

"I had lunch with him several years later and was kidding him about that, and he said, 'Well, what in the hell was I gonna argue?' "

Judge Robert B. Harwood
Tuscaloosa
to report after New Year's Day,' I said. 'Well, let them come on in. I'll talk to them.' This man was saying how desperately his family needed him, and please try him one more time on probation. I said, 'I'm not gonna do it. I've turned down George Rogers, I've turned down Butch Clements, and there's nothing that could persuade me to put you on probation.'

'Well, he had a little five or six-year-old girl with him — his little daughter. She was blonde and blue-eyed, and she walked right around the corner of my desk and I had my arm on the chair. She put her little hand on my arm, looked up and said, 'You're not going to put my daddy in jail, are you?' I said, 'No, honey, I'm gonna put him on probation.'

Judge Seybourn Lynne
Birmingham
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Appellate Court Workload More Than Triples in Twelve Years

Trial Judges Reduce Pending Caseload

by Robert A. Martin
Administrative Office of Courts

The number of cases handled by the state's seventeen appellate court justices and judges has more than tripled in the past twelve years.

The year 1971 was the last time a new appellate judgeship was added and during that year the workload of the State Supreme Court and the two intermediate courts of appeal stood at 972 cases filed. This past court year 3,298 cases were filed for the same number of justices and judges to review. This is an increase in case filings of 239 percent, well above three times the number filed in the 1971 court year.

In 1971 the average caseload of an appellate judge in Alabama was 57 cases per year. The average number of filings per judges in the state today has risen to 194. This number varies among the three courts as shown in the chart below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average Filings Per Judge</th>
<th>1971</th>
<th>1983 % Inc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supreme Court</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>144.9 378%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court of Criminal Appeals</td>
<td>110.4</td>
<td>290.4 163%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court of Civil Appeals</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>180.7 271%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Professor Robert A. Leflar of the University of Arkansas Law School says it is generally agreed that no appellate judge, however competent, should write more than 35 full-scale publishable opinions in a year. This past year, the full time judges on the Court of Criminal Appeals averaged writing 84 full opinions each. On the Court of Civil Appeals, the full time judges averaged writing 98 full opinions each. The justices on the Supreme Court averaged 72 full written opinions each.

When compared to national norms, Alabama's appeals courts continue to process cases in a timely manner; however, at the close of the 1982-83 court year, only the Court of Civil Appeals remained totally current with its caseload.

Chief Justice C.C. Torbert, Jr., says that although the appellate courts remain current in the sense that there is no undue delay in the processing of cases, he doesn't know how long the three courts can continue to keep abreast of the staggering increase in appeals. Pointing to the bound volumes of his cases alone, the chief justice demonstrates that their thickness has more than doubled since he took office in 1977. "I don't think I'm just writing longer opinions," he remarked.

Torbert says that the courts have been able to keep up with the increasing number of appeals through diligent work and by utilizing both retired and active judges to assist at the appellate level.

Currently two retired judges are assigned full time — one each to the Court of Civil Appeals and the Court of Criminal Appeals, and during the past four months eight circuit judges have assisted the Court of Criminal Appeals by completing one or two opinions each. Retired and active trial court judges were used to assist both the Supreme Court and Court of Criminal Appeals in 1972 and 1973.

Torbert praises the willingness of active trial judges and retired judges to pitch in and help; however, he says, "in the long run this is like using a band aid to cover a gushing artery. We must plan to resolve the matter on a permanent basis before delay becomes a serious problem."

The chief justice says he plans to request the State Judicial Study Commission, a group consisting of judges, legislators, lawyers and court officials, to review the appellate workload and make recommendations on how to best approach the problem of handling the increasing number of appeals.

The increase in numbers isn't the only problem faced by the appeals courts. "Compounding this," Torbert says, "is the increasing number of new and complex civil litigation, the review on appeal of death penalty cases and legislation which mandates direct appeals to the Supreme Court of Public Service Commission decisions. When we combine the numbers with this, we suddenly find ourselves swimming upstream."

Torbert says that a particular problem encountered by the Court of Criminal Appeals during the past year was the death of one judge and the resignation of another.

A summary of the 1982-83 workload of each court follows:

| The Supreme Court — Increase in filings since 1971 of 376 percent. |
| New Filings 1982-83 | 1304 |
| Cases Disposed 1982-83 | 1245 |

| Court of Criminal Appeals — Increase in filings since 1971 of 163 percent. |
| New Filings 1982-83 | 1452 |
| Cases Disposed 1982-83 | 1333 |

| Court of Civil Appeals — Increase in filings since 1971 of 271 percent. |
| New Filings 1982-83 | 542 |
| Cases Disposed 1982-83 | 511 |

Alabama's trial court judges disposed of nearly 8,000 more cases than were filed in the circuit and district courts during the past year. A total of 600,814 cases were filed statewide and 608,633 disposed.

Chief Justice C.C. Torbert, Jr., called the work of the state's 114 circuit judges and 90 district judges a "remarkable performance." "It is indicative of the dedicated effort and hard work of our judges, circuit clerks, registers and other judicial officials and employees," he said.
Circuit judges, who hear all civil cases in excess of $5,000, domestic relations matters, the more serious criminal cases, 40 percent of juvenile matters and appeals from district court disposed of 128,299 cases, 2,081 more than the 126,218 circuit court filings during the year. The 114 circuit judges disposed an average of 1,125 cases per judge.

Of the 128,299 circuit court dispositions, 2,991 (2.3%) were jury trials; an average of 26 jury trials per judge.

District judges, who hear traffic, misdemeanor criminal, civil cases under $5,000, small claims cases and 60 percent of juvenile matters, disposed of 480,334 cases, 5,738 more than the 474,596 district court filings during the year. The 90 district judges disposed an average of 5,337 cases per judge.

Over the past five years, state trial court filings have risen by 8 percent (557,314 filings in 1979 to 600,814 in 1983). During the same period, judges increased the number of cases they disposed by 9.5 percent (55,673 dispositions in 1979 to 60,522 in 1983).
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that would allow them to do this (Senate Bill 1714, House Bill 2970). Your Board of Bar Commissioners went on record early opposing this legislation. Working with the State Bar of Texas and through the Southern Conference of Bar Presidents they have taken an active role in leading the opposition to this encroachment. Alabama's membership in the ABA House of Delegates supported the resolution of that association in opposition. Our entire Congressional delegation has expressed its concern and opposition. Senator Heflin has been the floor leader in an attempt to keep FTC regulation out of those states where the integrated Bar is now subject to regulation by the highest court of the state.

The strength of our legal system lies in a strong and independent bar and judiciary. Any interference with lawyers and legal services by the social planners as represented by the nation's bureaucracy would be disastrous. As I told this Bar last July, I'm not as concerned with what the public thinks of lawyers as I am about what lawyers think of lawyers. We must develop a strong and aggressive association. Working together as an association increases our ability to deal with these attacks from without. Separated by individual and special self interest, we are an easy prey.

The basic problems that give rise to attempts to regulate lawyers (other than through the courts) are our own internal problems. As we individually and as a profession we invite business regulations. As we fail to maintain standards required of those who would undertake to practice law we invite outsiders who would protect the public from such failures. As we fail to conduct our activities in accord with our Code of Professional Responsibility we strain our traditional resources for disciplinary enforcement and invite intervention. Believe me there are those who would jump for the chance to take over for us. Just as we are, in a large part, the source of our problems, so we can, in large part, become the source of our solutions. We have the people power — it's just getting it moving in one direction. As a strong and aggressive association we can control our destiny.

The Midyear meeting, to be held March 9, 1984 in Montgomery, promises to be an event you do not want to miss. Make your plans now to be a part of this exciting Bar activity. Make this a part of growing closer together.

William B. Hairston, Jr.
The Young Lawyers Section of the Alabama State Bar is continuing its schedule of fast-paced and diverse activities. The various substantive committees of the section have been very busy formulating the plans for their various activities for the remainder of the year. The various subcommittee chairmen have been busy orchestrating the multitude of components which make each of these efforts most successful.

New Attorneys Admitted in Montgomery

On the 24th day of October, 1983, our section held the Bar Admissions Ceremony in Montgomery. Lynda Flynt is the chairman of the Bar Admissions Ceremony Committee. The huge success of this very important event was due almost totally to the continuous hard work and the acute eye to detail which she put into the preparation and execution of this ceremony. I cannot thank her enough for her efforts. Rick Manley of Demopolis, vice president of the Alabama State Bar, delivered the congratulatory remarks to the new admittees on behalf of the Alabama State Bar. His remarks centered themselves around the three key words: preparation, communication, and professional responsibility. Rick gave the kind of talk that all of us lawyers need to hear every now and then to remind us that we must serve our clients and uphold the honor of our profession at the same time. Bill Hairston, president of the Alabama State Bar, gave the luncheon address. His remarks reflected his concern for our profession as it is attempting to adjust to not only the changes which are occurring within the profession but also the challenges that are facing it in the future.

Alabama Young Lawyers Represent Section in San Antonio

The Young Lawyers Section was represented at the American Bar Association — Young Lawyers Division (ABA-YLD) Affiliate Outreach Program in San Antonio in October by the largest group of Alabama young lawyers ever attending an affiliate outreach program. Those representing our section were Charlie Mixon of Mobile, Carol Ann Smith and Rowena Crocker of Birmingham, Hobby Presley, also of Birmingham, who is the budget director for the ABA-YLD, and myself, as president and district representative. I am attempting this year to expose younger members of our Executive Committee to the various activities of the ABA-YLD so that this experience will have a longer impact on our section. Although there are many issues which are discussed at these national meetings which do not truly and directly affect us in Alabama, I think it is vitally important that Alabama young lawyers are exposed to the legal, social, and professional issues which are affecting our profession as a whole and which do — whether directly or indirectly — have bearing on our local section. In addition, it is tremendously important that members of our section are exposed to the leadership of the ABA-YLD. All of the programs in San Antonio were well attended by our representatives, and I feel that they gained a tremendous amount from them.

Rowena Crocker, who is chairman of our Law Day Committee, was particularly interested in the various discussions about National Law Day and picked up a large amount of ideas and materials which will be useful to us here in Alabama. Charlie and Carol Ann attended a varied selection of sessions. The various discussions and materials presented had topics dealing, among other things, with career dissatisfaction, continuing legal education, crime-victim services, Pro Bono projects, disaster legal services, issues affecting the legal profession, and long-range planning. Besides these structured sessions, there are other opportunities for our representatives to meet and talk with other affiliate representatives from around the country about their various activities and how they approach the various situations which confront their affiliates.

Basic Skills Seminar to be held in Birmingham

Carol Ann Smith and her CLE subcommittee are busy planning the annual Basic Skills Seminar to be held on March 30, 1984, in Birmingham. Carol and I have had
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The only New York Stock Exchange Member headquartered in the mid-South
Attorneys Admitted to Bar
Fall 1983

Mary Rebeckah Adams .................................. Huntsville, Alabama
Dexter Ray Alexander ................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Frank Gerard Alfano ...................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Larry Keith Anderson .................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Susan Marie Ankenbrand ................................ Birmingham, Alabama
Lee Ford Armstrong ...................................... Montgomery, Alabama
Kathryn Melva Arrington ................................ Montgomery, Alabama
Charles Jeffery Ash ....................................... Montgomery, Alabama
John David Roy Atchison ................................ Gulfport, Mississippi
Larry Odell Bailey ........................................ Birmingham, Alabama
Robert Michael Baker .................................... Birmingham, Alabama
John Bigham Barnett ..................................... Mobile, Alabama
Robert Daniel Beck ........................................ Ft. Payne, Alabama
Steven Allen Benfield .................................... Cullman, Alabama
Melvin Stanford Blanton ................................ Birmingham, Alabama
Carroll E. Bloy, Jr. ......................................... Union Springs, Alabama
Michael Anthony Bownes ................................ Montgomery, Alabama
Thomas Whitwell Bowron II ............................... Birmingham, Alabama
Deborah Susan Braden .................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Teresa Dodson Brasher .................................... Montgomery, Alabama
Tasha Ann Brock ............................................ Birmingham, Alabama
Benjamin Hillery Brooks III .............................. Mobile, Alabama
Joy W. Brooks .............................................. Montgomery, Alabama
Agee Stallworth Broughton III ................................ Perdue Hill, Alabama
John D. Brown ............................................. Birmingham, Alabama
William L. Brunson, Jr. .................................... Elba, Alabama
Joseph William Buffington ............................... Birmingham, Alabama
Frank Tucker Burge ........................................ Birmingham, Alabama
Lisa Joy Burks .............................................. Decatur, Alabama
Daniel Jay Burnick ....................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Brenda Lee Burns .......................................... Tuscaloosa, Alabama
Guy L. Burns, Jr. ............................................ Birmingham, Alabama
Susan J. Bush ............................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Timothy Alfred Bush ....................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Willis Wayne Bush ......................................... Andalusia, Alabama
John Joseph Callahan, Jr. ................................ Montgomery, Alabama
Henry A. Callaway III ...................................... Mobile, Alabama
Samuel Chris Campisi ..................................... Montgomery, Alabama
William L. Carroll .......................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Judy Kay Carter ............................................ Chocowinity, Alabama
Elgin L. Carver, Jr. ........................................ Birmingham, Alabama
Roger Dale Centers ......................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Mark Norman Chambliss ................................ Montgomery, Alabama
Raymond Pearson Chambliss ............................. Birmingham, Alabama
Suzanne Smith Childers .................................. Birmingham, Alabama
Charles Henry Clark, Jr. .................................. Birmingham, Alabama
Virginia Lynn Clark ........................................ Birmingham, Alabama
Richard A. Colegrove, Jr. ................................ Birmingham, Alabama
Lauren Gay Coleman ....................................... Mobile, Alabama
Stephen Paul Coleman ..................................... Mobile, Alabama
Harvey Roy Collins III .................................... Montgomery, Alabama
R. Scott Colson III ........................................ Birmingham, Alabama
Julio Van Comfort ......................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Belton Youngblood Cooper, Jr. .......................... Birmingham, Alabama
Brian Gregory Copeland .................................. Cullman, Alabama
Susan Glasscock Copeland ............................... Montgomery, Alabama
Cary L. Corenblum ........................................ Birmingham, Alabama
Braxton Corner Counts III ............................... Mobile, Alabama
Cheryl Lynn Payton Crisona .............................. Mobile, Alabama
Alan Courtney Crowder ................................... Tuscaloosa, Alabama
Robert F. Dangle .......................................... Birmingham, Alabama
James Vance Davidson ................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Kenneth Dudley Davis .................................... Andalusia, Alabama
Richard Terrell Davis ..................................... Bessemer, Alabama
Paul Sioussa Davison ...................................... Northport, Alabama
Randy Allen Dempsey ..................................... Anniston, Alabama
Terri Faye Dial ............................................ Birmingham, Alabama
Tony Anthony Dixon ....................................... Elkmont, Alabama
Brenda Ann Dixon ........................................ Mobile, Alabama
J. David Dreher ............................................. Birmingham, Alabama
Tamera Shaye Driskill ..................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Celeste Weir Duncan .................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Charles H. Durham III ................................... Mobile, Alabama
William Kent Eason ....................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Kathryn Anne Eckerlein ................................ Mobile, Alabama
Helen Holmes Ellis ........................................ Tuscaloosa, Alabama
Joan S. Ellis ................................................ Montgomery, Alabama
Gregg B. Everett ........................................... Montgomery, Alabama
Michael S. Evers .......................................... Dothan, Alabama
Eric M. Fancher ............................................ Birmingham, Alabama
Douglas Jaye Fees ......................................... Huntsville, Alabama
Thomas Alfred Harding Fendley ........................ Mobile, Alabama
William H. Filmore ........................................ Brindlee, Alabama
Ruth Elizabeth Flanders ................................ .. Florence, Alabama
Anthony Nicholas Fox ..................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Nancy Lee Franklin ......................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Gregory Marcus Friedlander ............................... Mobile, Alabama
J. Mitchell Frost, Jr. ...................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Charles Michael Galloway ............................... Birmingham, Alabama
Elizabeth Caldwell Garber ............................... Birmingham, Alabama
Robert William Graham ..................................... Montgomery, Alabama
Daniel Benjamin Graves .................................. Mobile, Alabama
James Coulson Gray III ................................... Birmingham, Alabama
William Alexander Gray, Jr. ............................. Mobile, Alabama
Robert Lee Green .......................................... Birmingham, Alabama
James Houson Greer ....................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Jan Dowdle Haden ......................................... Huntsville, Alabama
Jane Jeffers Hagan ......................................... Birmingham, Alabama
William Burton Hairston III ............................... Birmingham, Alabama
Barbara Ann Handley ...................................... Birmingham, Alabama
John Anderson Harp ....................................... Phenix City, Alabama
Leah Marie Harper ......................................... Montgomery, Alabama
Hub B. Harrington ......................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Mark Jackson Hassett ..................................... Chagrin Falls, Ohio
Howard Griffith Hawk .................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Mary Douglas Hawkins ................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Benjamin Freeman Hayley ................................ Birmingham, Alabama
Randall Stark Haynes .................................... Alexander City, Alabama
John Frank Head ........................................... Columbus, Alabama
Richard A. Heard .......................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Donald Lee Heffin .......................................... University, Alabama
John Michael Hendrich .................................. Mobile, Alabama
Truman M. Hobbs, Jr. ..................................... Montgomery, Alabama
Jennifer Collins Holt ....................................... Scottsboro, Alabama
Gary Douglas Hooper ..................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Susan K. Hoven ............................................. Mobile, Alabama
Gordon Kevin Howell ..................................... Chocowinity, Alabama
Philip Francis Luke Hughes .............................. Birmingham, Alabama
Janet Lucille Humphreys ................................. Birmingham, Alabama
Joseph Wood Hutchinson III ............................. Silas, Alabama
Reginald D. Hyde ........................................... Tuscaloosa, Alabama

The Alabama Lawyer
Kenneth Stephen Jackson ........................................ Eufaula, Alabama
Victor Jackson ................................................................ Gadsden, Alabama
Michael Stephen Jazwinski ........................................ Birmingham, Alabama
Peter Sheffey Joffrin .................................................. Huntsville, Alabama
Theresa Johnson .......................................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Kevin Lee Johnson ....................................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Thomas Leon Johnson .................................................. Birmingham, Alabama
Janet Kay Jones .......................................................... Tuscaloosa, Alabama
Roxie Jamison Jones .................................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Stephen B. Jones ......................................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Stephen Mitchell Jones .................................................. Birmingham, Alabama
William Ross Johnson .................................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Carolyn Morgan Kennah ................................................ Gadsden, Alabama
William De-Jarnette King IV ......................................... Aliceville, Alabama
Karen Marie Kremer .................................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Lonette Elaine Lamb ..................................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Desma Dawn Lankford ................................................ Birmingham, Alabama
Forrest Stephen Latia .................................................... Mobile, Alabama
Lucy McNeill Lemaster ................................................ Birmingham, Alabama
Ronald Alan Levitt ......................................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Debra Kay Lewis .......................................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Dwayne Orton Littauer .................................................. Birmingham, Alabama
Robert Randolph Lomax ............................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Barnes Fourney Lovelace, Jr. ......................................... Decatur, Alabama
Scott Edward Ludwig ..................................................... Tuscaloosa, Alabama
Rosemary Castelli Lumpkins ......................................... Tuscaloosa, Alabama
Michael Steven Lusk .................................................. Jacksonsville, Alabama
Joel Christopher Marsh ................................................ Birmingham, Alabama
Virginia Louise Martin ................................................. Bay Minette, Alabama
Phillip Earl Mason ..................................................... One Hill, Alabama
Kenneth Massie .......................................................... Tuscaloosa, Alabama
Gina Kaye Matthews .................................................. Guntersville, Alabama
Forrest Talma McConnell ............................................. Montgomery, Alabama
Chad Eugene McCoy, Jr. ............................................. Lanett, Alabama
Dwight N. McDaniel, Jr. .............................................. Tuscaloosa, Alabama
Peter Allen McInish .................................................... Dothan, Alabama
Susun McKinney ........................................................ Mobile, Alabama
William Dennis McKinnie ........................................... Bolivar, Tennessee
David James Middlebrooks .......................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Howard Moss Miles ..................................................... Birmingham, Alabama
William Allen Millican ................................................. Gadsden, Alabama
James Wilson Mitchell ................................................ Florence, Alabama
Nan Elizabeth Mitchell ................................................ Birmingham, Alabama
Larry Brooks Moore ..................................................... Huntsville, Alabama
Harold Dean Mooty, Jr. ............................................... Montgomery, Alabama
Robert Stanley Morrow ............................................... Montgomery, Alabama
Thomas Julian Motes .................................................. Montgomery, Alabama
Ellen J. Mullins .......................................................... Mobile, Alabama
Lindsey Gay Musselman ............................................. Florence, Alabama
Richard Evans Neal ................................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Stephen Camer Nay .................................................... Mobile, Alabama
Mark Abell Newell ..................................................... Mobile, Alabama
Charles Frank Norton, Jr. ............................................. Birmingham, Alabama
David Michael O’Brien ................................................. Mobile, Alabama
M. Margaret O’Brien ................................................ Mobile, Alabama
Timothy Donald Osborne ............................................ Birmingham, Alabama
James Layton Parris .................................................... Mobile, Alabama
David Rowles Peeler .................................................. Huntsville, Alabama
Laura Petro .............................................................. Birmingham, Alabama
Christopher Alan Pettet ............................................... Decatur, Alabama
William H. Phlipot, Jr. ................................................ Mobile, Alabama
Cheryl L. Price ........................................................ Birmingham, Alabama
James Edward Priest .................................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Alicia Adams Putt ....................................................... Tuscaloosa, Alabama
Joan Crowder Ragsdale ................................................. Tuscaloosa, Alabama
Lynn Britt Randall ..................................................... Tuscaloosa, Alabama
Sammye Oden Ray ..................................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Amy Slayden Rayburn ................................................ Huntsville, Alabama
Dwight Kirk Rice ........................................................ Oxford, Alabama
James Earl Ritchie ..................................................... Wilcox, Alabama
Clyde E. Riley .............................................................. Birmingham, Alabama
F. Timothy Riley .......................................................... Albertville, Alabama
Jerry D. Roberson ...................................................... Birmingham, Alabama
James Earl Robertson, Jr. ............................................. Mobile, Alabama
Judith Young Robertson ............................................. New Orleans, Louisiana
Anne Davis Rodenberger ............................................. Tuscaloosa, Alabama
Mary Christine Roemer ............................................... Montgomery, Alabama
Alan T. Rogers .......................................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Bruce F. Rogers .......................................................... Birmingham, Alabama

Frank Joseph Russo .................................................. Birmingham, Alabama
Robert H. Rutherford, Jr. ........................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Rance Murry Sanders ................................................ Birmingham, Alabama
Robert Glenn Saunders .............................................. Birmingham, Alabama
Gary Steven Schifft .................................................... Birmingham, Alabama
William Dennis Schilling ........................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Arthur J. Sharbel III ................................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Sally Ann Sharp ........................................................ Birmingham, Alabama
Julie Torrence Shaw ................................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Gary Clayborn Sherrer ................................................. Gadsden, Alabama
Paul James Simmerson ............................................... Birmingham, Alabama
John Richard Shoemaker ............................................ Birmingham, Alabama
George Mitchell Simmerson, Jr. .................................. Mobile, Alabama
Roger A. Sindle ........................................................ Montgomery, Alabama
Mary Beth State ........................................................ Decatur, Alabama
Cynthia Ann Smith .................................................... Prattville, Alabama
James Dale Smith ...................................................... Mobile, Alabama
James Edward Smith .................................................. Birmingham, Alabama
Reginald William Smith .............................................. Tuscaloosa, Alabama
James Callen Sparrow ............................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Gary Franklin Spencer ................................................. Tuscaloosa, Alabama
Samuel Alexander Spoon ........................................... Tuscaloosa, Alabama
Alyce Manley Spruell ................................................ Tuscaloosa, Alabama
James Quentin Stanphill, Jr. ....................................... Florence, Alabama
Bryan Bartlett Starr, Jr. ............................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Amy Watson Stewart ................................................ Montgomery, Alabama
Marvin L. Stewart, Jr. ................................................ Birmingham, Alabama
Vaughn Morton Stewart II .......................................... Anniston, Alabama
Stephen Wayne Street ................................................. Birmingham, Alabama
Katherine Teri Swann ................................................ Alabama
Dan William Taliaferro ............................................... Dothan, Alabama
Robert Douglas Tambling .......................................... Montgomery, Alabama
Teresa Karen Tanner ................................................ Huntsville, Alabama
Leah Oldacre Taylor ................................................ Prattville, Alabama
Flora Louise Thompson .............................................. Moss Point, Mississippi
Mark Elliott Tippins ................................................... Birmingham, Alabama
J. Wallace Tutt III .......................................................... Mobile, Alabama
Michael Upchurch ..................................................... Mobile, Alabama
Johnnie Frank Vann .................................................. Birmingham, Alabama
Joseph H. Varner III ................................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Cynthia Waits .......................................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Raymond D. Waldrop, Jr. ............................................ Huntsville, Alabama
R. Jeffrey Wallace ..................................................... Birmingham, Alabama
Michael B. Walls ......................................................... Montgomery, Alabama
Robert Livingston Walter .......................................... Montgomery, Alabama
Michael Randolph Wamsley ...................................... Birmingham, Alabama
John Keith Warren .................................................... Lineville, Alabama
Patricia Caroline Kellett Warren ................................. Fort Payne, Alabama
Ann Morris Watson ..................................................... Birmingham, Alabama
John David Watson III ................................................ Birmingham, Alabama
William Wadsworth Watts III .................................... Mobile, Alabama
Joel William Weatherford .......................................... Dothan, Alabama
Jean Alexandra Webb ............................................... Atmore, Alabama
Kitty Borland Whitehurst ........................................... Northport, Alabama
Turner Butler Williams .............................................. Tuscaloosa, Alabama
Sherrie Greene Willman ............................................. Madison, Alabama
Frank C. Wilson III .................................................... Selma, Alabama
Greta Harrell Wilson ................................................ Seima, Alabama
Wade Kyle Wright ..................................................... Guntersville, Alabama
Bruce Binson Wynn .................................................. Birmingham, Alabama
Carlton Chenaud Young ............................................. Bessemer, Alabama
Alex William Zoghby ................................................ Mobile, Alabama

July 1983
Bar Exam Statistics of Interest
Number Sitting for Exam ............................................. 362
Number Certified to Supreme Court ................................ 261
Certification Rate .......................................................... 72%

Certification Rate From:
University of Alabama ................................................. 90%
Cumberland ............................................................... 73%
Alabama Non-accredited Law Schools ................................ 32%
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Lawyers in the Family

Robert D. Beck (1963), W.M. Beck Jr. (1961) and W.M. Beck, Sr. (1932) (Admittee/Brother/Father)

Frank Tucker Barge (1983) and Frank O. Barge, Jr. (1953) (Admittee/Father)

Julie Van Comfort (1983) and Van R. Comfort, Jr. (1965) (Admittee/Father)


J. Frank Head (1983) and Oliver P. Head (1956) Admittee/Father

Truman M. Hobbs, Jr. (1983), Truman M. Hobbs, Sr. (1910) and Dexter C. Hobbs (1980) (Admittee/Father/Brother)

Steve Jackson (1983) and Lew Garrison (1963) (First Cousin Admittees)


James Lee Richey (1983) and Benjamin H. Richey (1980) (Admittee/Brother)

Mary Christine Roemer (1983), Mary Parmer Roemer (1955) and Albert L. Roemer (1938) (Admittee/Mother/Father)

Anne Dabnick Redmonds (1983) and Jane Kimbrough Dabnick (1947) (Admittee/Mother)


Joel W. Weatherford (1983) and A. Dean Weatherford (1965) (Admittee/Father)

Jean Alexander Webb (1983) and Douglas S. Webb (1951) (Admittee/Father)

Kitty Berland Whitehurst (1982) and J. Paul Whitehurst (1979) (Admittee/Husband)
Three of the Fall 1983 admittees to the bar are the children of Alabama State Bar officers. Included in the group are President Bill Hairston's son, Bill; Vice President Rick Manley's daughter, Alyce; and Commissioner Oliver Head's son, Frank.

Those wishing to order the family or group picture may contact Scott Photographic Services, P.O. Box 1361, Montgomery, Alabama 36102. Phone 262-8761.
Bar Briefs

Gain CLE in Las Vegas

For the first time, the American Bar Association will offer a wide selection of accredited continuing legal education courses in conjunction with an ABA Midyear Meeting. Called CLE '84, the showcase is a special project and priority effort of ABA President Wallace D. Riley, and is set for February 9-10, 1984 in Las Vegas, Nevada, the site of the 1984 Midyear Meeting. February 8-15.

In cooperation with the ABA Division of Professional Education, sixteen ABA sections and one forum committee will present five full-day and six half-day courses over the two-day period at the Las Vegas Convention Center. Each course is independently produced with its own faculty of experts, includes course materials and will meet mandatory CLE requirements. The ABA has gathered over ninety experienced, successful attorneys from across the country to identify and impart the most important developments in their fields.

Search and seizure theme of essay contest

The law of search and seizure, including such issues as whether the exclusionary rule should be preserved, is the theme of the 1984 American Bar Association Journal Ross Essay Contest, which will carry a $9,000 cash prize.

The formal topic, "The Warrant Clause: Roots, Rights and Remedies," is described in the November edition of the ABA Journal, published monthly for the nearly 300,000 members of the ABA. The title refers to a clause in the Fourth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

The contest is sponsored annually by the Association, with the Journal's board of editors delegated to administer it. Each year the amount of the prize is determined by the board of editors, and depends upon the proceeds of a bequest established in the will of Erskine Mayo Ross. The contest is open to any member of the association, including its Law Student Division, excluding previous winners and officers and employees of the ABA, the American Bar Foundation, and the American Bar Endowment.

"The warrant clause is deeply rooted in the rights of the individual and in legal liberty," stated the board in announcing the theme. "It is one of the three great principles of governmental prohibition — neutrality, sufficiency and particularity — that are indelibly inscribed in the Fourth Amendment. How should these guarantees be constitutionally preserved? Is it only the peculiar duty of our courts to enforce them?" asked the board.

In addition to receiving the cash prize, the contest winner will be brought to Chicago in August 1984 in a program to be cosponsored by the ABA Journal and the ABA Section of Criminal Justice during the ABA's 1984 Annual Meeting.

Entries must be original works, including quoted material and citations. Each entrant is required to file an assignment of rights to the essay to the ABA, along with the essay, all entries that are not selected for the prize will be returned, and the ABA will relinquish all rights to them. Entries must be original works, drafted for the contest. The deadline for entries is April 1, 1984.

A first for Alabama

On February 6-9, 1984, the newly created United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit will sit in Montgomery for the first time. The court will be held in the Court of Appeals courtroom on the fourth floor of the Federal Building.

The new Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit was created by the Act of October 1, 1982, upon the merger of the Court of Customs and Patent Appeals and the Court of Claims. This court is a "true" Circuit Court and sits at various locations throughout the United States. The Montgomery County Bar Association plans to host a reception for the judges and court personnel.

The jurisdiction of this court is varied and involves complex and often technical matters. Its jurisdiction includes appeals from the United States Court of International Trade, from the United States District Courts on government contract matters, from the Claims Court, from the International Trade Commission, from the Patent and Trademark office, from the Merit Systems Protection Board, and from Boards of Contract Appeals.

The Court will offer admissions to its bar during its session in Montgomery and any attorney desiring admission may obtain an admission form from the Honorable Curtis Kaver, Clerk, U.S. District Court, P.O. Box 711, Montgomery, Alabama 36101, or contact the Honorable George Hutchinson, Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, 717 Madison Place, NW, Washington, DC 20439.

Chief justice elected to executive committee

C.C. Torbert, Jr., Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Alabama, has been elected to the Executive Committee of the American Judicature Society, a national organization for improvement of the courts.

Founded in 1913, AJIS is supported by more than 30,000 concerned citizens. Through research, educational programs, and publications, the Society addresses concerns related to the selection and retention of judges, court management, and the public's understanding of the judicial system.
General counsel named

Florence attorney Robert L. Potts has been named general counsel for the University of Alabama, replacing Rufus Bealle who recently retired.

Potts received his J.D. from the University of Alabama School of Law in 1969, and L.L.M. from the Harvard Law School in 1971.

He has been a member of the Alabama Law Institute Council since 1975. He has served as president of the Alabama Law School Alumni Association and the Alabama State Bar Young Lawyers Section. He presently is chairman of the Alabama State Bar Board of Examiners. Potts, also, is a frequent author of book reviews for The Alabama Lawyer.

District judge appointed

Robert G. Wilson of Fort Payne has recently been appointed district judge for DeKalb County. He replaces Judge Richard C. Hunt who retired on October 31, 1983.

Wilson is a graduate of the University of Alabama and received his law degree from the Cumberland School of Law in 1973. He was in private practice in Fort Payne prior to his appointment.

Interested attorneys may submit applications

Applications are now being accepted for eight seats on the Legal Services Corporation of Alabama's Board of Directors to be appointed by the Board of Bar Commissioners. New Board members will be seated in July 1984. There is no compensation for Board members, but travel expenses will be paid.

Applicants must be members in good standing of the Alabama State Bar, must have a genuine desire to serve on the Board of Directors, and must agree to attend quarterly Board meetings in Montgomery.

The Bar Commissioners are seeking to appoint members from a wide variety of legal backgrounds and from all parts of the state. All interested lawyers, regardless of type of practice, and especially women and minorities, are invited to apply.

To apply, interested lawyers should submit to Mary Lyn Pike a letter detailing their qualifications and including a brief statement of their reasons for wishing to serve on the Board and their past experience, if any, with the delivery of legal services to the poor. Applications must be submitted by March 31, 1984.

Association of corporate counsel elects leaders

The annual meeting of the Alabama Association of Corporate Counsel was held on October 18, 1983, at which time the following officers were elected for 1983-84: Dudley C. Reynolds, president (Alabama Gas Corporation); Jerry W. Powell, president-elect (Central Bancshares of the South); and Anita L. Miller, secretary-treasurer (South Central Bell).

Additionally, the following were elected as directors: L. Daniel Morris, Jr., (Blount); Patricia Boyd Runmore (Torchmark Corp.); Robert E. Minor (Coal Systems, Inc.); William A. Smith (Sonat, Inc.); Rufus II. Craig (MacMillan-Bloedel, Inc.); and Kenneth E. Little (Southern Life and Health Insurance).

Organized in 1981, the association is comprised of 124 corporate counsel, representing some 47 corporations located in Alabama and Georgia.

Membership is open to attorneys employed by corporations qualified to do business in Alabama who are engaged in the performance of legal matters on behalf of their employer, or who manage personnel performing such functions.

Interested corporate counsel should contact the association's secretary-treasurer, Anita Miller, at 3196 Highway 280 South, Room 304N, Birmingham, Alabama 35243.

Further immunity granted

On November 7, 1983 the Supreme Court ordered that a proposed amendment to Rule 9 of the Code of Professional Responsibility of the Alabama State Bar Rules of Disciplinary Enforcement be adopted and become effective immediately. The amendment, submitted by the Board of Bar Commissioners, grants immunity from civil suit to any attorney acting in compliance with DR 1-103.

Disciplinary Rule 1-103 relates to the disclosure of information to authorities empowered to investigate or act upon an attorney's misconduct in violation of DR 1-102 which states that a lawyer shall not: (1) Violate a Disciplinary Rule; (2) circumvent a Disciplinary Rule through actions of another; (3) engage in illegal conduct involving moral turpitude; (4) engage in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation, nor be guilty of willful misconduct; (5) engage in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice; nor (6) engage in any other conduct that adversely reflects on his fitness to practice law.

Law related quotations to be published

The editor of a forthcoming book on the best 3,000 quotes on the law, lawyers and justice is seeking contributions from the legal profession. Recent quotes would be especially helpful, the editor says.

Contributions of quotes used in the book, titled The Quotable Lawyer, will be given credit for their suggestions and notified upon publication, which is scheduled for early 1985.

Quotations, preferably including the source, should be sent to Elizabeth Frost-Knapman, President, New England Publishing Associates, P.O. Box 39, Old Lyme, Connecticut 06371.

Bar examiner elected

S. Dagntl Rowe of Huntsville has been elected to the Board of Bar Examiners to replace Winston V. Legge, Jr., whose term recently expired. Rowe will serve a four-year term, examining in the area of taxation. He is with the law firm of Cleary, Lee, Morris, Evans & Rowe.
Riding the Circuits

Birmingham Bar Association

On December 9, 1983, the following were elected as officers of the Birmingham Bar Association:

President: Thomas W. Christian
Vice president: J. Mason Davis
Secretary/Treasurer: M. Clay Osball
Executive Committee: James W. Gewin
James S. Lloyd
L. Stephen Wright, Jr.
Charles W. Gorham

Baldwin County Bar Association

Officers of the Baldwin County Bar Association for the 1983-84 year are:

President: Thomas W. Underwood, Jr.
Vice president: James H. Reid, Jr.
Treasurer: Charles C. Simpson III

The Baldwin County Bar Association is sponsoring the placement of portraits in the county courthouse of deceased members of the Baldwin County bench and bar with ten years of service to the community. Recently these portraits were presented at two separate ceremonies attended by the relatives of those being honored. At the ceremonies held on September 6 and December 3, 1983, attorney Taylor D. Wilkins, Jr., assisted Judge Harry J. Willers, Jr., with the program during which the portraits, compiled by attorney Samuel N. Crosby, were hung.

This year Baldwin County celebrates its 175th birthday. Baldwin County attorney Sam Crosby plans on commemorating the year by publishing a book of historical sketches of the Baldwin County Bar, a collection of stories he has been working on over the past two years.

Calhoun-Cleburne County Bar Association

Nineteen eighty-three was a good year for the Calhoun-Cleburne County Bar Association under the leadership of President Richard Cater. The local bar embarked on an ambitious course for the Law Day Activities in the spring which included a bar association sponsored debate on the death penalty at the Anniston High School Auditorium for area high school students, a local radio talk show with host Rex Gardner and Law Day chairperson Brenda Smith Stedham, a television interview program with Bill Hagler and Mimi Butler, and several other events.

The Calhoun-Cleburne County Bar Association was also active in continuing legal education in 1983. The first seminar offered concerned Consumer Related Law and was presented on August 5 and 6, 1983 in conjunction with the Alabama Bar Institute for Continuing Legal Education. The seminar was sponsored during the Twelfth Annual Alabama Shakespeare Festival. On October 20, 1983 the local bar association co-sponsored another seminar with the Cumberland Institute for Continuing Legal Education on the topic of Worker's Compensation. Additionally monthly bar meetings often sponsored special speakers to inform local practitioners on topics of interest.

On the lighter side with bar association support the Lawyers softball team was organized and participated in the Anniston Industrial League with some success; however, the Annual Doctor-Lawyer softball game in August, sponsored by the legal secretaries, resulted in a resounding bar association victory. Unfortunately the Lawyers did not fare as well against the Bankers in their annual contest.

The final events of the year involved the local bar association participation in Anniston's Centennial. Several members were participants in the Centennial pageant as Civil War soldiers and roaring twenties dancers. Much of the historical information on the early history of Calhoun County was supplied by retired Circuit Judge William Bibb who also authored a portion of the historical Centennial booklet. The celebration was a tremendous community success and the bar association was an important contributing organization.

With 1983 such a success for the Calhoun-Cleburne County Bar Association, the organization can only look forward to an even more challenging and exciting 1984.
Jackson County Bar Association

Officers of the Jackson County Bar for the 1983-84 year are:

President: Jack Livingston
Vice president: Tommy Armstrong
Secretary/Treasurer: Gerald R. Paulk

In October the Jackson County Bar Association held a seminar on estate planning and the new Probate Code, instructed by L.B. Feld. The turnout was very good and the seminar very helpful and informative.

SMITH-ALSOBROOK & ASSOC.
EXPERT WITNESS SERVICES
- Machine guarding
- Traffic accident reconstruction
- Tire consulting
- Industrial accidents
- Construction accidents
- Safety and procedure analysis
- Fire & arson investigation

BOBBY D. SMITH, B.S., J.D., President
P.O. Box 3064 Opelika, AL 36801 (205) 748-1544

Mobile Bar Association

Mobile Bar Association officers for 1984 were unanimously elected October 19, 1983. They are:

President: G. Sage Lyons
President-elect: Ben H. Kilborn
Vice president: Mitchell G. Lattef, Sr.
Secretary: Lawrence M. Wettermark
Treasurer: Stephen C. Olen

The Young Lawyers have also elected the following officers:

President: James B. Newman
Vice president: Mary Beth Mantiply
Secretary/Treasurer: J. Harley McDonald, Jr.

On the sports side, a word from the Mobile County Bar sports information director and local attorney Ben H. Harris, Jr.: "The famed Barristers of the Mobile Bar captured the coveted Sage Avenue City Park Softball Crown. The Purple and Maroon wrapped up the title with a devastating 13-1 rout of Omar's Tent Shop. The Barristers' victory, their ninth straight league triumph, upped their regular season mark to 11-2 and overall record to 16-2. Field Manager Jay York's stalwarts were led to the Throne Room by the timely hitting of Edgar Walsh, Mallory Mantiply, Buddy Brown and Sid Jackson, while the league champion's airtight defense helped stymie the opposition. Flanked by representatives of the local media at the champagne-flowing post-game celebration at Riverview Plaza, President James Duffy accepted the first place cup and remarked that the Barristers' climb from a disappointing fourth place finish at Miller's Park last season to the pinnacle of the Mobile softball world was one of the highlights of his administration. The 1982 Field Skipper Walsh refused to answer a probing inquiry as to why the team had fared so much better in 1983.

The final statistics on the 1983 Barristers, the best contingent since the 1951 team that mauld the doctors, revealed a sparkling .485 team batting average. Brown and Mantiply appeared to be locked in a tight struggle for league MVP honors, which include the award of a new sports car and a Caribbean cruise. The final league statistics batting averages are as follows: Sid Jackson : .695; Buddy Brown : .675 (team high 18 RBI's and 2 HR's); Mallory Mantiply : .641 (led league with 3 HR's and tied with Brown for team high total of 18 RBI's); Edgar Walsh : .585 (team high 18 runs scored); Mickey Smith : .521; Danny Barlar : .517; Charlie Fleming : .505; Eddie Greene : .472; George Walker : .461; Dale Stone : .448; Steve Stine : .444; Coryk Ollinger : .378; Craig Pittman : .375; Tommy Bear : .347; Mike Harris : .286; Steve Terry : .250; Jim Green : .000 (2 at bat's) and Buddy Jay : .000 (played with a broken hand but looked like a lawyer most of the season). Field Manager York was unable to confirm or deny rumors that the Barristers might venture into post-season tournament action. Coach York did announce plans to move the club's spring training facility from the Texas Street Recreation Center to the Grand Hotel."
**January**

**19-20**

**Land Use Regulation and Litigation**

New Orleans Hilton

Sponsored by: ALI-ABA

Credits: 12.8  Cost: $335

For Information: (215) 243-1600

**Trial Techniques: Evidence**

Los Angeles Biltmore

Sponsored by: Defense Research Institute

Credits: 16.2  Cost: $300/members; $325/nonmembers

For Information: (214) 272-5995

**February**

**27 Friday**

**Sales Law in Alabama**

Birmingham-Jefferson Civic Center

Sponsored by: Alabama Institute for CLE

Credits: 6.3  Cost: $65

For Information: (205) 348-6230

**Effective Trial Techniques: Part I**

First Alabama Bank Bldg.—Birmingham

Sponsored by: Birmingham Bar Association

Credits: 3.4

For Information: (205) 251-8006

**Social Security Disability Claims**

Atlanta

Sponsored by: ICLE of Georgia

Credits: 7.2

For Information: (404) 542-2522

**March**

**9 Thursday**

**Marital Law**

Quality Inn, Airport—Mobile

Sponsored by: Alabama Institute for CLE

Credits: 6.5  Cost: $65

For Information: (205) 348-6230

**Fundamentals of Bankruptcy Law**

Hotel Utah—Salt Lake City

Sponsored by: ALI-ABA

Credits: 21.8  Cost: $395

For Information: (215) 243-1600

**April**

**10 Friday**

**Marital Law**

Montgomery Civic Center

Sponsored by: Alabama Institute for CLE

Credits: 6.5  Cost: $65

For Information: (205) 348-6230

**Civil Rights Practice and Procedure**

Atlanta

Sponsored by: ICLE of Georgia

Credits: 7.2

For Information: (404) 542-2522

**Representation of the Entertainer and the Athlete**

Las Vegas Hilton

Sponsored by: ABA

Credits: 6.5  Cost: $125

For Information: (800) 621-8986

**Federal and State Procurement Abuse and Fraud**

Las Vegas Hilton

Sponsored by: ABA

Credits: 6.6  Cost: $125

For Information: (800) 621-8986
march

21-22
ALABAMA WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION
Birmingham-Jefferson Civic Center
Sponsored by: Alabama Department of Industrial Relations
Credits: 10.8
For Information: (205) 832-5040

21-22
ALABAMA WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION
Birmingham-Jefferson Civic Center
Sponsored by: Alabama Department of Industrial Relations
Credits: 10.8
For Information: (205) 832-5040

28 wednesday
Birmingham-Jefferson Civic Center
Sponsored by: Alabama Institute for CLE
For Information: (205) 348-6230

30 friday
BASIC LEGAL SKILLS
Birmingham-Jefferson Civic Center
Sponsored by: YLS and Alabama Institute for CLE
For Information: (205) 348-6230

30-31
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LAW
Chicago Marriott
Sponsored by: National Practice Institute
Credits: 14.4 Cost: $165
For information: (800) 328-4444

31 saturday
LAW DAY CLE PROGRAM
Cumberland School of Law
Sponsored by: Cumberland Institute for CLE
For Information: (205) 870-2865

16 thursday
MARITAL LAW
Von Braun Civic Center—Huntsville
Sponsored by: Alabama Institute for CLE
Credits: 6.5 Cost: $65
For Information: (205) 348-6230

17 friday
MARITAL LAW
Birmingham-Jefferson Civic Center
Sponsored by: Alabama Institute for CLE
Credits: 6.5 Cost: $65
For Information: (205) 348-6230

23-25
ENVIRONMENTAL LAW
Washington
Sponsored by: ABA
For Information: (215) 243-1600

24 friday
LAW OFFICE AUTOMATION
Birmingham-Jefferson Civic Center
Sponsored by: Alabama Institute for CLE
Credits: 3.3 Cost: $65
For Information: (205) 348-6230
MANDATORY CONTINUING LEGAL EDUCATION COMMISSION
ALABAMA STATE BAR
1983 MCLE FORM 1

ANNUAL REPORT OF COMPLIANCE

Submit this form by February 28, 1984

Name and address as shown on Bar records:

REQUEST FOR EXEMPTION

☐ A. I was admitted to the State Bar of Alabama during 1983.

☐ B. I reached the age of 65 during or before 1983.

☐ C. I am

☐ a full-time judge.

☐ a member of the U.S. House or Senate.

☐ a member of the U.S. Armed Forces.

☐ a member of the Alabama Legislature.

☐ prohibited from the private practice of law by Constitution, law or regulation.

☐ D. During 1983, I held a special membership.

☐ E. I have received approval of a permanent substitute program.

☐ F. I have received a waiver from the MCLE Commission.

Telephone Number:

Birthdate:

YR  MO  DAY

1983 CREDIT SUMMARY

Carryover from 1981 and 1982

Hours of approved course work attended in 1983

Hours of teaching credit claimed pursuant to Reg. 3.5

TOTAL

Hours in excess of 12 earned in 1983 to be carried forward for credit in 1984

1983 CREDIT REPORT

(attach additional sheets as necessary)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Attendance</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Course or Seminar Title</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Credits Earned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B. Teaching</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Subject(s) Taught</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Hours Taught</th>
<th>Credits Claimed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I affirm that the information given above is to the best of my knowledge, accurate and complete.

Signature ____________________________

Date ____________________________

NOTE: Continuing legal education compliance forms were due on December 31, 1983. Attorneys who have not reported compliance for 1983 should submit this form, or a photocopy of the form, indicating that it is an original submission. Attorneys who attended additional 1983 programs after filing their reports should submit the additions on this form, or a photocopy, indicating at the top that it is being submitted for purposes of amendment.

*CLE presentations accompanied by thorough, high quality, readable and carefully prepared written materials qualify for CLE credit on the basis of 6.0 credits for each hour of presentation.

*Presentations accompanied by one or two page outlines or not accompanied by written materials qualify for CLE credit on the basis of 3.0 credits per hour of presentation.
Lawyers return to state legislature . . . or did they?

The three judge federal court hearing Alabama’s reapportionment plan ruled that the legislature elected in 1982 was elected for only one year. As a result, new elections were held resulting in twenty-three new legislators being elected and new committee assignments being made.

The Senate now has fourteen lawyers compared to seventeen a year ago. Not returning to the Senate were: Spencer Bachus (now in the House), Don Harrison, Larry Keener, Reo Kirkland, Wendell Mitchell and Lister Hill Proctor. New senators include: Charles Langford of Montgomery (who moved over from the House of Representatives where he was chairman of the Judiciary) and first time legislators Frank “Butch” Ellis of Columbiana and Hank Sanders of Selma. They are joined by returning lawyer senators: Gary Aldridge, Decatur; John Amari, Birmingham; Roger Bedford, Russellville; Steve Cooley, Cullman; Ryan deGraffenried, Tuscaloosa; Michael Figures, Mobile; Earl Hilliard, Birmingham; Ted Little, Auburn; Mac Parsons, Birmingham; Richmond Pearson, Birmingham; and Jim Smith, Huntsville.

The House of Representatives has twelve lawyers — the same as a year ago. New lawyer representatives include: John Tanner, Pelham; Bill Fuller, LaFayette; Michael Onderdonk, Chatom; Beth Marietta, Mobile; and Spencer Bachus, Birmingham (who moved over from the Senate). These legislators join representatives: Tom Drake, Cullman; Jim Campbell, Anniston; Tom Nicholson, Jasper; Morris Brooks, Huntsville; Mike Box, Satsuma; Albert Johnson, Phenix City; and Phil Poole, Moundville.

In an opinion of the justices, the Supreme Court ruled the newly elected legislators took office on November 9, 1983 and will be serving for three years. The court also said the respective houses could organize as they saw fit.

In the Senate the committee chairman stayed virtually the same with the top four posts being: John Teague, Chil-

dersburg, President Pro Tem; Charles Bishop, Jasper, chairman of Rules; Hinton Mitchem, Albertville, chairman of Finance and Taxation; and attorney Earl Hilliard, Birmingham, chairman of Judiciary.

The House of Representatives saw two of its five top officers change due to the Representatives not seeking reelection to their House seat. Attorney Tom Drake of Cullman remains Speaker, Roy Johnson, Tuscaloosa, remains Speaker Pro Tem and Tom Colburn, Sheffield, chairman of Ways and Means. New appointments went to Jimmy Clark of Eufaula, who is chairman of Rules, and to attorney Jim Campbell, Anniston, who is chairman of Judiciary.

**Senate Judiciary:** Earl Hilliard, chairman, Birmingham; Frank Ellis, vice chairman, Columbiana; Gary Aldridge, Hartselle; John Amari, Birmingham; Roger Bedford, Russellville; Jim Bennett, Homewood; Steve Cooley, Cullman;

(Continued on page 46)
Section 1983 Actions: A Practical Overview For the General Practitioner

by David F. Daniell and John T. Mooresmith

This article is intended to provide the reader with a general overview of the practical considerations involved in handling claims arising under 42 U.S.C. §1983 (hereinafter §1983). Section 1983 and its jurisdictional counterpart, 28 U.S.C. §1343(3), began as Section I of the Ku Klux Klan Act of 1871 which was enacted by Congress to enforce the newly ratified Fourteenth Amendment. Section 1983 reads as follows:

Every person who, under color or any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom or usage, of any State or Territory, subjects, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any right, privilege, or immunity, secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit in equity, or other proper proceeding for redress...

Although the Federal Rules of Civil and Appellate Procedure govern §1983 litigation in the federal courts, jurisdictional requirements are specially set out in 28 U.S.C. §1343(3), which provides in its pertinent portions as follows:

The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of any civil action authorized by law to be commenced by any person:...

(3) To redress the deprivation, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom or usage of any right, privilege or immunity, of any right, privilege or immunity, secured by the Constitution of the United States or by any Act of Congress providing for equal rights of citizens or of all persons within the jurisdiction of the United States.

It is important to note that §1343(3) contains no jurisdictional amount. This is in line with the stated purpose of §1983 which is to interpose the federal courts between the States and the people, as guardians of the people's federal rights in order to protect the people from deprivation of any right, privilege, or immunity secured by the Constitution and certain federal statutes under color of state law.

The phrase "under color of state law, statute, ordinance, regulation, custom or usage" requires state action as a condition precedent to an action founded on §1983. In evaluating whether a potential plaintiff can assert a claim under §1983, the lawyer's analysis must initially focus on the extent of state action involved. State action is obvious in cases where state officials are acting in their official capacities and in capacities authorized by state law. However, the question of state action becomes more obscure where state officials have acted in official capacities, but in a manner not authorized by state law. The most complex questions of state action arise where the potential claim is based on the acts of a purely private person, but with some governmental involvement. In these cases, the question becomes whether the action of the private person should be treated as if it were governmental conduct.

While the determination as to whether state action is involved must necessarily be made on a case by case basis, the United States Supreme Court has formulated several tests to determine whether state action is involved in the offending conduct of a private person. Among these tests are whether
the private person is exercising a function which has traditionally been exercised by the State; whether the State has required, supported or is significantly involved in the conduct; or whether there is a strong degree of interdependency between the private person and the State. An excellent example of the analytical process used in resolving the question of state action is presented in Burton v. Wilmington Parking Authority, 365 U.S. 715 (1961), which found state action sufficient to support a §1983 claim in a situation involving racial discrimination by a private person operating a restaurant located in a building which was leased from a state agency.

**Parties**

A §1983 plaintiff may be "any citizen of the United States or other person within the jurisdiction thereof . . ." Although the United States Supreme Court has held that a corporation cannot assert a violation of the privileges and immunities clause of the Fourteenth Amendment in a §1983 action, a corporation has been held to be an "other person" for §1983 purposes within the meaning of the equal protection and the due process of law clauses when suing in its own right. Municipalities, on the other hand, cannot be §1983 plaintiffs, just as a state may not sue in its own right as a §1983 plaintiff. City of Safety Harbor v. Birchfield, 529 F.2d 1251 (5th Cir. 1976); Buda v. Saxbe, 406 F. Supp. 390 (E.D. Tenn. 1974). Aliens may be §1983 plaintiffs as "other persons," but an unborn fetus is neither a "citizen" nor an "other person." McGarvey v. Magee-Woman's Hospital, 340 F. Supp. 751 (W.D. Pa. 1972), aff'd, 474 F.2d 1339 (3rd Cir. 1973). Section 1983 claims may also be asserted as class actions. This can be an important element for a plaintiff in financing a §1983 action on behalf of groups such as teachers, employees of public agencies, individuals holding licenses from governmental entities, or groups seeking privileges at public facilities.

Almost all natural persons, corporate entities and associations are proper §1983 defendants. Since the United States Supreme Court's ruling in *Mo-nell v. Department of Social Services*, 436 U.S. 658 (1978), cities, counties and other local government entities are also potential defendants in many circumstances. Despite this extension, states and their agencies have not yet been construed as "persons" capable of bringing suit directly under §1983, the Eleventh Amendment being held to bar such suits. *Alabama v. Pugh*, 438 U.S. 781 (1978); *Quern v. Jordan*, 440 U.S. 332 (1979). However, where an individual or class has been denied constitutional or federal statutory rights by a state or its agencies, the state officials involved may be held to have violated the equal protection and the due process of law within the meaning of the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.


**Pendent Jurisdiction**

State or federal claims for which jurisdiction is not otherwise proper under §1983 and 28 U.S.C. §1343(3) may be joined with a proper §1983 claim under the doctrine of "pendent jurisdiction." Pendent jurisdiction is discretionary with the federal district court, and may by exercised by the court without regard to the plaintiff's success or failure on his §1983 claim. For example, in the Southern District of Alabama, pendent jurisdiction is liberally asserted, but pendent claims are often dismissed if the underlying federal claim is dismissed prior to trial. Liberal exercise of pendent jurisdiction is generally favorable to both plaintiff and defendant in a §1983 action since all facets of the case can then be disposed of in a single proceeding.

**Selecting the Forum**

State courts may exercise concurrent jurisdiction over §1983 claims. Where §1983 claims are submitted to and heard by state courts, relevant §1983 substantive law must be applied. Though §1983 plaintiffs rarely select Alabama state courts as their forums, serious consideration should be given by a §1983 plaintiff to the selection of state courts where both pendent party defendants and are present against whom no viable §1983 action exists. By instituting the action in state court, the plaintiff can save the time and expense of maintaining two separate actions. An additional consideration when selecting the forum is the test for sufficiency of evidence which the court will apply. As a procedural matter, the test applied will be determined by the forum selected. Hence, a §1983 plaintiff pursuing a pendent state claim in federal court will be denied Alabama's liberal "scintilla rule" and will be required to come forth with more than a "scintilla" of evidence in support of his position to take his case to the jury. *Walker v. American Motorists Ins. Co.*, 529 F.2d 1163 (5th Cir. 1976); *Boeing Co. v. Shipman*, 411 F.2d 365 (5th Cir. 1969).
Where the plaintiff originally institutes his action in state court under §1983, however, the defendant has the choice of defending in the state court or removing the action to the federal district court for the district and division embracing the place where such state action is pending. This results from 28 U.S.C. §1441(b) which provides that "any civil action of which the district courts have original jurisdiction founded on a claim or right arising under the Constitution, treaties or laws of the United States shall be removed without regard to the citizenship or residence of the parties."

Federal Venue and Procedural Rules

An action under §1983 may be brought only (1) in the jurisdictional district where all of the defendants reside; (2) the district in which the claim arose; or (3) where the defendants reside in different districts of Alabama, in any of those districts. Under 28 U.S.C. §1391(c), a corporation may be sued in any district in which it is incorporated, is licensed to do business, or is doing business. Of course, just as in other federal actions, the district court may transfer the action to any other district or division where it may have originally been brought for the convenience of the parties and witnesses, if such a transfer would be in the interest of justice under 28 U.S.C. §1404(a). Federal provisions relating to venue are very important in selecting a forum. For example, in the case where a resident of Lauderdale County has a potential assault claim against an officer of the City of Florence, the injured party would, under Ala. Code §6-3-2 (1975) be limited to bringing his action in Lauderdale County if he chose to proceed in state court. If, however, he chose to bring the action in federal court under §1983, he would be able to file the action within the Northern District of Alabama, providing him an opportunity to have his case heard outside of Lauderdale County and not limited by the boundaries of that county.

As stated previously, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Appellate Procedure govern the trial and appeal of §1983 claims in the federal district courts. 28 U.S.C. §1257 provides an avenue for review of state court decisions under §1983 by the United States Supreme Court through appeal or certiorari. In these instances, the procedural rules of the United States Supreme Court apply.

Relief

A §1983 plaintiff may seek declaratory or injunctive relief, as well as damages, both compensatory and punitive. In an action against a state, its officers or its agencies, however, a §1983 plaintiff must first overcome the hurdle of governmental immunity afforded by the Eleventh Amendment to the United States Constitution. An excellent discussion of the immunity afforded under the Eleventh Amendment may be found in the dissenting opinion of Justice Powell in Patsy v. Board of Regents of the State of Florida, 457 U.S. 496 (1982). In Alabama, a §1983 plaintiff faces the additional hurdle of Article I, §15, of the Constitution of Alabama of 1901, which provides that the State of Alabama shall never be made a defendant in any court of law or equity.

In an effort to avoid the bar presented by the Eleventh Amendment, plaintiffs have frequently named as defendants the individual public officials, members of a board, or other such persons. As discussed earlier, public officials or board members in their individual capacities may be subject to injunctive relief, and, depending upon the circumstances, answerable in damages. Even in circumstances where the public official taking the challenged action is shielded by Eleventh Amendment immunity, a §1983 action may still lie against private parties who conspired or acted in concert with the immune official. Dennis v. Sparks, 449 U.S. 24 (1980).

The United States Supreme Court has recognized that some degree of immunity, absolute or qualified, is necessary for public officials to enable them to make decisions when they are needed or to implement decisions when they are made. Scheuer v. Rhodes, 416 U.S. 232 (1974). In determining the extent of immunity which should be accorded a public official, the United States Supreme Court has refused to allow immunity from the imposition of damages under §1983 where the defendant knew or should have known that the action taken within his sphere of official responsibility would violate a person's Constitutional rights or where the defendant took the action with the malicious intention to cause deprivation of Constitutional rights or other injury to the person. Wood v. Strickland, 420 U.S. 388 (1975). This standard, however, does not permit liability to be placed upon a public official on the basis of respondent superior or vicarious liability. Thompson v. Bass, 616 F.2d 1259 (5th Cir. 1980).

In many instances, the federal courts will look to state law to determine allowable elements of damages. Compensatory damages claimed in §1983 actions may be either special or general. In many §1983 actions, however, the plaintiff will not be able to prove special or general damages even though there may have been a violation of constitutional or statutory rights, leaving only nominal damages to be awarded. In these cases, it may be possible for a §1983 plaintiff to be awarded punitive damages without actual loss and even despite local law to the contrary. McCulloch v. Glasgow, 620 F.2d 47 (5th Cir. 1980). The determination of whether punitive damages will be awarded depends in large part upon the specific conduct of the defendant and the potential deterrent effect of the imposition of punitive damages. In the case of Silver v. Cornier, 529 F.2d 161 (10th Cir. 1976), the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals upheld an award of punitive damages against an urban renewal official who threatened to withhold legally required payments if the plaintiff sought legal redress upon another matter.

In the Eleventh Circuit, §1983 actions survive the death of either the plaintiff or defendant if that would be the law or result under the applicable state law. Since Alabama has no survival statute, an action brought under §1983 arising out of the death of an individual would naturally have to be brought under the Alabama Wrongful Death Statute with its corresponding damage limitation.
Attorney’s Fees

Certainly of interest to plaintiff’s counsel is the Civil Rights Attorney’s Fees Awards Act of 1976, an amendment to 42 U.S.C. §1983, which authorizes the court to award attorney’s fees in §1983 cases in certain circumstances. The Act provides that in “any action or proceeding to enforce Section 1983 and any other civil rights statute the court, in its discretion, may allow the prevailing party . . . a reasonable attorney’s fee as part of the costs.” This Act applies not only to actions brought in federal court, but also to actions brought in state court. Maine v. Thiboutot, 448 U.S. 1 (1980).

Although the Act speaks of “the prevailing party” so as to give an illusion of equal treatment to both the plaintiff and defendant, the standards for awards of attorney’s fees under 42 U.S.C. §1983 are very different for a prevailing plaintiff and a prevailing defendant. On the one hand, a prevailing plaintiff “should ordinarily recover an attorney’s fee unless special circumstances would render such an award unjust.” Newman v. Piggie Park Enterprises, Inc., 390 U.S. 440 (1968). On the other hand, a finding by the court that the plaintiff’s action was frivolous, unreasonable or without foundation is required before the court, in its discretion, may award an attorney’s fee to a prevailing defendant. Christianburg Garment Co. v. EEOC, 434 U.S. 412 (1978). This distinction protects the defendant from groundless litigation, but is still consistent with the purpose of §1983, which is to encourage the protection of constitutional and federal rights. Through this distinction, a plaintiff who has some reasonable basis for a §1983 claim is not penalized simply because his case is lost at trial.

The award of attorney’s fees applies to work performed both at the trial level and at the appellate level. While the amount of the fee is discretionary with the court, a number of factors are generally considered in determining what is reasonable. These factors include but are not limited to:

1. The time and labor required;
2. the novelty and difficulty of the case;
3. the skill required;
4. the customary fee;
5. whether or not the fee is fixed or contingent;
6. the time limitations involved;
7. the amount of money involved;
8. the nature and length of the professional relationship with the client;
9. the result obtained;
10. the experience, reputation and ability of the attorney;
11. the undesirability of the case; and
12. awards in similar cases.

Johnson v. Georgia Highway Express, Inc., 488 F.2d 714 (5th Cir. 1974).

As a practice pointer, counsel for a §1983 plaintiff would be well advised to keep careful time records during the pendency of his client’s action since the trial court may require the attorney seeking fees under the Act to file an extensive work schedule with the relevant details set out in the event he prevails on the merits. King v. Greenblatt, 560 F.2d 1024 (1st Cir. 1977), cert. denied, 438 U.S. 916 (1978). In some cases, where a plaintiff has prevailed against a defendant on some but not all claims, courts have prorated or apportioned fees corresponding to the degree of the plaintiff’s success on the various claims. Just as in any other federal litigation, costs are recoverable by the prevailing party in a §1983 action subject to the court’s discretion.

Conclusion

The preceding overview was designed to give the general practitioner a working knowledge of §1983 actions — a roadmap to areas to be considered and explored in evaluating a client’s potential claim. In this era of ever increasing government regulations and involvement in our day-to-day lives, §1983 can provide a sword as well as a shield against those who would exceed or abuse the public trust.
Miranda requires a knowing and intelligent waiver

_Eddings v. State_, 7 Div. 132 (October 4, 1983). The defendant was indicted for burglary in the third degree. He was granted youthful offender status and following a non-jury trial was found guilty.

On appeal, the appellate court was asked to examine whether the defendant had knowingly and intelligently waived his constitutional rights. Since confessions are prima facie involuntary, the court looked to see if the state proved that the defendant's waiver and confession were understandingly, knowingly and intelligently waived. _Garrett v. State_, 369 So.2d 833 (Ala. 1979); _Hines v. State_, 384 So.2d 1171 (Ala. Crim. App.), cert. denied, 384 So.2d 1184 (Ala. 1980).

Prior to trial, a hearing was held on the defendant's motion to suppress his statement. At the hearing, the director of Special Education for Talladega County testified that the defendant had last been tested on April 9th, 1981 and withdrew from school on March 23, 1982. His last testing revealed his IQ to be 49 which places him in the trainable mentally retarded classification. The court of appeals found that persons in this classification were only able to learn basic skills but would not be able to understand complex instructions.

One of the defendant's former teachers testified that the defendant could not read or write but could copy. However, the defendant was unable to understand what he was copying. In her opinion, the defendant would not understand the _Miranda_ rights without further and significant explanation. Specifically, she testified that the defendant would not understand the words "waiver," "coercion" or "rights."

A divided Court of Criminal Appeals reversed. Judge Tyson, writing for the majority, reasoned: "In this case, it is clear from the record that the appellant could not understand his constitutional rights without adequate explanation . . . Since it is impossible for the appellant to knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive his constitutional rights without understanding their meaning or the consequences of the waiver of those rights, we must hold the appellant did not, in fact, knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive his constitutional rights. Therefore, we find that the appellant's confession was involuntarily and inadmissible at trial and his conviction must be reversed."

**Misdemeanor... right to trial by jury**

_Day v. City of Mobile_, 1 Div. 547 (decided October 4, 1983). Leslie Day was convicted in the Municipal Court of Mobile County of driving while intoxicated. The defendant gave notice of appeal and filed a written demand for trial by jury with the clerk of the circuit court. The City moved to strike the demand for trial by jury and this motion was granted.

The appeal concerned but one point of law, i.e., whether one who has been found guilty of violating a municipal ordinance in city court has a right to trial by jury if he appeals the case to the district court. In an opinion authored by Judge Hubert Taylor, the Court of Criminal Appeals held that the defendant was entitled to a trial by jury.

The court of appeals reasoned "that §15-14-39, Ala. Code 1975, clearly states that all misdemeanor cases in the circuit court . . . shall be tried by the judge . . . except in cases where a trial by jury is demanded in writing by the defendant. Such written demand shall be filed . . . within 30 days after the defendant has appealed if the case is brought to the circuit court by appeal." The language of this section does not limit its operation to those cases appealed from district court.

**Laying the predicate for intoximeter results**

_Moore v. State_, 8 Div. 840 (November 29, 1983). The single issue raised on this appeal was whether the trial court committed reversible error in allowing the results of a photoelectric intoximeter test into evidence. The defendant contended that the proper predicate was never laid for such evidence since it was never proven that the test was performed according to the methods approved by the State Board of Health. The officer who performed the test testified that an hour after the collision, the defendant had a blood alcohol level of .14.

A unanimous Court of Criminal Appeals held that the record did not support a finding that the evidentiary foundation was laid for the admission of the intoximeter test results. The court reasoned that there are two methods by which the results of a photoelectric intoximeter test can be placed into evidence. Either it must be shown that the test was administered in conformity with the statute, or the traditional evidentiary foundation used to admit results from scientific tests must be laid. _Whetslo11e v. State_, 407 So.2d, 854 (Ala. Crim. App. 1981).

Nowhere in the state trooper's testimony was the foundation laid to indi-
cate that he had conducted the test according to methods approved by the State Board of Health. A copy of the Board's rules and regulations governing the administration of the test was never offered into evidence even though the witness was licensed to run the intoximeter. Hence, the test was not shown to be performed in conformity with the requirements of the statute. Nor did the State establish the traditional, non-statutory foundation required for the introduction of scientific test results.

The court of appeals held that in order to establish a predicate for admitting test results without reliance on the statute, there should be evidence that:

(1) The theory underlying the photoelectric intoximeter test is valid and generally accepted as such;
(2) the intoximeter is a reliable instrument and generally accepted as such;
(3) the intoximeter test was administered by a qualified individual who could properly conduct the test and interpret the results; and
(4) the instrument used in conducting the test was in good working condition and the test was conducted in such a manner as to secure accurate results.

Attorney's fees ... reasonableness determined

Peebles v. Miley, 17 ABR 4242 (September 30, 1983). In a case of importance to the Bar, the Supreme Court adopted the criteria set forth in American Bar Association Disciplinary Rule 2-106. Section (B), as additional yardsticks to be used in determining the reasonableness of counsel fees. The Supreme Court noted that Alabama previously had seven criteria to consider but that it had been over thirty years since the criteria were last examined. The five additional criteria set forth in Rule 2-106, Section (B), supra, are:

(1) Whether a fee is fixed or contingent,
(2) The nature and length of a professional relationship,
(3) The fee customarily charged in the locality for similar legal services,
(4) The likelihood that a particular employment may preclude other employment,
(5) The time limitations imposed by the client or by circumstances.

Dead man's statute ... withstands constitutional attack

Beddingfield v. Central Bank of Alabama, Supreme Court No. 82-842 (November 4, 1983). Noting that the constitutionality of the Dead Man's Statute is a novel one for the court, the Supreme Court applying the "rational basis" test, found that the statute furthers a proper governmental purpose and that the provisions of the statute are rationally related to that purpose. The underlying policy of the statute is to prevent testimony by living witnesses as to transactions with a person who is no longer alive to confront the witness nor to contradict his testimony. The Supreme Court took note of the fact that Rule 601 of the Federal Rules of Evidence completely rejects the principle of dead man statutes, as does Rule 601 of the Uniform Rules of Evidence, which has been adopted by at least twenty jurisdictions. Nevertheless, it is not the function of the court to determine the wisdom of specific legislation.

Recent Decisions of the Supreme Court of Alabama—Civil

Animals ... section 3-1-13, Ala. Code 1975, unconstitutional

Humane Society of Marshall County v. Adams, 17 ABR 4202 (September 30, 1983). In this opinion, the Supreme Court struck down Alabama's 1961 Animal Neglect Statute on due process grounds. Section 3-1-13, supra, authorized Humane Society officers to seize any animal which is sick or disabled due to neglect or cruel treatment. Although recognizing that the State of Alabama has a legitimate interest in seeing that animals are treated humanly, the legislation must meet procedural due process requirements. In this case, the cattle were seized and the only "notice" the owner received was of the seizure and the sale. The statute provided no opportunity for the owner to contest the seizure or the sale of the animals.

Civil procedure ... no election of remedies required

Adams v. Warwick Development Co., 18 ABR 39 (October 7, 1983). The purchasers of a home sued the contractor/seller seeking rescission and cancellation of the sale and money damages. Upon motion of the contractor/seller, the trial judge required the purchasers to elect their remedy prior to submission of the case to the trier of fact. The Supreme Court stated that the trial judge erred in requiring the election and noted that recent Alabama cases interpreting Rule 8(a), ARCP, clearly evidence an erosion of the former practice of requiring an election as between alternative remedies or theories of recovery. The plaintiff, therefore, is entitled to have the trier of fact pass on two alternative causes of action and if the proof sustains either, the plaintiff can recover damages. Of course, the plaintiff is not entitled to concurrent recoveries on inconsistent theories (rescission and damages for the breach).

Torts ... trade secrets doctrine recognized

Drill Parts and Service Co. v. Joy Manufacturing Co., 17 ABR 3780 (September 23, 1983). In a case of first impression, the Supreme Court recognized the Trade Secrets Doctrine in
Alabama. Restatement of Torts, Section 757 (1939). The Restatement, supra, provides that one who discloses or uses another's trade secret without privilege is liable if (a) he discovered the secret by improper means, or (b) his disclosure or use constitutes a breach of confidence, or (c) he learned the secret from a third person with notice that it was a secret and that the third person discovered it by improper means or that the third person's disclosure of it was a breach of his duty to the owner, or (d) he learned the secret with notice that it was a secret and that its disclosure was made to him by mistake.

Comments to the Restatement note that the exact definition of a trade secret is not possible. Furthermore, a determination of what constitutes a trade secret is a question of fact for the court. A trade secret may consist of any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it.

Recent Decisions of the Supreme Court of Alabama—Criminal

Felony possession of marijuana . . . not a crime of moral turpitude for purposes of impeachment

Ex parte McIntosh, 17 ABR 3828 (September 23, 1983). The Supreme Court of Alabama granted the writ of certiorari to determine if the defendant's prior conviction for felony possession of marijuana is a conviction of a crime involving moral turpitude for purposes of impeachment. Justice Jones, writing for the majority, held that such a conviction is not a crime involving moral turpitude, and, thus, is admissible into evidence for impeachment purposes.

As a general rule, a defendant who takes the stand in his own behalf during a criminal trial can be questioned on cross-examination about prior convictions for crimes involving moral turpitude. Sims v. State, 51 Ala. App. 183, 191, 283 So. 2d 635, 642 (1973). In this case the Supreme Court undertook a close analysis of the moral turpitude impeachment rule and invoked a balancing test in light of the high probability of prejudice against a defendant. Thus, a defendant wishing to testify in his own behalf faces the dilemma of whether to testify and run the risk of greatly prejudicing his defense by introduction of prior convictions or whether to refrain from testifying and damaging his defense by not telling his side of the story.

In reaching its conclusion, the majority of the court was unable to see how felony possession of marijuana for personal use differed from misdemeanor possession for personal use as an "indicium of a witness's future trustworthiness."

Mental competency . . . constitutional right to jury trial

Ex parte LaFlure, 18 ABR 209 (November 10, 1983). The defendant was charged with theft of property in the first degree in violation of §13A-8-3, Ala. Code 1975. At arraignment she pled not guilty and not guilty by reason of insanity. Later the defendant's attorneys filed motions requesting a hearing to determine mental competency to stand trial. A licensed psychiatrist and a licensed psychologist both testified that the defendant was unable to cooperate with counsel and assist in the preparation of her defense. One of the defendant's attorneys testified that she did not understand the nature of the charges pending against her and was unable to assist in the preparation of her defense.

The trial judge initially entered an order finding the defendant competent to stand trial. Upon reconsideration of this order, the judge ordered an independent examination of the defendant and scheduled a jury trial to resolve the issue. The jury trial did not take place because of the issuance of the writ of mandamus by the Alabama Court of Criminal Appeals.

The defendant asserted that the circuit court has inherent authority to order a jury trial to determine competency and that, absent an abuse of discretion, a circuit court's order granting such a trial could not be reversed. Alternatively, the defendant argued that §15-16-21 is violative of the equal protection clause of the U.S. Constitution because it arbitrarily denies the defendant who is not confined to jail the benefit of a jury trial to determine his competence to stand trial while granting a jury trial to confined defendants.

Justice Almon, writing for the majority, gives a scholarly overview of the common-law determination of competency to stand trial. Justice Almon's review spans from 1821 in the opinion of Rex v. Little, Russ. and Ry. 430, 168 Eng. Rep. 881 (1821) to the present. The court concluded that the right to jury trial on the issue of competence is preserved to the citizens of Alabama by § 11 of the Constitution of 1901.

In its ruling the Supreme Court held that the defendant was constitutionally entitled to a jury trial on the issue of her mental competency to stand trial. The statutes in Article II, Chapter 15, Title 15, Ala. Code 1975,
are to be considered modified to the extent that they are in conflict with the constitutional right to trial by jury.

Recent Decisions of the Supreme Court of the United States—Criminal

The Reach of RICO

U.S. v. Russell, 52 L.W. 1069 (November 8, 1983). Several federal circuits have split on the issue of whether the forfeiture provisions of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations (RICO) statute should be interpreted to authorize the forfeiture of illegal profits and proceeds of a racketeering enterprise. The statute further provides that assets, including buildings, bank accounts, equipment, etc., may be forfeited to the government where the government can show that

the business organization, though seemingly lawful, is funding or continues to exist because of illegal activities. The split in the decisions of the circuit courts had arisen over how far the courts will go in defining “any interest” as it is set forth in 18 USC §1963(a)(1) which provides for forfeiture of “any interest in” an illegal racketeering enterprise.

The Supreme Court of the United States unanimously concluded that insurance proceeds received by a defendant as a result of his participation in an arson scheme are forfeitable under 18 USC §1963(a)(1) as an “interest” acquired in violation of the statute. Justice Blackmun explained that the clear intent of Congress in enacting the RICO Statute was to eradicate organized crime through an attack on its sources of economic power. That purpose would be frustrated by exempting profits and proceeds from forfeiture provisions. In short, the Supreme Court’s decision operates to extend the reach of RICO to permit profits and proceeds to be tapped.

John M. Milling, Jr., a member of the Montgomery law firm of Hill, Hill, Carter, Franco, Cole & Black, received his B.S. degree from Spring Hill College and J.D. from the University of Alabama. As a co-author of significant recent decisions, he covers the civil portion.

David B. Byrne, Jr., a member of the Montgomery law firm of Robison & Belser, received his B.S. and LL.B. degrees from the University of Alabama. He covers the criminal law portion of significant recent decisions.
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Vanzetta Penn Durant, of Montgomery, recently served as a faculty consultant at a conference hosted by the Washington State Legislature, entitled The Economics of Child Support, Paternity and Custody: A Problem Solving Conference. The conference was held in Olympia, Washington October 10-12, 1983. Mrs. Durant spoke on the subject of constitutional issues in the determination of paternity.

After serving for almost three years as Counsel on the U.S. Senate Committee on the Judiciary Subcommittee on Security and Terrorism, Bert W. Milling, Jr., has returned to Mobile where he has accepted the position of Assistant U.S. Attorney for the Southern District.

The following members of the Alabama State Bar were inducted into the American College of Trial Lawyers at its July meeting in Atlanta: Edgar M. Elliott (Birmingham), William F. Gardner (Birmingham), Richard Bounds (Mobile), Robert H. Harris (Decatur) and Sam A. LeMaistre (Eufaula).

Frank O. Burge has been named Lawyer of the Year by the Birmingham Legal Secretaries Association. He is with the law firm Burge & Florie. Also, Donald N. Spurrier, a partner in the law firm of Williams, Spurrie, Rice, Henderson & Grace, has been named Boss of the Year by the Huntsville Legal Secretaries Association.

Louis B. Feld, who has served as a visiting Associate Professor of Law at the University of Alabama School of Law for the past two years, has returned to Birmingham to enter the private practice of law. He will remain as an adjunct Professor of Law teaching in the University of Alabama School of Law's LL.M. (Taxation) program in Birmingham.

In November, N. Gunter Guy, Jr., was appointed as city attorney for Montgomery, Alabama. Previously, he was an assistant district attorney for Montgomery County.

Among Firms
Caddell, Shanks, Harris, Moores and Murphree takes pleasure in announcing that Barnes F. Lovelace, Jr., has become associated with the firm. Offices are located at 230 East Moulton Street, Decatur, Alabama 35601.

The firm of Sirote, Permutt, Friends, Friedman, Held and Apolinsky announces the relocation of their Huntsville office to #1 Washington Square, Huntsville, Alabama 35801. D. Scott McLain is a new associate of the firm and Joe H. Ritch will serve as managing attorney in the Huntsville office. The firm, also, is pleased to announce that Judith F. Todd, John R. Chiles, David J. Middlebrooks, Richard E. Neal, Joan C. Ragsdale, Carol M. Gray, and Dale B. Stone have joined the firm as associates in the Birmingham office.

The law firm of Eyster, Eyster, Key and Tubb takes pleasure in announcing that Larry C. Weaver and Nicholas B. Roth, formerly associates with the firm, have become partners in the firm. The new name of the firm is Eyster, Key, Tubb, Weaver and Roth.

The law firm of Bryant, Edwards, McNeill & Poole, with offices in Selma and Demopolis, announces that Frank C. Wilson III has become an associate in the firm and that Allen B. Edwards, Jr., has accepted a position in private industry and will remain of counsel with the law firm on an interim basis. The firm will operate under the name of Bryant, McNeill & Poole.

Robert M. Hill, Jr., and Margaret Helen Young are pleased to announce the formation of a partnership for the general practice of law under the firm name of Hill & Young. Offices are located at 215 West Alabama Street, Florence, Alabama 35603. Phone 767-0700.

Corley, Moncus, Bynum and De Buys takes pleasure in announcing that Steve R. Forehand, Nancy Scott Alley and William Lewis Garrison, Jr., have become associated with the firm located at 2100 Avenue South, Birmingham, Alabama.

Sam W. Irby, Attorney at Law, announces that W. Kenneth Heard has become associated with him in the general practice of law. Offices are at 317 Magnolia Avenue, Fairhope, Alabama.

The law firm of Carnes and Carnes is pleased to announce that Michael R. Wansley has become an associate of the firm. Offices are located at 140 South 9th Street, Gadsden, Alabama 35901.

The law firm of Lyons, Pipes and Cook takes pleasure in announcing that Braxton C. Counts III, J. Wallace Tutt III, and James E. Robertson, Jr., have become associated with the firm. Offices are located at 2 North Royal Street, Mobile, Alabama.

Johnstone, Adams, May, Howard & Hill, 8th Floor, Merchants National Bank Building Annex, Mobile, Alabama, is pleased to announce that Charles R. Mixon, Jr., has become a member of the firm; Richard P. Petermann, Jr., is now licensed to practice in Florida; and M. Margaret Brien and W. Alexander Gray, Jr., have become associates of the firm.

Leonard Wertheimer III and Louis B. Feld are pleased to an-
Theodore L. Hall wishes to announce that he is now engaged in the general practice of law with offices at 210 One Office Park, Mobile, Alabama 36609. Phone 343-8363.

George H. Wakefield, Jr., is pleased to announce that Margaret L. Givhan has joined him as an associate in the general practice of law with offices located in Suite 210, Bell Building, Montgomery, Alabama 36104. Phone 834-1616.

The law firm of Burke & Mullis is pleased to announce that Howard G. Hawk has become an associate with the firm. Offices are located at 120 South Main Street, Arab, Alabama 35016. Phone 564-4132.

The law firm of Bolt, Isom, Jackson & Bailey takes pleasure in announcing that W. Kirk Davenport has become a member of the firm and Jeffrey M. Gray has become associated with the firm. Offices are located at 822 Leighton Avenue, Anniston, Alabama 36202. Phone 237-4641.

Henry F. Lee III and Charles W. Fleming, Jr., announce the formation of a partnership for the general practice of law under the firm name of Lee and Fleming. Offices are located at The Chapman Building, 500 South Commerce Street, P.O. Box 129, Geneva, Alabama 36340. Phone 684-6406 or 684-6260.

The law firm of Engel and Smith takes pleasure in announcing that Alex W. Zoghby has become associated with the firm. Offices are located at Suite 910 Van Antwerp Building, P.O. Box 1045, Mobile, Alabama 36633.

J. Scott Boudreaux, Harwell G. Davis III, and Stephen G. Mahon, former deputy district attorneys for the Tenth Judicial Circuit, are pleased to announce the formation of a partnership for the general practice of law under the firm name of Boudreaux, Davis and Mahon with offices at 1607 Twenty-First Street South, Birmingham, Alabama 35205. Phone 933-2760.

The American Bar Association has asked experienced, successful attorneys from across the country to identify the most important developments in their fields. Through a select series of accredited CLE courses offered during the 1984 ABA Midyear Meeting in Las Vegas, Nevada, these experts will give you relevant information you can put to work in your practice today. Sponsored by 16 ABA Sections and a Forum Committee, five full-day and six half-day courses will be presented at much lower than usual tuition fees—only $125 for full-day programs and $80 for half-day programs.

Each program registration includes:
- Admission to the program
- Course materials
- Program discounts for section members
- Trial membership in a sponsoring section
- Special ABA membership offer
- Free ABA VideoLaw Seminar
- Free Reception

JOIN THE LEADERS AND STAY OUT IN FRONT

CLE '84

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION
February 9-10, 1984
Las Vegas Convention Center
Las Vegas, Nevada

For a complete program guide and registration information, call or write:
American Bar Association
Division of Professional Education
10 West 35th Street
Chicago, IL 60616
800-621-8986
(In Illinois, call 312-567-4677)

Continuing Legal Education from the ABA Division of Professional Education
numerous discussions about this seminar, and I am very excited about the topics which will be discussed. I won't take a lot of space in this issue to outline the seminar for you, but just let me encourage each of you to mark the March 30th seminar as one of the best this year and encourage you to make plans to attend it. It will be a "nuts and bolts" seminar for the young lawyers.

Plans Being Made for Annual Bar Meeting in Mobile

The Mobile young lawyers are busy planning the social activities for the young lawyers who will be attending the annual meeting. As you all remember, the last convention held in Mobile was one of the nicest in recent times and the hospitality extended by the members of the Mobile Bar Association was without equal. John Donald, of the Executive Committee, is the liaison to the Mobile Young Lawyers for this event.

The various Young Lawyers Section subcommittees which are responsible for the different seminars are always anxious to have speakers on topics which are pertinent to and interesting to the lawyers in attendance. Therefore, if you have a suggestion for a seminar topic, please just drop me a short letter indicating the topic area and, if possible, a suggested speaker. In closing, just let me say that all of the various Young Lawyer affiliates in Alabama are just as busy as your Executive Committee, and they are to be commended for their energy and fine projects.
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Robert L. McCurley, Jr., director of the Alabama Law Institute, received his B.S. and L.L.B. degrees from the University of Alabama. In this regular column, Mr. McCurley will keep us updated on legislation of interest and importance to Alabama attorneys.
Committees Take Charge

The Alabama State Bar building has been buzzing with activity. Bar committees and task forces have been diligently working in Montgomery and at other meeting places across the state, carrying out the varied and numerous purposes for which they were created. Committees and task forces have been charged to help research and remedy concerns facing the legal profession in Alabama, to evaluate and expand services to the public, to meet the needs of our association and the particular needs of its members, to deal with the concerns of the courts and judicial system, and yet more. Following is a brief synopsis of what some of these committees and task forces have set out to accomplish thus far:

The Committee on the Future of the Profession, chaired by Dr. Richard Thigpen, has recommended to the Board of Bar Commissioners that a demographic survey of the membership be conducted regularly, and that the information collected be disseminated and utilized. Chairman Ernest Potter and the members of the Task Force to Evaluate the Lawyer Explosion have reviewed data on Alabama law school applications, admissions, and graduates over the last five years. The task force has also heard reports from the placement directors of two Alabama law schools regarding the employment of new graduates over the same five year period. Informal polls of the local bar associations to which task force members belong are seeking opinions on the adequacy of attorneys' workloads, local supplies of lawyers, and plans for hiring new lawyers within the next three years. Gordon Tanner, chairman of the Committee on Legal Education and Admission to the Bar, has formed subcommittees on law schools, internships, bar admission rules, and a law professor-practitioner exchange program. The subcommittees are formulating plans and recommendations in anticipation of a January meeting of the committee as a whole.

The Committee on Lawyer Public Relations, Information and Media Relations, under the chairmanship of Jim Hart, has set as its goals the establishment of a speakers bureau, improved dissemination of attorney-client brochures, media coverage of Bar meetings, and improved relations with the Alabama Press and Broadcasters Associations. Wade Morton, Jr., chairman of the Task Force to Evaluate the Place of Lawyer Advertising and Solicitation, has appointed subcommittees on the status of laws pertaining to advertising and solicitation, correlation of Alabama rules with the ABA's model code and rules of other states, liaison with other Bar committees, and drafting of possible revisions of the Alabama Code of Professional Responsibility. Subcommittee reports have been received and recommendations are being formulated. The Task Force to Study Lawyer

Political Action Committees (PAC) has been divided into four subcommittees by its chairman, Richard Gill. They are to address questions of the legality of state bar PAC's, the scope of permissible activities, the desirability of an Alabama State Bar PAC, and possible organization, functioning and governance of such a committee.

The Insurance Programs Committee has evaluated numerous plans over the last few months. New major medical and professional liability programs have been recommended to the Board of Bar Commissioners and subsequently endorsed. It is the belief of the committee and its chairman, J. Mason Davis, that these new programs will enhance coverage at competitive prices.

The Alabama State Bar Foundation Committee, chaired by Drew Redden, is studying the Bar's need for a new tax exempt entity to provide a mechanism for using donations to fund such projects as teaching institutes, scholarships, public service programs, and, possibly, a client security fund. A preliminary draft of articles of incor-
Corporation has been circulated to the members of the committee and refinements of it were made at the committee's December 9 meeting. The establishment of a client security fund has been recommended to the Board of Bar Commissioners by the task force appointed to study the matter. The Board has approved the concept and authorized the Task Force on a Client Security Fund for the Alabama State Bar to draft proposed legislation to be reviewed at the Board's January 20th meeting. Chairman James S. Ward and task force members have also drafted proposed rules for such a fund and are in the process of finalizing them.

Confidential assistance will be available to Alabama attorneys struggling with alcohol and other drugs if the funding can be made available. Implementation of a telephone "hotline" program for information and referral has been recommended to the Board of Bar Commissioners by chairman Val McGee and the Task Force on Lawyer Alcohol and Drug Abuse. The Board approved the concept and forwarded the question of funding to the Finance Committee. Additionally, the task force is working with the Editorial Board of The Alabama Lawyer to develop an issue of the journal focused on the problem. The Task Force on Disciplinary Functions, chaired by Harry Gamble, Jr., has met and formulated an interim report to the President. Among its recommendations is the formation of a permanent standing committee to consider changes in the Code of Professional Responsibility and the Rules of Disciplinary Enforcement. It has also recommended that consideration be given to the establishment of a state-wide fee arbitration system, expansion of the disciplinary panels to include lawyers other than Bar Commissioners, and attention to the near fifty percent increase in caseload for the Center for Professional Responsibility over the last two years without a concomitant increase in staff.

The Task Force on Legal Services to the Poor, chaired by Hollis Geer, has developed a procedure for selecting the Bar's eight representatives to the Board of the Legal Services Corporation of Alabama. The Board of Bar Commissioners has approved the procedure and potential applicants for the positions will find application information elsewhere in this issue. Chairman John C. Watkins has appointed two subgroups of the Committee on Correctional Institutions and Procedures. The subcommittee on Sentencing and Procedures is studying the issue of finability of sentences in Capital cases, inmate litigation, and sentencing policies. The subcommittee on Offender Treatment and Assistance is reviewing alternatives to incarceration, legal services and counseling needs of inmate families, and the possible role of the Alabama State Bar in the successful re-entry of inmates into society. In relation to the latter topic, the committee recently met with David Rothenberg, President of the Fortune Society, an inmate-self help organization. Mr. Rothenberg stressed that society has an obligation to see that convicted criminals do not become more antisocial, i.e. more adept criminals, as a result of incarceration. The Indigent Defense Committee, chaired by Dennis Balske, is studying a proposal by the State Comptroller to utilize part of the Fair Trial Tax Fund to offset the cost of administering the Fund. Other concerns include the formulation of guidelines for attorneys handling indigent defense cases, uniform vouchers, and standards for approval of expenses. Under the leadership of Larry Childs, the Task Force on Citizenship Education is considering projects on youth education, post-high school education, and media relations.

The Committee on Family Law is well on the way to establishing an Alabama State Bar section of the same name. Proposed by-laws have been adopted and chairman Sam Rumore has appointed subcommittees for proposed legislation, a committee report for The Alabama Lawyer, and a program for the 1984 Annual Meeting of the State Bar. Prospective charter members are presently being recruited. The Bankruptcy Law Committee, chaired by Richard Carmody, is working to establish an Alabama State Bar section on bankruptcy and commercial law. Prospective charter members have been recruited, a convention program is being planned, and at least one scholarly article will be contributed to The Alabama Lawyer in the near future.

Meeting Unjust Criticism of Bench and Courts is the concern of a committee chaired by Patrick Richardson. Information gathering sessions have been conducted with the trial judges of Jefferson and Mobile counties as well as with the state's appellate judges. It is anticipated that every judge in Alabama will be given the opportunity for input by way of a confidential questionnaire. Chairman Thomas S. Lawson has divided the Task Force on Judicial Evaluation, Election and Selection into two subcommittees. Ralph Knowles chairs a group that is considering possible modifications of Alabama's system of electing judges. Gene Tomlin's subcommittee is studying methods of evaluating judicial performance. The Federal Bankruptcy Courts Liaison Committee, chaired by George Finkbohner, is endeavoring to meet with each judge on the bankruptcy bench in Alabama to gather information on how the committee might help both the bench and the bar. Among the many areas of interest and concern for the Federal
Judiciary Liaison Committee are the differences between the local rules of the various district courts of the state, the lack of uniformity in admission procedures for lawyers who practice before district courts, the need for a procedure to handle conflicts between federal and state trial dockets, and the need for more seminars on federal practice. This committee is chaired by Bill Clark.

Charles Adair, chairman of the Committee on Programs, Priorities and Long-Range Planning, has assigned each committee member the task of reviewing the work of three committees and task forces of the bar. Each member is to report to the committee as a whole prior to the midyear meeting of the bar. By evaluating the work of these committees and task forces, this committee will serve as an agency to coordinate the state bar's activities, work with the Finance Committee to determine the financial resources available to fund the recommended programs, and develop a long range plan for the operation of the Alabama State Bar in the years to come.
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Suspension

Walter L. Davis, of Mobile, was suspended from the practice of law for a period of six months, effective December 19, 1983, for having violated OR J-102(A)(4), DR 1-102(A)(6), DR 6-101(A), DR 7-101(A) (1), and DR 7-101(A)(2), by having accepted a fee from a client in an adoption matter but then having both failed to take the action legally necessary to accomplish the adoption and having failed to refund the legal fee to the client.

Public Censure

On December 2, 1983, Selma attorney Bruce Carver Boynton was publicly censured by the Disciplinary Board of the Alabama State Bar for several violations of the Code of Professional Responsibility arising from his representation of several Selma residents in an employment discrimination action. The Disciplinary Board found that Mr. Boynton had violated Disciplinary Rule 5-106(A) which prohibits a lawyer, who represents two or more clients, from making an aggregate settlement of the claims of or against his clients unless each client has been advised of the extent and nature of all claims involved in the proposed settlement, the total amount of the settlement, the participation of each person in the settlement, and has agreed to the settlement based upon being furnished all relevant information. The Board found that Mr. Boynton withheld detailed information from his clients and encouraged individual settlements among his clients without each client being aware of the participation of the other clients in the total settlement. The Board also found that Mr. Boynton had furnished misleading information to the Office of the General Counsel during the investigation into this matter and that he had been abrasive and unprofessional in his dealings with his clients, with these actions constituting violations of Disciplinary Rules 1-102(A)(4), 1-102(A)(5) and 1-102(A)(6) of the Code of Professional Responsibility.

Private Reprimands

On December 2, 1983, private reprimands were given before the Board of Bar Commissioners for the following violations:

• A lawyer was privately reprimanded for having violated DR 1-102(A)(4) by having given inconsistent testimony, first, at a court hearing and, second, at a hearing before the Disciplinary Board as to the same issue of fact and thus gave false testimony before the court, or in the alternative gave false testimony before the Disciplinary Board.

• A lawyer was privately reprimanded for violation of Disciplinary Rules 6-101(A) and 7-101(A)(1) for failing to file a garnishment for a client and for holding court costs paid to the attorney for the filing of the garnishment for several months. The lawyer was also reprimanded for refusing reasonable requests by the client for information regarding the case and for refusing to communicate with the client in a reasonable fashion.

• A lawyer was privately reprimanded for having violated DR 2-111(B)(2) by having failed to withdraw from a real estate breach of warranty case even though the client "requested that the litigation be dropped" after fire destroyed the subject property, and by having ultimately collected a substantial fee from the fire insurance proceeds that were paid to the client.

• A lawyer was privately reprimanded for having violated DR 1-102(A)(6), prohibiting conduct that adversely reflects on fitness to practice law, by having falsely told opposing counsel in a divorce case that the proceeds from the sale of the parties' home were not sufficient to pay off a debt that the husband owed to the wife's father.

Reinstatement

Mayer William Perloff was reinstated as an attorney authorized to practice law in the courts of Alabama by a panel of the Disciplinary Board of the Alabama State Bar effective December 2, 1983.

Transferred to Disability Inactive

Michael S. Sheier, of Birmingham, was transferred to disability inactive status by order of the Disciplinary Board, dated October 28, 1983, based upon a finding that he was incapacitated from continuing to practice law by reason of mental infirmity or illness.
Considerations and Disciplinary Rules apply whether or not the lawyer participates in examining witnesses, presents arguments to the court or jury, etc.

Answer:
A lawyer or a member of his law firm who is to be a witness in a trial may not sit at counsel table with the new lawyer and assist him in the trial of the case even though there is no formal participation such as examination of witnesses, arguments to the court or jury, etc. since DR 5-102(A) provides that such lawyer "shall withdraw from the conduct of the trial" and "shall not continue representation in the trial, . . .", and the reasons set forth in the Ethical Considerations and Disciplinary Rules apply whether or not the lawyer participates in examining witnesses, presents arguments to the court or jury, etc.

Discussion:
Ethical Consideration 5-9 provides:

"Occasionally a lawyer is called upon to decide in a particular case whether he will be a witness or an advocate. If a lawyer is both counsel and witness, he becomes more easily impeachable for interest and thus may be a less effective witness. Conversely, the opposing counsel may be handicapped in challenging the credibility of the lawyer when the lawyer also appears as an advocate in the case. An advocate who becomes a witness is in the unseemly and ineffective position of arguing his own credibility. The roles of an advocate and of a witness are inconsistent: the function of an advocate is to advance or argue the cause of another, while that of a witness is to state facts objectively."

Ethical Consideration 5-10 provides:

"Problems incident to the lawyer-witness relationship arise at different stages; they relate either to whether a lawyer should accept employment or should withdraw from employment. Regardless of when the problem arises, his decision is to be governed by the same basic considerations. It is not objectionable for a lawyer who is a potential witness to be an advocate if it is unlikely that he will be called as a witness because his testimony will relate only to an uncontested issue. In the exceptional situation where it will be manifestly unfair to the client for the lawyer to refuse employment or to withdraw when he will likely be a witness on a contested issue, he may serve as advocate even though he may be a witness. In making such decisions, he should determine the personal or financial sacrifice of the client that may result from his refusal of employment or withdrawal therefrom, the materiality of his testimony, and the effectiveness of his representation in view of his personal involvement. In weighing these factors, it should be clear that refusal or withdrawal will impose an unreasonable hardship upon the client before the lawyer accepts or continues the employment. Where the question arises, doubts should be resolved in favor of the lawyer testifying and against his becoming or continuing as an advocate."

Disciplinary Rule 5-101(B) provides:

"A lawyer shall not accept employment in contemplated or pending litigation if he knows or it is obvious that he or a lawyer in his firm ought to be called as a witness, except that he may undertake the employment and he or a lawyer in his firm may testify:

(1) If the testimony will relate solely to an uncontested matter.
(2) If the testimony will relate solely to a matter of formality and there is no reason to believe that substantial evidence will be offered in opposition to the testimony.
(3) If the testimony will relate solely to the nature and value of legal services rendered in the case by the lawyer or his firm to the client.
(4) As to any matter, if refusal would work a substantial hardship on the client because of the distinctive value of the lawyer or his firm as counsel in the particular case."

Disciplinary Rule 5-102(A) provides:

"If, after undertaking employment in contemplated or pending litigation, a lawyer learns or it is obvious that he or a lawyer in his firm ought to be called as a witness on behalf of his client, he shall withdraw from the conduct of the trial and his firm, if any, shall not continue representation in the trial, except that he may continue the representation and he or a lawyer in his firm may testify in the circumstances enumerated in DR 5-101(B)(1) through (4)." [emphasis added]
Although our research reveals no opinions of courts or ethics committees dealing with the precise question which you pose, we reach the foregoing conclusion for at least two reasons. First, the language of DR 5-102(A), namely, "... shall withdraw from the conduct of the trial..." and "... shall not continue representation in the trial,..." is quite comprehensive and would appear to be controlling. Second, the reasons for the rule as set forth in EC 5-9 would appear to apply in the instant case. (1) An attorney-witness who participates in the case would become more easily impeachable for interest, (2) the opposing counsel would be handicapped in challenging the credibility of a lawyer who participates in the trial of the case and (3) trial counsel would be placed in the unseemly and ineffective position of arguing the credibility of his co-counsel.

**QUESTION:**

"Can house counsel for an insurance carrier ethically and legally accept salaries, employee benefits, payment of all office overhead and render for the carrier exclusive legal services that involve in-court representation of its insureds in the same fashion and to the same extent as if the case was referred to and handled by private, independent counsel?"

**ANSWER:**

House counsel as described in your request for opinion may ethically render for the insurance carrier exclusive legal services that involve in-court representation of its insureds. The carrier pays the attorney for the work he or she performs as house counsel. It usually pays a fair and reasonable fee for the services rendered in such cases. For this reason, if the insured and the lawyer are represented by the carrier, the lawyer will not be affected adversely by the exercise of his professional judgment in the representation of the insured, so long as the lawyer and the insured do not have a conflict of interest. Ethical Consideration 5-22 provides:

"EC 5-22 Economic, political, or social pressures by third persons are less likely to impair the independent judgment of a lawyer in a matter in which he is compensated directly by his client and his professional work is exclusively with his client. On the other hand, if a lawyer is compensated from a source other than his client, he may feel a sense of responsibility to someone other than this client."

Disciplinary Rule 5-105(A), (B), and (C) provides:

"DR 5-105 Refusing to Accept or Continue Employment if the Interests of Another Client May Impair the Independent Professional Judgment of the Lawyer.

(A) A lawyer shall decline proffered employment if the exercise of his independent professional judgment in behalf of a client will be or is likely to be adversely affected by the acceptance of the proffered employment, or if it would be likely to involve him in representing differing interests, except to the extent permitted under DR 5-105(C).

(B) A lawyer shall not continue multiple employment if the exercise of his independent professional judgment in behalf of a client will be or is likely to be adversely affected by his representation of another client or if it would be likely to involve him in representing differing interests, except permitted under DR 5-105(C).

(C) In the situations covered by DR 5-105(A) and (B), a lawyer may represent multiple clients if he reasonably determines that he can adequately represent the interest of each and if each consents to the representation after full disclosure of the possible effect of such representation on the exercise of his independent professional judgment on behalf of each."

Our research reveals no opinions of courts of last resort hold that the practice you describe in your request for opinion is unethical.

The case of In Re Proposed Addition to the Additional Rules Governing the Conduct of Attorneys in Florida (Fla. 1969), 220 So. 2d 6 is significant. The Florida Bar proposed the following rule:

"An attorney employed in a master servent or employer-employee relationship by a lay agency, such as a bank, savings and loan association, trust company or insurer, shall not render in the scope of his employment legal services on behalf of or in the name of customers, patrons or insureds of the lay agency unless it shall clearly appear that the sole financial interest and risk involved is that of the lay agency."  (emphasis added)

In the opinion the Supreme Court of Florida stated:

"The problem occurs when a conflict develops between insurer and insured, such as when a
claim exceeds policy coverage or when a compromise settlement is in the making. In such situations the Bar insists that the best interests of an insured require the service of independent counsel. They claim that the compulsive economic pressure of retaining one’s full time means of livelihood precludes the possibility that a lawyer under such circumstances can give unadulterated devotion to divergent interests.

The rule, as suggested, seems to emphasize the employer-employee relationship as the element which would distinguish the lawyer’s responsibility to one of two clients whose interests might develop conflicts. It appears to us that the ethical problem might well arise regardless of the nature of the employment relationship between the lay agency and the lawyer. That is to say, in resolving an ethical conflict between a lay agency and one of its customers it would not be material whether the lawyer is employed as the attorney for the lay agency on a full-time master-servant basis, or merely on an isolated attorney-client basis. The ultimate problem is the same. There may come a time when the lawyer must decide which of two ‘masters’ he will continue to serve because the presence of a conflict makes it ethically impossible to serve both. Consequently, the proposed rule does not completely solve the problem which the Bar seeks to remedy. It merely discriminates against a class with no reasonable basis for this distinction.

We understand, of course, that there is a difference between lay agency and lawyer interest when the employment is full time and salaried as contrasted to a particular case, special fee arrangement. The point we make merely is that when a conflict does arise the ethical decision which the lawyer faces is the same in both relationships — if he is employed to represent two clients. He simply cannot serve two masters in either situation.

There is, of course, in addition the obligation of the insurance contract which delineates the rights and duties of insurer and insured between themselves. When their interests collide, the lawyer, regardless of the quantum of his employment, must make the ethical decision.

The moral considerations should not be exploited as to develop a double standard of ethics for salaried and non-salaried lawyers.”

In the Opinion Number 282, dated May 27, 1950, the American Bar Association Committee on Professional Ethics addressed itself to several questions appropos to this opinion. The ABA Committee first addressed the question of whether an insurance company could employ exclusively, upon a salaried basis, an attorney to defend lawsuits against assureds on behalf of the insurance company, within the limits of the policy, without making any charge to the assured, and without acquiring the request or approval of the assured. The committee answered this question affirmatively. The committee noted the commonality of interest between the insured and the insurance company in lawsuits which involve claims which are completely covered by the insurance policy. It further noted that the insurance contract expressly required the insurance company to defend such actions and it noted that the consent and approval of the insured were implicitly consummated in this contract as well.

In answer to related inquiries, the ABA Committee also opined that a lawyer, employed on a salaried basis by an insurance company, may simultaneously prosecute the company’s subrogation claims against a third party and the claim recoverable by the insured under a deductible policy. The committee added that any fees paid by the insured for such representation should be made directly to the lawyer and not the insurance company. In reaching these opinions the ABA Committee specifically considered Canons 6, 27, 34, 35, and 47 of the Code of Professional Responsibility.

See also Opinion 109 (June 10, 1969), New York State Bar Association Professional Ethics Committee and Downing v. Insurance Company of North America (Ct. App. Ohio, 8th District, November 16, 1973) case number 32527.

In summary, there is nothing unethical in the arrangement which you propose in your request for opinion. Although in theory counsel employed by an insurer on a fee basis and counsel employed by an insurer on a salary basis are subject to the identical provisions of the Code of Professional Responsibility and should take the necessary steps to protect the interests of an insured when a conflict of interest between insured and insurer arises, because of the intimate relationship between house counsel and the insurer special vigilance should be exercised in this regard.

---

**NEW!**

**MAJOR**

**MEDICAL INSURANCE**

**MADE AVAILABLE TO MEMBERS**

**BY**

**THE ALABAMA STATE BAR**

- $1 Million Limit
- $1000 Stop-Loss
- No Coordination of Benefits
- Optional Maternity

**COMPARE THESE OUTSTANDING BENEFITS AND FAVORABLE RATES**

**CONTACT:)**

**INSURANCE SPECIALISTS, INC.**

1718 CITY FEDERAL BUILDING
BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA 35203

Phone Toll-Free: 1-800/241-7753
Opening of Court Ceremony
Appellate Courts of Alabama
October 3, 1983
Memorial Address
As Delivered by the Honorable William E. Hairston, Jr.
President of the Alabama State Bar, 1983-84

May it please the Courts.

Since the last annual meeting of the Supreme Court and the courts of appeal of this state, forty-six of our brothers have been summoned to join our creator in a life so wondrous, so glorious, that it defies the limits of our imagination. Mr. Chief Justice, Presiding Judge Wright, Presiding Judge Bowen. Madame Clerk, Honored Guests:

As we stand on the threshold of another year, it is well that we pause — with bowed head and grateful heart — to honor that of our heritage that is inculcated in the lives and deeds of these our brothers of the bench and bar who have concluded their work on this sphere. This hallowed moment is a privilege in which we reflect on those lives, so dear and important to our own, mindful that such reflection can do no less than contribute to a better morrow for each of us.

What we do here, what we are and what we think is largely the product of that which has gone before. That which we call heritage is the accumulated total of the affairs of mankind in its attempt to live together and justify its existence. As lawyers and judges our profession plays a dominant role in the creation of this heritage.

And not by eastern windows only
When daylight comes
Comes in the light,
In front the sun climbs slow
How slowly
But westward look, the land is bright.

There is a small gravestone in England that was erected after one of the devastating air raids of World War II. On that stone there is carved the words, “There is not enough darkness in all the world to put out the light of one small candle . . .”

Mr. Chief Justice, the light cast by those of our profession who left these man-made halls this past year will illuminate our footsteps for years yet unmeasured. As the eye goes down the names of those giants of the law, the mind is amazed with the magnitude of their contributions.

In the words of Sam W. Pipes, in a memorial address given here seven years to the month before his death, “Alabama is blessed in so many ways but no way more abundantly than in the character and ability of her judiciary and of her bar.” It was true then, it is true now.

These were the builders of our society. The things of our world that have value and excellence are the product of a very few people at best. A few candles out of the billions of human beings that have walked this earth. The lives honored this morning, to a man, represent those volunteers who were determined that excellence would not perish from this earth. These were men, who took our system of justice as they found it — worked it, loved it, improved it, through the trials and tribulations that our society has been heir to — and delivered it to us. They, and the few like them, are the salvation of our democracy.

Though the weave of each of these lives turned out different, each contained that common thread represented by a love for the law. They each worshipped at this altar of law in a manner recalled in the words of Abraham Lincoln:

Let this reverence for law be taught in schools
and written in almanacs — let it be preached
from the pulpit, proclaimed in legislative halls,
and enforced in courts of Justice — and in short
let it become the political religion of the nation.

They expressed their devotion to this North Star in many different ways. They each expressed in their own language, their lives, their fortunes and their sacred honor, a dedication to a better society and a better tomorrow.

Some found their place in the daily practice of law, giving generously of their precious hours and of their talents to the cause of others. As doctors of society they ministered to their patients in the way they knew best. They, with their brothers and sisters on the bench, sought to find the truth of the conditions which caused the illness in order that those conditions could be corrected.

Some found their calling in the courts as great and good judges, loyal to the trust imposed in them and faithful to the God who gave them the strength of their convictions. Some used their legal training in the cause of productivity and employed
their talents in business and industry. Some fulfilled their obligation to our society by taking a place in our government.

Each, in his own way, has made a valuable contribution to the legal profession and our world. Each is enshrined in the hearts of those they served. I can think of no more appropriate tribute to these outstanding lives than the one which was said of another great Southerner, Henry W. Grady:

I have seen the light that gleamed at midnight from the headlight of some giant locomotive rushing onward through the darkness, heedless of opposition, fearless of danger — and I thought it was grand.

I have seen the light come over the eastern hills in glory, driving the lazy darkness like mist before a seaborne gale until leaf and tree and blade of grass glistened and glittered in the myriad diamonds of the morning's ray — and I thought it was grand.

I have seen the light that leaped and flashed at midnight athwart the storm-swept sky, mid coyote clouds and howling winds 'til clouds and darkness in the shadow-haunted earth flashed into noon day splendor — and I knew it was grand.

Four of these noble men were predecessors in the office I now hold. Two of the four served our Supreme Court as special justices. I think it is symbolic that the leadership of the bar and the keystone of our judiciary — symbolic of the dual aspect of our profession out of which truth, justice and mercy become a living element of our society.

As we look back on the life lived by these whose capacity, labors and perseverance was a valued and good experience that will abide through eternity, we are mindful of the words of Isaiah: "Hearken unto me you who would pursue deliverance, you who seek the Lord; look to the rock from whence you are hewn, and the pit from which you are digged." That which they have agonized and struggled and sought after for good, abides as assuredly as the reality of God.

As Emerson put it — a person's life is a progress and not a station.

"He did his best in every field of labor. He was a steadfast, faithful friend. He was a kind and sympathetic neighbor."

"Twill be full recompense for all life's trials, If in my memory then it should be said: "He did his best in every field of labor."

For while the tide waves vainly breaking,
Seen here no painful inch to gain,
Far back through creeks and inlets making,
Come silent, flooding in, the main.

This year the list of those to whose memory we dedicate this sacred hour seems longer than ever before. Perhaps it is because so many of these personalities make up that circle that I am proud to call my friends. And as I pour over the list one by one, the words of the poet come to mind. Words that might have been said as to each name on this list.

When I go forth upon the great adventure, And those who knew me speak of me as "dead,"
"Twill be full recompense for all life's trials, If in my memory then it should be said:
"He did his best in every field of labor."
He was a steadfast, faithful friend.
He was a kind and sympathetic neighbor.
Whatever he had, he'd gladly give or lend.
His life touched mine and I am made the better."
Dear friends, if all these things you may well say, The grave for me will be no prison fetter,
My soul shall quick go singing on the way.

As Emerson put it — a person's life is a progress and not a station.

Life is a continual experience of the new. We look at life as a traveler looking toward the west, viewing before us the arc of the horizon. As we move, the horizon moves, shrouded in mystery, an undiscovered country. We cannot know into what we move, but we can have faith that there is a guiding spirit that goes with us. The supreme moments of life come when we cannot know, cannot understand, but still must march on; we can only trust the leading of a good and faithful companion who will be with us all this journey through.

Each generation of lawyers is indebted to the one who precedes it, those who ventured in this faith and trust. Each of us is the recipient of the benefit of learning, dedication, zeal and standard of professional responsibility that our deceased brethren have contributed to our system of justice. These memories we honor here made their contribution to our profession, and we are grateful and appreciative of their stewardship of the law. We are saddened that they are no longer physically in our midsts, but we are privileged to have known them and have shared their comradeship over the years.

There is no death! The stars go down, To rise upon some other shore, And bright in heaven's jeweled crown, they shine forever more!

There is no death! The leaves may fall, the flowers may fade and pass away; They only wait, through wintry hours, the coming of the May.
LIST OF DECEASED ATTORNEYS AND JUDGES
October 1, 1982-September 30, 1983

Albritton, Robert B ........................................ Andalusia
Albritton, William H ......................................... Andalusia
Applebaum, Kelvie ........................................... Miami, FL
Barron, Bishop N ............................................. Montgomery
Belcher, William Richard ................................. Phenix City
Booker, John William, Sr. ............................... Birmingham
Chappell, Billy Frank ....................................... Huntsville
Cleveland, Grady Garland, Jr ........................... Eufaula
Copeland, Albert Whiting ............................... Montgomery
Dorche, William Bruce ...................................... Gadsden
Feibelman, Herbert P., Jr ................................. Mobile
Foshee, John Alwyn .......................................... Montgomery
Foster, John Strickland ..................................... Birmingham
Glies, Robert Clinton, Jr ................................ Mountain Brook
Gordon, Robert Scott ....................................... Birmingham
Grant, George M ............................................. Washington, D.C.
Hare, Francis H .............................................. Birmingham
Hocklander, Joseph Monroe ............................. Mobile
Lee, Mickey Martin .......................................... Huntsville
Love, Joel Moore ............................................. Sheffield
Maxwell, Albert R., Jr ....................................... Elberton, GA
McLendon, Clyde Patterson ............................. Montgomery
Miller, George Oliver, Jr .................................. Montgomery
Morton, Wade Hampton .................................... Birmingham
Nichols, George W., Jr .................................... Tuscaloosa
Nicola, Frederick Walter ................................. Tuscaloosa
O'Neal, William Gunter .................................. Montgomery
Parker, Thomas F., Jr ....................................... Montgomery
Pipes, Sam Wesley, III .................................... Mobile
Pitts, William McLean ..................................... Selma
Redden, Arthur Drew ....................................... Tallasee
Rives, Richard Taylor ....................................... Montgomery
Ross, Carl Ira, Sr ........................................... Bessemer
Smith, John Alexander, Jr ................................ Fayette
Smith, Larry Eugene ....................................... Arlington, VA
Sparks, F. Guy, Jr ......................................... Aniston
Stauffer, Glen Edd .......................................... Tarrant
Stokley, Harry Joseph, Jr ................................ Birmingham
Stapp, Jerry Lee ............................................. Huntsville
Strong, Dan C ................................................ Birmingham
Thomason, Charles T ....................................... Aniston
Tidwell, Ira F ................................................ Leeds
Wails, L.P .................................................... Oneonta
Williams, Jesse M., Jr ..................................... Montgomery
Wood, Roy Michael ......................................... Anniston

Three Alabama and Federal Trial Practice Form Books Available for Immediate Shipment...

- ALABAMA AND FEDERAL MOTION FORMS
- ALABAMA AND FEDERAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT FORMS
- ALABAMA AND FEDERAL COMPLAINT FORMS

Part of a series of trial practice form books by Robert Sellers Smith and Joan McIntyre.

The price of each of these books is $49.95 plus postage and handling.

MADISON PUBLISHING COMPANY, INC.
223 EAST SIDE SQUARE
HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA 35801
(205) 533-5040

MEDICAL MALPRACTICE HOSPITAL MALPRACTICE
PERSONAL INJURY, PRODUCT LIABILITY, WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION, AND OSHA
550 Board Certified Medical Experts in all specialties, nationwide and Alabama.

Medical Doctors, Surgeons, Specialists, Osteopaths, Dentists, Chiropractors, Podiatrists, Nurses, Hospital Administrators, Toxicologists, and Engineers in all specialties. All prepare signed written reports and testify.

Cost of written reports:
- Comprehensive Screening Report: $200
- Comprehensive Conf. Product Report: $450
- Expert Report: $900

By the Board Certified expert who will testify

Contingency Fees: no cost or $150

Ethical approved retainer agreement

Experts guaranteed for meritorious cases.

Experience: 18 years and 2000 cases.

FREE telephone consultation with our Medical Director.

Local Attorney References.

DAY IN THE LIFE MOVIE: $1900 plus travel expenses.

FREE literature, sample expert reports, and Medical-Legal book by our Medical Director, H. Barry Jacobs, M.D., with foreword by Melvin Bess.

The Medical Quality Foundation
The American Board of Medical-Legal Consultants
11345 Sunrise Mile, Road, Brentwood, Virginia 22190
(703) 437-3333

TOLL FREE 800-336-0332
The Final Judgment

Adams was a former municipal judge in Clayton.

As well as an attorney, Adams was a farmer. He enjoyed operating the family farm at Texasville.

Adams was a member of the Barbour County, Alabama, and American Bar Associations and the Alabama Trial Lawyers Association. He was active in the work of the Texassville United Methodist Church where he served as a trustee. He belonged to the Barbour County and Alabama Cattlemen's Associations. He was a Shriner and a 32nd Degree Mason. He also was a former chairman of the Barbour County Democratic Executive Committee. As well, he was involved in numerous other organizations.

Mr. Adams is survived by his mother, Mrs. William J. (Irene) Adams of Clayton, and two sisters, Jane A. Darrigan of Eufaula and Anne Adams of Montgomery.

W.J. Adams, Jr.

William Jackson Adams, Jr., of Clayton died on October 30, 1983. He was thirty-six.

Mr. Adams was born on April 23, 1947, in Barbour County. He is a graduate of the University of Alabama and the Cumberland School of Law. He was admitted to the bar in 1972, and practiced in Clayton until his death.

R.A. Ball

Richard Arledge Ball, Sr., a lifelong resident of Montgomery, died on October 22, 1983. He was seventy-seven.

Mr. Ball was born in 1906 in Montgomery. He was a graduate of Vanderbilt University and earned his law degree at Emory University in Atlanta. He joined his father, Fred S. Ball, and his two brothers, Fred and Charlie, in the firm of Ball and Ball in 1931. At his death he was the senior member of that law firm now known as Ball, Ball, Duke and Matthews. In 1981, he was honored for fifty years of service to the bar.

Both friends and strangers alike were often recipients of his loving generosity. Mr. Ball's kind spirit resulted also in years of continuous support for many charities and organizations. This was a man loved and admired by many. Perhaps his most constant companion was his smile, and he had the unique ability to share his state of warmth and good humor with those around him. He will long be remembered for his sincerity and compassion for his fellow man.

His adversaries in the legal arena were never his enemies. Invariably he concluded his legal disputes with a hand shake, new friendships, and a well served and satisfied client.

Mr. Ball was an avid fisherman, an outstanding golfer, and was generous to a fault. He will be sorely missed, but the fond memories he has left to all whose lives touched his are a surviving gift.

Mr. Ball is survived by his two sons, Richard A. Ball, Jr., who is also an attorney, and Fred S. Ball II.
books for sale


EXPERT WITNESS/TOXICOLOGY.
Board certified Ph.D. Toxicologist with over 20 years pertinent experience and over 50 peer-reviewed publications and abstracts, available as expert witness and consultant on matters relating to Occupational/Environmental Health and Product Liability. R.A. Prent, Ph.D., D.A.B.T., P.O. Box 14787, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70898. (504) 766-3300.

TELEPHONE SEARCHES: Do you know about quick, efficient, and courteous telephone searches? Call for UCC Division Searches, Corporation Division Searches, and Trademark Division Searches. $10 per search. Dede Harbin, 2094 Myrtlewood Drive, Montgomery, Alabama 36111. (205) 263-0654.


wanted

WANTED: One dictating unit and one transcriber set for an IBM 65 cartridge system. Reply to P.O. Box 2069, Opelika, Alabama 36803-2069 or call (205) 745-6466.

WANTED: Used set of Alabama Reports prior to 1916 (Volumes 1-199) and Alabama Appellate Reports prior to 1916 (Volumes 1-15). Please call David Cauthen at (205) 353-1691 if you would like to sell these publications.

THE ALABAMA LAWYER CLASSIFIEDS

All requests for classified ad placement must be submitted typewritten and are subject to approval. Alabama State Bar members are not charged for classified notices. Nonmember advertisers must pay in advance and will receive a complimentary copy of The Alabama Lawyer following publication. Additional copies are $3.00, plus postage.

RATES:
Members: No charge
Nonmembers: $30 per insertion of fifty words or less $ .50 per additional word

DEADLINES:
Classified copy and payment must be received no later than the first day of the month prior to publication date, no exceptions.

MAILING:
Send classified advertising copy and your check, made out to The Alabama Lawyer, to:
The Alabama Lawyer Classifieds
c/o Jen Nowell
P.O. Box 4156
Montgomery, AL 36101
CODE OF ALABAMA

NOW WITH 1983 CUMULATIVE SUPPLEMENTS

The Michie Company, law publishers since 1855, now serves lawyers, legislators and judges with state code publications in sixteen states and the District of Columbia. Timely, accurate and reliable, our code publications are compiled, annotated and indexed by an experienced staff of lawyer-editors, assisted by modern computer technology.

The Code of Alabama, published in cooperation with the Alabama Legislative Council, includes the following:

- Constitutions of Alabama and the United States
- General and permanent acts of the State Legislature
- Rules of the Supreme Court of Alabama
- Collateral references to American Law Reports, American Jurisprudence, and Corpus Juris Secundum
- Complete cross-references
- Annual cumulative pocket-part supplements
- General Index in convenient soft-bound edition, revised, updated and replaced annually
- Advance Annotation Service available by annual subscription

THE MICHIE COMPANY

for customer service contact:
JAMES R. SHROYER
P.O. Box 717
Pelham, Alabama 35124
(205) 326-9899
Who is Alabama’s oldest attorney? The state bar has received numerous inquiries regarding who the oldest practicing lawyer in the state is. The bar would be interested in having this information. We are aware of one such person who is eighty-six years old. We know that some of our more senior attorneys may have become of counsel to their firms. We know of one that is ninety-one years of age. Can you let us know if there are others?

January 1, 1984 was the effective date for revisions of both the Professional Corporation Act and the Limited Partnership Act. After that date no new professional associations may be formed. All new professional associations must be under the Professional Corporation Law. Existing professional associations may elect to come under the Professional Corporation law or remain a professional association.

Now members age 55 to 59 will be eligible to apply for the new major medical insurance during a special 90 day period. Your Insurance Committee recently approved a change in the major medical insurance made available to members. Each member has been mailed information individually about the new program. Now the company has agreed to extend the eligible age to 59 for members who apply with satisfactory evidence of insurability during a 90 day enrollment period. The 90-day period will commence January 15, 1984 and end April 15, 1984. For information and our application write Ralph Maril, CLU, 1718 City Federal Building, Birmingham, Alabama 35203, or telephone toll free 1-800-241-7753.

Help! In connection with the forthcoming restoration/rehabilitation of the State Capitol building, the Alabama Historical Commission is seeking old photos, letters, or personal memoirs that might provide clues as to the appearance of the pre-1940 State Supreme Court chamber, the Supreme Court library, and the judicial offices. From 1851 until 1940, the judicial branch of the state government occupied the east or rear wing of the Alabama State Capitol. Members of the Alabama State Bar Association are asked to look into family albums and trunks for any materials of this nature. Even if it’s just a snapshot, it could prove helpful. Please contact the Alabama Historical Commission, 725 Monroe Street, Montgomery, Alabama 36130, or call 205-261-3184.

Old Buildings for Modern Uses. Your response to our special upcoming issue on restored or renovated buildings for use as law offices has been outstanding. If you have not contacted us and you wish for your office to be included, time is running out! Please send any historical or background information about your law office, along with a photograph, to The Alabama Lawyer, P.O. Box 4156, Montgomery, AL 36101. We will need to hear from you before the end of January.

Short Story Contest Continues. Thank you for your entries to The Alabama Lawyer Short Story Contest! We would like to invite those of you who would still like to participate to do so. Short stories should be no longer than twelve typed, double-spaced pages on 8 ½” x 11” paper. Please submit two copies before January 31, 1984. Winning stories will be published in future issues of The Alabama Lawyer. Send your entry to The Alabama Lawyer, P.O. Box 4156, Montgomery, Alabama 36101.
LEGAL PRINTING

Legal and Financial Printers Since 1910
Experienced, Dependable, Responsible, Confidential

Prospectuses, Proxy Statements, Official Statements, Tender Offers, Indentures and Briefs

BIRMINGHAM PUBLISHING COMPANY
130 South 19th Street
Birmingham, Alabama 35233
Telephone: 205/251-5113
Contact: Harold Fulton, Vice President
USCA is far and away the leader. It is used in more law offices than any other federal law source. This leadership has been maintained for 56 years. There are many reasons, but USCA’s unequaled completeness is the one most mentioned by its lawyer subscribers.

Your West representative will demonstrate to you, with specific examples, the many reasons for USCA’s continued leadership. He or she will show you why we say: USCA, of course.