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IS YOUR
MALPRACTICE
INSURER
FOCUSED
ON ALABAMA?

One malpractice insurer is dedicated to continually serving only Alabama Attorneys and remaining in the Alabama marketplace!

AIM: For the Difference!

Attorneys Insurance Mutual of Alabama, Inc.*
200 Inverness Parkway
Birmingham, Alabama 35242-4813

Telephone (205) 980-0009
Toll Free (800) 526-1246
FAX (205) 980-9009

*CHARTER MEMBER: NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF BAR-RELATED INSURANCE COMPANIES.
McElroy’s Alabama Evidence
Fifth Edition
and its 2001 Supplement

For more than 30 years, McElroy’s Alabama Evidence has served as a comprehensive guide to evidentiary issues in Alabama case law. Now in its fifth edition and expanded to two volumes, McElroy’s Alabama Evidence discusses the Alabama Rules of Evidence which became effective on January 1, 1996, and provides detailed comparison to pre-existing Alabama law.

The 2001 Supplement discusses the following breaking developments:

1. Prior Testimony Offered as an Exception to Hearsay under Rule 804(b)(1): Requiring Substantial Similarity of Parties
2. Rejection of Daubert and the Continuation of the Frye doctrine under the Expert Witness Principle found in Rule 702
3. Statutory Privileges under Rule 501
4. Restrictions on Pattern and Practice Evidence under Rules 404(b) & 404(6)
5. Collateral Misconduct Evidence Offered against a Medical Service Provider under Rules 404(b) & 405
6. Rule 404(b) Notice Provision as Applied under Other Rules
7. BMW v. Gore Objections
8. “Similarly Situated” Healthcare Providers as Expert Witnesses in Tort Cases under the Alabama Medical Liability Act
9. Spoilation of Evidence and Resulting Inferences
10. Admitting Certified Copies of Certified Copies under the Public Records Exception to Hearsay

Samford University Press Order Form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Tax (Alabama Residents)</th>
<th>Shipping &amp; Handling</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>McElroy’s Alabama Evidence (5th edition), by Charles W. Gamble</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
<td>$7.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McElroy’s 5th Edition 2001 Supplement, by Charles W. Gamble</td>
<td>$49.95</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Due: | | |

Name __________________________ Phone ( ) __________________________

Mailing Address __________________________ City __________________________ State __________________________ Zip __________________________ E-mail __________________________

☐ I wish to automatically receive and be billed for any future supplements and/or revisions.

☐ Please charge my ☐ MasterCard ☐ Visa __________________________ Expiration Date __________________________ Signature __________________________

Make check payable to Samford University Press.

Mail form and payment to:

Janice James, Cumberland School of Law, 800 Lakeshore Drive, Birmingham, AL 35229
Phone: (205) 726-2757 Fax: (205) 726-2436
As lawyers, we have a collective and individual responsibility to advocate for professionalism as well as to advocate for our clients. ABICLE programs have become essential and integral to maintaining and raising the professionalism and skill level of our Bar.

Michael L. Roberts
Cusimano, Keener, Roberts,
Kimberly & Miles, P.C.

Call ABICLE at 1-800-627-6514
or 205-348-6230
for program information.
www.abicle.org
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I have come to realize that my life is little more than being a “sponge.” I soak up traits that I admire from one person, try to copy and implement that trait and usually fail miserably. I then hear some stirring speech or great closing argument and attempt to imitate the orator and usually fall flat. My problem is that I have not had an original thought, phrase or quotation to my memory, so I am relegated to sponging off the intellect of others. Even so, if you choose your idols and sources wisely, you can enjoy a certain degree of success.

My heroes have always been sports figures, government leaders and lawyers. I have enjoyed being Bart Starr, Pee Wee Reese, Jackie Robinson and “Doctor J” on many an Alabama playground. As a lawyer, my heroes have been great orators and teachers like Francis Hare, Sr., Leigh Harrison, Dan Meador and Charles Gamble. These and other legal giants have inspired members of our bar to distinguish themselves among the elite jurists in this country. Of course, like many people, I derive inspiration and determination on a daily basis from Paul William Bryant. It is not that I could obtain the stature of these heroes, but it sure helps to have a dream and vision on this journey, and these legends have left a wonderful road map for us to follow.

There is one true hero that helps me and many lawyers every day. Howell Heflin must have had me in mind when he did away with pleading at length in a complaint. He must have had me in mind when he abolished demurrers, pleas in short by consent, red-embroidered ribbon on appellate briefs and other specified self-serving rules which defeated meritorious claims. Because of his efforts and many others helping him, lawyers were finally able to have valid cases addressed on merit rather than on form which barred a party from justice based on some antiquated rule.

If you do not believe one man can make a difference, examine the record of Judge Heflin. I have just finished reading a wonderful book about Judge Heflin’s career, A Judge In the Senate. I believe it should be mandatory reading for all Alabama lawyers. It is a wonderful study in history and a wonderful lesson that one man can have a huge impact. If you doubt that one dedicated individual with purpose and vision can make a difference, consider the following:

1. After Heflin served as president of the state bar from 1965 to 1966, the American Bar Association, for the first and only time, awarded Alabama its coveted Award of Progress as having the most progressive bar for that year in the nation.

2. In that year, a Citizen’s Conference was formed to evaluate the legal system in this state. This conference became the nucleus of the support for the adoption of the Judicial Article.

3. In the early ’70s, Alabama’s judicial system ranked at the bottom by most national legal scholars. According to State Supreme Courts in State and Nation, the state’s appellate courts were “totally controlled by old men” and operating under petty, ludicrous and antiquated rules. Further, rules of pleading were dictated by trickery that varied from circuit to circuit. Four years later, the same publication wrote “under the leadership of Howell Heflin, a
President's Page

Executive Director's Report

Bar Briefs

About Members, Among Firms

Memorials

Legislative Wrap-Up

Opinions of the General Counsel

Young Lawyers' Section

Disciplinary Notices

Classified Notices

THE ALABAMA LAWYER

Vol. 63. No. 1
January 2002

Published seven times a year (the June issue is a bar directory edition) by the Alabama State Bar, P.O. Box 4156, Montgomery, Alabama 36101-4156. Phone (334) 261-1515 • www.alabar.org

Robert A. Huffaker, Chair & Editor
Susan Shorack DePaula, Vice-Chair & Associate Editor
Susan A. Andres, Staff Liaison & Communications Director
Margaret L. Murphy, Staff Liaison & Managing Editor
Shannon Elliott, Communications & Publications Assistant

Board of Editors
Robert R. Baugh, Birmingham • Charles O. Cole, Birmingham • Linda G. Fippo, Birmingham • Ben V. Grant, Montgomery • Norman Johnmund, Jr., Birmingham • Nathan W. Johnson, Birmingham • Michael A. Kirtland, Montgomery • Margaret S. Kohn, Birmingham • Debra E. Marion, Montgomery • Joyce F. May, Mobile • George A. Martin, Jr., Fairhope • Hon. Vanessa McPherson, Montgomery • Gloria J. McPherson, Montgomery • Alan T. Rogers, Birmingham • Jonathan C. Sapp, Opelika • Kevin Walding, Daphne • Robert M. Weinberg, Montgomery

Officers
Larry W. Morris, Alexander City ........................................ President
Fred U. Gray, Sr., Tuskegee ............................................. President-Elect
Wesley Pipes, Mobile .................................................. Vice-President
Keith B. Murphy, Montgomery .......................................... Secretary

Board of Commissioners

The Alabama Lawyer is published seven times a year in the United States and $25 per year outside the United States by the Alabama State Bar at 415 Dexter Avenue, Montgomery, AL 36104. Single issues are $5.00 for the journal and $25/$60 for the directory. Periodicals postage paid at Montgomery, Alabama, and additional mailing offices.

ALABAMA STATE BAR HEADQUARTERS STAFF
415 Dexter Avenue, Montgomery, AL 36104 (334) 269-1515 • FAX (334) 261-6310 • E-mail: info@alabar.org
Web site: www.alabar.org

Executive Director ..................Keith B. Norman
Executive Assistant ..................Margaret Brown
Director of Programs ...............Edward M. Patterson
Administrative Assistant for Programs ............Rita Gray
Lawyer Referral Secretary ............Carol Thornton
Mandatory Continuing Legal Education Director .............Kim Oliver Ward
CLE Administrative Assistant ..........Angie Crowe
CLE Secretary ......................Christina Lawrence
Director of Communications & Public Information .............Samantha H. Andres
Publications Director ...............Margaret L. Murphy
Communications & Publications Administrative Assistant ..........Shannon Elliott
Membership Services Director .........Diane Locke
Membership Administrative Assistant ............Myrna McHenry
Pro Hac Vice Administrative Assistant ..........Mary Corbit
Volunteer Lawyers Program Director ............Linda Lund
VLP Administrative Assistant ..........Katherine L. Church

ALABAMA STATE BAR CENTER FOR PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY STAFF
415 Dexter Avenue, Montgomery, AL 36104 (334) 269-1515 • FAX (334) 261-6311 • E-mail: info@alabar.org

General Counsel ..................J. Anthony McLain
Secretary to General Counsel ..........Vivian Freeman
Assistant General Counsel ..........J. Gilbert Keasbrick
Assistant General Counsel ..........Milton L. Evans
Assistant General Counsel ..........Robert E. Luik, Jr.
Complaints Intake Coordinator ..........Kim Ellis

Director of Admissions ..................Dorothy D. Johnson
Admissions Administrative Assistant ..........Heidi Ayles
Sonia Douglas
Alabama Law Foundation, Inc. Director ..................Tracy Daniel
ALF Administrative Assistant ..........Jennifer Bacus
Bookkeeper .......................Gale Skinner
ASB Foundation Assistant ..........Ann Ritenour
Graphics Arts Director ..................Maggie Stutler
Graphics Assistant ..................Roderick Palmer
Receptionist ......................Stephanie Oglesby
Alabama Center for Dispute Resolution Director .............Judy M. Keegan (205-0409)
ASB Administrative Assistant ..........Jackie Hearn
Law Office Management Assistant Program Director ..........Laura A. Calloway
Alabama Lawyer Assistance Program Director ..........Jeanne Marie Leslie (834-7576)
LOMAP and ALAP Administrative Assistant ..........Sandra Clements

The Alabama Lawyer (ISSN 0002-4267) is the official publication of the Alabama State Bar. It is published seven times a year in the months of January, March, May, June, September, November, and December. The Alabama State Bar reserves all rights in and to its publication. Subscriptions to The Alabama Lawyer are available to members of the bar at the annual dues payment of $15.00 for print subscriptions or at the annual dues payment of $30.00 for electronic subscriptions. Subscriptions not paid by subscription deadline will be accepted only on a delinquent basis. Subscription inquiries should be addressed to the Office of General Counsel. The Alabama Lawyer reserves the right to refuse any advertisement. Copyright 2002. The Alabama State Bar. All rights reserved.
You work in a small law firm.

It's how you know™
you’ll have the flexible,
easy-to-use research tools you need
to serve your clients.

Because your work
is anything but small.

LexisNexis™ provides you the exact research resources you need, backed by our award-winning customer service, free training and expert consultation.

- Custom Content Packages
- Flat-Rate Pricing
- Superior Standard Features
  - Shepard's® Citations
  - Lexis® Search Advisor
  - Core Concepts
  - Case Summaries
  - News and Public Records
  - Free Printing

LexisNexis™
It's how you know™

Learn more about small law resources @ www.lexisnexis.com

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are trademarks, and Lexis and Shepard's are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. It's How You Know is a trademark of LexisNexis, a division of Reed Elsevier Inc. © 2001 LexisNexis, a division of Reed Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
legal system fraught with ineptness and injustice has swiftly become a model for the Nation." An article in *The New York Times* stated, "Alabama has become the most dramatic example in the country to improve the quality in state courts and it is attributable to the leadership of Howell Heflin." The *Boston Globe, Nashville Banner and Washington Post* all joined in praising the dramatic change in Alabama's court system.

Without question, Judge Heflin had a lot of help in passing and implementing these changes. However, he was state bar president and shortly thereafter chief justice when these startling overhauls occurred. He convinced many people to assist in pulling the wagon but he was in charge of driving the mules. As a result of one man making a difference, a system of fairness, equality and justice became the rule rather than the exception in the Alabama courts.

This new system is now almost 25 years old. The majority of attorneys in this state, thank goodness, have never experienced the deadly fear that sets in when a lawyer realizes he has left off the circuit court's jurisdictional aversments, and his client's appeal is dismissed without any ruling on the merits. This "good old boy" system had deprived many a deserving human being of his just day in court. It is noteworthy to consider the stringent opposition the Judicial Article was facing. After further reflection, it also may have current application in today's climates.

Black Belt "Bourbons," as they were affectionately called, consisted of the large landowners of the state. Since 1901, they, along with the large industrialists, had formed an alliance that controlled the legislature. The 1901 Constitution gave judicial rulemaking and procedural power to the legislative branch of government. Hence, in order for the judiciary to change its manner of doing business, it had to have legislative approval. The separation between the two branches of government, at best, was blurred, if, indeed, it existed at all. In essence, if you controlled the legislature, you controlled the judiciary. That was the climate under which Judge Heflin and his followers began the arduous task of changing the legal system by changing Article VI of the constitution.

Through some clever politics, such as making judges' widows the beneficiary of state retirement and other skillful maneuvering, Judge Heflin was able to bring the lawyers, circuit judges, probate judges, circuit clerks, and all court personnel together in a common cause. I am confident the reason the official court reporters signed on with so much enthusiasm was not because they would become eligible for state retirement but because they wanted a change for justice. I am sure the same is true of the probate judges and circuit clerks who agreed to go off the fee system. They just wanted good government. For whatever reason, Judge Heflin's vision created strong support for a new system.

Howell Heflin then had the difficult task of convincing the legislature to give up some of their power and let the people vote on the new Judicial Article. Again, with an awful lot of help, this happened and then the people changed the entire article by a 62 percent affirmative vote. The legal and judicial system, after 30 years, says, "Thank you, Judge Heflin."

So here we are—50 years after Big Jim Folsom explained how this outmoded constitution pushes working people down and

pushed the "Big Mules" up, and 35 years since the nearest "New South" governor we have ever had courageously insisted we improve and revise the 1901 document for the good of Alabama. **Albert Brewer** was called a "pinko liberal" among other things for his efforts, which may well have cost this honorable and talented lawyer the governorship. Other well intentioned office holders have characterized the constitution as stifling, archaic and repressive. Yet, no one person has stepped up to lead.

After reading Judge Heflin's biography, I realize more than ever that your state bar will not enjoy a banner year like 1965. I have not grabbed an obvious need for change by the throat and asked you to follow me. Maybe cowardice and political scars have prevented me from so doing. But I am willing to pledge my efforts to a young Howell Heflin who is willing to give his time for all the right reasons to get us started toward a state constitution up to 21st century standards, one who is willing to sacrifice his efforts toward tax fairness and allowing a system where local people solve local problems. Isn't it time for a lawyer to lead?

Twenty of the first 23 presidents of this country were lawyers. Isn't it time for a lawyer to read *A Judge in the Senate* and realize that one dedicated soul can truly make a difference?

Howell Heflin is my hero. I want some more heroes. I believe some more will step forward in the name of honor, in the name of equality and in the name of justice. Many wonderful movements have begun with a small letter nailed to a church door or one courageous person not willing to move to the back of the bus. Isn't it time for us with a united front to say "the time is right, the cause is just, now let's get it done"? I believe we can.

---

**Free Report Shows Lawyers How to Get More Clients**

Calif.—Why do some lawyers get rich while others struggle to pay their bills? The answer, according to attorney, David M. Ward, has nothing to do with talent, education, hard work, or even luck.

"The lawyers who make the big money are not necessarily better lawyers," he says. "They have simply learned how to market their services."

A successful sole practitioner who once struggled to attract clients, Ward credits his turnaround to a referral marketing system he developed six years ago.

"I went from dead broke and drowning in debt to earning $300,000 a year, practically overnight," he says. Most lawyers depend on referrals, he notes, but not one in 100 uses a referral system.

"Without a system, referrals are unpredictable. You may get new clients this month, you may not," he says.

A referral system, Ward says, can bring in a steady stream of new clients, month after month, year after year. "It feels great to come to the office every day knowing the phone will ring and new business will be on the line."

Ward has taught his referral system to over 2,500 lawyers worldwide, and has written a new report, *"How To Get More Clients In A Month Than You Now Get All Year"*. Which reveals how any lawyer can use this system to get more clients and increase their income.

Alabama lawyers can get a FREE copy of this report by calling 1-800-582-4627, a 24-hour free recorded message, or visiting Ward's web site, [http://www.davidward.com](http://www.davidward.com)
"Absurd Vaporings of a Disordered Mind"

Not long ago former state bar president Gary Huckaby of Huntsville mailed me a bound copy of the "Proceedings of the Thirty-Eighth Annual Meeting of the Alabama State Bar Association." This volume had been preceded by a bound copy of the "Proceedings of the Thirty-Ninth Annual Meeting of the Alabama State Bar Association" that I received from Sherry Thomas of Birmingham. The 38th and 39th annual meetings were held in 1915 and 1916 respectively. Gary and Sherry had come across these volumes in used book shops and had wanted the state bar to have them.

Both of these meetings occurred prior to the passage of legislation in 1923 creating a mandatory state bar. Prior to 1923, the state bar was a voluntary association. These minutes reflect issues confronting the profession 85 years ago and offer some important lessons for today.

At the 1916 meeting, the treasurer reported that the bar association's receipts for 1915 were $2,920 and disbursements were $1,647.40. Annual dues were $5 and there were 283 members. By comparison for fiscal year 2000-2001, state bar receipts were $3,730,165.77 and disbursements were $3,507,597.07. Our members numbered 13,200.

The 1916 minutes included reports about legislation considered during the 1915 legislative session. At that time, the legislature met in biennial sessions. Legislation was enacted in 1915 to permit the bar association to prosecute attorneys for ethical violations in the name of the state. Parenthetically, the Alabama State Bar Association adopted the profession's first code of ethics in 1888. This code would later serve as the model for the code of ethics adopted by the American Bar Association in the early 1900s.

Other major legislative enactments reported at the 1916 meeting included: abolition of equity courts; allowing entry of default judgments after 30 days; making the trial court's oral charge and all charges refused or given a part of the record in all cases; allowing judges to apportion costs in all cases and the transfer of a case filed in one circuit to the appropriate one for trial.

During the 1916 meeting, several committees made reports and recommendations. Among these committees were the Committee on Legal Education and the Central Council. The Committee on Legal Education recommended that those seeking to become lawyers first receive a "course of study substantially equivalent to that prescribed to a county high school," and three years of law school. In response to public criticisms leveled at the profession regarding the excessive number of lawyers and competency concerns, the report of the bar association's Central Council, authored by Henry Upton Simms, suggested a solicitor-barrister model similar to the one in England and an extensive internship. Writing for the committee, Mr. Simms stated:

"...While we cannot actually limit the number of lawyers in Alabama, or even greatly reduce the number who in one way or another practice the profession, we can probably secure the enactment of laws dividing the lawyers into those who practice generally and those who have the privilege of appearing in causes in the Superior Courts. Then we can require as a preliminary training to appearing as a barrister in court, three years study in an approved law school, followed by two or three years as the clerk of a barrister in his office; and then following the whole five or six years training by a strict examination under supervision of the Bar Association of the State. If requirements are applied without exception, even to applicants from other States, in due time, I believe the number of practicing
barristers in Alabama will be greatly reduced. Then if the disbarment statutes are simplified as we recommended last year, so that a barrister's license can be cancelled on a motion and trial in an Appellate Court, without intervention of a jury, I believe the ethical standards of your bar can be maintained.'

Judicial selection was a hot topic at the 1916 meeting because of legislation adopted in 1915 requiring candidates for the appellate courts to take part in primaries. Prior to the 1915 legislation, appellate court judges were elected at the general election in what was tantamount to a non-partisan election. Bar association president Charles S. McDowell commented on this change at the meeting.

"If the unyielding and zealous advocates of the primary system for choosing judges are logical, they must go further than they have gone and declare, virtually, that they do not want men upon the courts not because of their legal attainments, but because of their political alignments. All men recognize a difference between a political and judicial office, and we should recognize a corresponding difference between candidates for these offices. ...The judge does not make the law, and it is not therefore material what he thinks about current political issues. He is chosen to serve the people, not to represent them; he does not translate their convictions into statutes, nor shape the policy of the State. His office is simply to hold the scales of justice even as between man and man, and the should never be forced into a contest which must inevitably engender passion and prejudice which are fatal to judicial poise..."

One of the more interesting episodes at the 1916 meeting involved a resolution to censure a bar member. The bar member had written a letter to fellow lawyers containing disparaging remarks about corporate lawyers and the judiciary. A resolution censuring the lawyer was proposed at the meeting which referred to statements in the letter to be not only "...untrue but [also] so entirely without foundation as to be the absurd vaportings of a disordered mind...". The proposed resolution was supported and challenged with great passion and eloquence by some of the state's best known and most successful lawyers. The most meaningful aspect of the debate, however, was the dignity with which it was conducted. Although an amended version of the resolution removing the "vaportings" language did pass, civility was the order of the day.

Although many changes have occurred in the legal profession since 1916, civility and professionalism are as important today as 85 years ago. Regardless of the cause or situation, we should make every effort to ensure that our conduct will stand 85 years later as a worthy example for the profession.

---

War Stories

The Alabama Lawyer is looking for "war stories" to publish in upcoming issues, humorous tales and anecdotes about Alabama lawyers and judges. Obviously, for such stories to be published, they must be (a) true, (b) amusing and (c) tasteful. Send your reminiscences to: The Alabama Lawyer, PO. Box 4156, Montgomery 36101. Be sure to include your name, address and a daytime telephone number, in case we need to contact you.

---

Set alabar as Your Homepage

Setting alabar as your default homepage is easy! Each time you access the Web, you'll be routed to the RJS site. There, you can count on the most up-to-date information about bar activities and resources.

www.alabar.org
The University of Alabama School of Law’s National Moot Court Team has placed second in the Regional Competition held Nov. 12-14 at the law school. The team will advance to national competition in New York City early this year.

Team members Ben McAninch, Laura Robertson and Mitesh Shah were led by team manager Jordan Montiel in competition with nine other law schools throughout the Southeast.

The Alabama Veterinary Medical Association presented Boyd Whigham, district attorney for Bullock and Barbour counties, with a special award recently at the ALVMA Annual Convention. The award was for Whigham’s personal direction in the investigation that afforded the confiscation of over 200 abused animals. He was also instrumental in allowing humane organizations to find homes for the abused animals. Additionally, Whigham’s prosecution of the individual charged included a cease and desist order, along with a substantial fine and probation time.

These actions were noteworthy since the offender had avoided serious sanctions by various state and federal agencies for over ten years.

The National Judicial College has named John T. Crowder to the NJC Advisory Council. Crowder practices with Cunningham, Bounds, Yance, Crowder & Brown of Mobile.

The NJC Advisory Council provides philanthropic leadership, advice and support for the development of the College’s resources and operations.

Crowder is a graduate of the University of Alabama School of Law and is certified as a Civil Trial Specialist by the National Board of Trial Advocacy and a certified diplomat of the American Board of Professional Liability Attorneys.

Clarence M. Small, Jr. and Thomas W. Christian have been selected for membership in the American Board of Trial Advocates. Both practice with Christian & Small LLP in Birmingham.
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The Alabama Lawyer no longer publishes addresses and telephone numbers unless the announcement relates to the opening of a new firm or solo practice. Please continue to send in announcements and/or address changes to the Alabama State Bar Membership Department, at (334) 261-6310 (fax) or P.O. Box 671, Montgomery 36101.

About Members

Richard G. Brock announces the formation of American Legal Search, LLC. Offices are located at 132 Fairmont Drive, Birmingham 35213. Phone (205) 871-3223.

Franklin M. Cauthen, Jr. announces the opening of his office at 601 Greensboro Avenue, Alston Place, Suite 700, Tuscaloosa 35401. Phone (205) 349-4111.

Tom E. Ellis announces the opening of his office at 300 Office Park Drive, Suite 309, Birmingham 35223. Phone (205) 870-5565.

Caryl P. Privett announces the relocation of her office to 300 Union Hill Drive, Suite 220, Birmingham 35209. Phone (205) 868-1240.

Michael S. McNair announces the relocation of his office to 2151 Government Street, Mobile 36606. Phone (251) 450-0111.

Among Firms

Ramsey, Baxley & McDougle announces that M. Hampton Baxley has become associated with the firm.

Thomas, Means, Gillis & Seay PC announces that Valerie L. Acoff, Darnell D. Coley, Raymond L. Johnson, Jr., James L. Richey, Paul C. Williams, John W. Adams, Jr., and Pamela Robinson Higgins recently became shareholders in the firm.


Fees & Burgess PC announces that Joseph D. Aiello has become an associate with the firm.

Berkowitz, Lefkovits, Isom & Kushner PC announces that Jonathan R. Geisen, Milton D. Hobbs, Jr., Harriet T. Ivy, Donald J. Nettles, Jason M. Osborn, and Sandy S. Sparrow have joined the firm as associates.

Bradley Arant Rose & White LLP announces that Chris Hawkins, Lisa Moss, Brian Wahl and Laura Watkins have joined the firm as associates.

Walston, Wells, Anderson & Bains LLP announces that Dawn Helms Sharp has become a partner, and Anu Mahatkar Brady and Emily S. Lassiter have become associates with the firm.

Lloyd, Gray & Whitehead PC announces that Howard Y. Downey has become a shareholder with the firm, and Ashley E. Manning and Emily K. Niezer have become associated with the firm.

Cabaniss, Johnston, Gardner, Dumas & O'Neal announces that G. Thomas Sullivan has joined the firm as a partner, and Amy Bell Nelson has joined as an associate.

William C. Maddox announces that Charles Michael Herrington has become associated with the firm.

Austill, Lewis & Simms PC announces that Joel DiLorenzo and Shelley D. Howton have joined the firm as associates.

Smith, Spires & Peddy PC announces that Sheri R. McMullen and Jennifer M. Williamson have joined the firm as associates.

Morris, Cary & Andrews LLC announces that Cory H. Driggers has joined the firm.

Boyd & Fernambucq PC announces that Richard L. Vincent has joined the firm and the firm name has been changed to Boyd, Fernambucq & Vincent PC.
R. Ben Hogan, III announces the formation of Hogan Law Office PC and that Christopher D. Glover has joined the firm as an associate.

The Law Offices of Max Cassady PC announces that Utopia Cassady has joined the firm as a partner and the firm name has been changed to Cassady & Cassady PC

Hatcher, Stubbs, Land, Hollis & Rothschild announces that Edward P. Hudson has become a partner in the firm.

Farmer, Farmer, Malone & Sherrill PA announces that Virginia Lynn Nichols has joined the firm as an associate.

David M. Wilson and Jonathan L. Berryhill announce the formation of Wilson & Berryhill PC, and that Jud C. Stanford and Irene Blomenkamp have become associates of the firm. Offices are located at 1475 Financial Center, 505 20th Street, North, Birmingham 35203. Phone (205) 252-4441.

Clark, Scott & Sullivan PC announces that Benjamin C. Heinz has become associated with the firm in its Mobile office.

Glenn N. Baxter LLC announces that James E. Gentry has become associated with the firm.

Ferguson, Frost & Dodson LLP announces that Lisa F. Brown and William R. Allen, III have joined the firm as associates.

Lewis Fisher Henderson & Claxton LLP announces that Craig A. Cowart has rejoined the firm as an associate.

Richardson Callahan LLP announces that W. Brad English has joined the firm as an associate.

William H. Webster and D. Mitchell Henry announce the formation of Webster & Henry PC and that Thomas A. Treadwell is an associate with the firm. Offices are at 418 Scott Street, Suite B, Montgomery. Phone (334) 264-9472.

Huie, Fernambuca & Stewart LLP announces that Bradley J. McGiboney, Jr.

Patrick Strubel and David L. Brown, Jr. have become associates.

Tanner & Guin LLC announces that Justin G. Williams has joined the firm as an associate.

Young, Young & Parks announces that Christopher L. Albright has become associated with the firm.

Phillip E. Adams, Jr., Arnold W. Umbach, Jr., Patrick C. Davidson and Matthew W. White announce the formation of Adams, Umbach, Davidson & White LLP. Offices will remain at 205 9th Street, Opelika.

Wilkins, Bankester, Biles & Wynne announce that C. Joseph Norton has joined the firm.

Young, Young & Parks announces that Christopher L. Albright has joined the firm.

Ferguson, Frost & Dodson LLP announces that Lisa F. Brown and William R. Allen, III have joined the firm.

---

Time and again, the leaders in dedication and service.

With more than half a century under our belt, you could say we know all there is to know about title insurance.

But it’s not just about knowing our business. It's about knowing our clients and earning their trust. Our lawyers have done just that. By having the experience and insight to provide vital underwriting solutions.

We’re rated the number one title insurance company in Mississippi and Alabama, receiving “unsurpassed financial stability” ratings. Thank you for putting your faith in us.

Mississippi Valley Title Insurance Company
We're Here.

205.328.8026 • 800.848.1688 • mvt.com

---

Left to Right: Tom Marvin, Gina Matthews, Leon Sanders, Buddy Rawson
James A. Turner

The Tuscaloosa County Bar Association lost a distinguished member on June 27, 2001 with the death of James A. Turner at age 75. Jimmy Turner practiced law in Tuscaloosa for 49 years, earning a reputation as a fierce advocate and a gentleman of unquestioned honesty and impeccable integrity.

He was born August 22, 1925 in the Brownsville Community of Tuscaloosa County. He grew up during the height of the Depression and when not in school, he would work on the family farm or would haul logs and cord wood to help support his family.

On October 22, 1943 he entered the United States Marine Corps. After basic training he shipped out to the Central Pacific and participated in the landing of Iwo Jima on February 19, 1945, where the Marines faced some of the bloodiest and most furious combat of World War II. On March 5, 1945, 15 days after landing on Iwo Jima, Jimmy Turner was severely injured, receiving five bullets to his face and totally and permanently blinding him for life. For his action on Iwo Jima, he was awarded the Purple Heart.

He was sent to the United States Navy Hospital in Philadelphia for treatment and rehabilitation. While there he under went the first of several painful reconstructive surgeries. He would also listen to individuals from various professions brought in to speak to him and many others like him who had been wounded and blinded in combat. He listened intently one day to an attorney and when he finished speaking, Jimmy Turner had decided on his profession.

He was discharged on April 12, 1946 and on his discharge papers listed law as his job preference. He returned home and enrolled in the University of Alabama under the GI bill. Due to his injuries, readers were provided for him who read his books, notes and exams to him. It was soon apparent to his readers and his professors that he had a phenomenal memory. He would have several readers during his undergraduate years but there was one that was special to him. Her name was Louise Ingram, and they were married in January 1948. Together they soon began a family and their first son, James Donald, was born in October 1948. Mr. Turner graduated from the University of Alabama and entered the University of Alabama Law School in 1949 and his wife, Louise, joined him a year later. They were also joined by their second son, Richard Dillard, having been born in December 1949. Jimmy Turner was known as an excellent student excelling in his studies. He graduated from the University of Alabama Law School in 1952 and his wife, Louise, graduated a year later in 1953. They established the law firm of Turner & Turner, the same firm which bears their name today. His first office was on the ninth floor of the First National Bank Building, now known as the AmSouth Bank Building, where he proceeded to slowly starve to death, as young lawyers do. Times were not tough for longInt as he soon gained a reputation as a fierce determined advocate who would unfailingly fight for his client's rights. He gained the admiration of his peers by taking on cases other lawyers would not, and winning them. The late Olm Zemanah, a prominent and distinguished lawyer in his own right, once commented that he hated to try cases with Jimmy and Louise Turner more than any other lawyers. He would state that Jimmy was just too mean and that Louise was so sweet the jury just fell in love with her. Their family continued to grow as Michael Glenn, their third son, was born in July 1955, and their law practice continued to grow as well.

In 1964 the offices of Turner & Turner were moved to the River Hill Building on Greensboro Avenue where the firm would be located for the next 26 years. In 1968, Jimmy Turner was elected president of the Tuscaloosa County Bar Association by his peers and in 1974, Jimmy Turner's eldest son, Don, joined his father and mother in the practice of law.

In 1976, Jimmy Turner suffered a heart attack but was soon back at his law practice. He suffered a second massive heart attack in 1986 and underwent quadruple bypass surgery. He returned to work but no longer tried jury cases and limited his practice to worker's compensation and Social Security disability, a specialty of his since 1962. In 1988, Jimmy Turner's second son, Ric, joined the firm.

In 1990, Turner & Turner moved to its present location on 22nd Avenue. All were amazed at how quickly he was able to learn his way around his new building and surroundings, but Jimmy Turner never considered himself to be disabled.

Jimmy Turner continued to handle Social Security disability cases just prior to his death and was able to witness his grandson, Brian Donald, join the firm in 1998. Through the 1990s he suffered health problems but would astound and amaze everyone with his grit, toughness and tenacity in being able to rebound from these problems. Unfortunately he was not able to overcome his last illness and departed this life on June 27, 2001. The respect he earned was evident by the many members of the bar who were in attendance at this funeral.

He is survived by his wife of 53 years, Louise; sons Don, Ric and Glenn; grandchildren Brian, Lindsey and Brittany; and great-grandchild Farris Anne.

He will be remembered as a tough, hard and fierce advocate and one who loved the law and practiced it with honesty and humility. He was an example to all and a mentor to many. His wit and wisdom will be greatly missed by his family, friends and colleagues.

—Thomas D. Bobitt, II,
Tuscaloosa County Bar Association
Lee Edmundson Bains, Sr.

Lee Bains was a distinguished member of the Bessemer Bar Association for more than 50 years and during those years served his profession, his community, his family and his clients with distinction and honor. He died July 4, 2001.

He was born in Bessemer, Alabama and graduated from Bessemer High School, the University of Alabama and the University of Alabama School of Law. He began his practice in Bessemer in 1936 and represented various groups and individuals, including labor unions, corporations, individuals, municipalities, and state associations. He also served as city attorney for the City of Bessemer and, on numerous occasions, as the president of the Bessemer Bar Association.

Because of his legal abilities and skills, he was a member of numerous state and national honor societies and held memberships in many legal organizations, most notably the prestigious American College of Trial Lawyers.

Lee Bains was a longtime member of the First United Methodist Church of Bessemer, where he taught Sunday School for more than 50 years, and most recently continued his devotion to his God until his death, as a Sunday School teacher at Canterbury United Methodist Church and at Fair Haven Methodist Retirement Center.

He exhibited his continuous desire to improve both his mind and his body through continuous study and reading and a regimen of physical activity, including daily swims at the YMCA, long-distance skiing and skating, for which he received Presidential awards, most of which were obtained after the age of 60.

William Doyle Scruggs, Jr.

February 7, 1974 - August 15, 2001

The dates above represent the time line of service given to the Alabama State Bar by Bill Scruggs, its 110th president (1986-1987). Bill succumbed to cancer in his native DeKalb County on November 6, 2001, at the age of 58. He had practiced law in Fort Payne since his admission to the bar in 1968, following his graduation from the University of Alabama School of Law.

Bill was an exemplary member of the legal profession for 33 years and, therein, was recognized as one of the finest lawyers ever to practice in Alabama. Former Chief Justice “Bo” Torbert responded “No,” when asked by someone seeking his opinion on hiring legal counsel, if Bill Scruggs was the “best country lawyer” in Alabama. He would then explain that, “Bill Scruggs was the best lawyer in Alabama.” His election as a Fellow of the American College of Trial Lawyers was an attestation to the respect he enjoyed as a result of his considerable courtroom talents. Bill’s success as a lawyer was grounded in a strong character of absolute integrity, a brilliant mind, a continuing quest for knowledge, an abundance of common sense, and a sharp and disarming wit. Bill’s ability to speak with the most learned and one with minimal abilities, at the same time, and have both understand him clearly, was legendary. He possessed a special talent for taking extremely difficult and complex matters and simplifying them for others to understand.

For 27 1/2 of the 33 years he practiced law, Bill was actively involved in meaningful ways to improve our profession. His friend and teacher, Justice Sam Beatty, summed up Bill’s work accordingly: “Much of the essential nature of the Alabama State Bar bears the stamp of his interest. In that, he is truly first among equals.”

Bill wasn’t just on a committee list. He showed up and, more often than not, was leading whatever effort he and others had been asked to undertake. We were friends before I worked closely with Bill in an official capacity for 20 of those years. The years included those in which the legal profession underwent dramatic change, not only in its growth, but in issues that confronted the profession.

No one was more personally involved in seeking to meet the challenges facing the bar than was Bill Scruggs. Bill completed, by most standards, yeoman service to the profession very early in his career. A succession of bench and bar leaders continued to seek his advice and his personal involvement in the betterment of the bar and in helping it better meet its public responsibility.

Bill was elected bar commissioner for the 9th Judicial Circuit on February 7, 1974 to fill the vacancy resulting from the creation of Jackson County as the 38th Judicial Circuit. The previous commissioner from the 9th Circuit resided in the new 38th Circuit. Bill was elected by the Board of Commissioners, under prevailing rules, upon the recommendation of the lawyers of the 9th Judicial Circuit. He was 31 years of age. Bill was the commissioner for the 9th Judicial Circuit until he resigned in 1986 to assume the ASB presidency to which he had been elected. He was reelected as a bar commissioner, following his year as president, in 1987 and served until 1993. He had accepted an appointment to the Court of the Judiciary where he was serving at the time of his death. In the spirit of term limits recently adopted, though not yet legally applicable to him, he left the Commission a year early.

Bill presided over two annual meetings for the state bar, the 1982 Huntsville meeting and the 1987 Mobile meeting. He was serving
In Remembrance

Bill Scruggs

ALABAMA

(...and don't forget the quail)

ref. Exodus 16:13
as second vice-president of the bar for the years 1981-82. During that year, President Harold V. Hughston died. He was succeeded by Broox Garrett, Sr., the president who served the remainder of the term. Mr. Garrett was taken ill in Huntsville, the evening before the annual meeting was to begin, and returned home to Brewton. Bill, as 2nd vice-president, was thrust into the role of presiding over the meeting. I awakened him before 7 a.m. to tell him of his new responsibility. As usual, Bill conducted the meeting flawlessly with both grace and humor. It was at this time Bill began kidding me, both publicly and privately, about "The Script."

"The Script" was merely a detailed suggested "game plan" for the use of the president in running the Annual Meeting. I had been preparing it yearly for just such an event as had occurred. Bill had fun (and he confessed a great sense of security) at my expense. The Script was, again, a prop he used very effectively at his own annual meeting in 1987 and, again, at my expense.

In 1987, he used The Script to welcome our Grande Convocation Speaker, U.S. Secretary of Transportation Elizabeth Dole. He professed to want to welcome her with a kiss, but said The Script forbade such familiarity with a Presidential Cabinet member (even though this wasn’t even mentioned in The Script). Mrs. Dole turned the tables on Bill, saying that was a poor excuse for a lack of Southern hospitality and he wasn’t getting off that easily. To his surprise, and the audience’s delight, she hugged him and planted a kiss on his cheek.

At that meeting, Bill was presented with a special gift in recognition of his exceptional leadership and service, above that to be reasonably expected of any bar president. This was a first and, to date, only such expression of gratitude for a retiring president. The special recognition was in addition to the traditional president’s service plaque. The gift included the "Golden Slingshot Award," symbolizing Bill’s David-like successes against numerous Goliaths during his year. He was also presented an authentic reproduction of the Parker Shotgun, suggested and acquired by his friend, Judge John Bryan. Bill was an avid hunter, conservationist and collector of fine firearms.

A major challenge the bar faced in 1986-87 was the role it would play in the highly politicized debate on tort reform which was generated by introduction of a legislative package referred to as Civil Justice Reform Measures. The confidence the board had in Bill’s leadership was manifested in its decision to defer to him solely the articulation of the bar’s position. Bill had analyzed each bill to determine the impact it would have on existing statutes and case law for consideration by the full board. Bill’s appearance before the state Senate Committee is still remembered as the turning point in this legislative debate and one of the most effective ever. Bill had led the bar’s successful participation, with the advice and consent of the Executive Committee, in the review of a significant body of law, in a highly charged political climate. The bar, through Bill, had been able to play a truly objective role and the effectiveness was due to the fact the bar was able to avoid being portrayed as a party of special interests. Bill Scruggs, with the drafting assistance from now Justice Champ Lyons, Jr., personally directed one of the bar’s greatest legislative successes by rewriting a significant portion of the original legislative package.

Bill chaired the bar Task Force on Lawyer Discipline which developed the first major changes in bar discipline. Bill’s last visit to the state bar was August 15, 2001 and, ironically, his continuing concern for professionalism was the reason for his trip. He was leading a training session for the Character and Fitness committees and their appeals board. We had planned to have lunch together, but the session lasted longer than expected and lunch was sent in. I did, however, have lunch with his son-in-law who had driven him down and when we returned to the state bar, Bill was waiting on the front porch to go home. We bid each other goodbye, without my realizing that would be my last face-to-face visit with Bill.

It somehow seems appropriate that my last visual memory of Bill will be at the place he had led and served so long. A better lawyer and bar leader, a state bar never had.

Bill’s family—his wife Kay, daughter and son-in-law, Shannon and Christopher Campagna, granddaughter Liza Banks, and his sister, Jane—must know their loss is shared by a vast circle of Bill Scruggs’s admirers: his friends, his clients, his professional colleagues, and the many people his good works benefited who never knew their benefactor.

If I could, I would gladly rewrite "The Script," knowing full well every player on life’s stage must ultimately make an exit, and I would most certainly have delayed Bill’s. At his memorial service, the minister shared aspects of a final hospital visit with Bill and Bill’s admonition to him following a discussion of Scripture, ‘‘. . . and don’t forget the quail’’ (Ref. Exodus 16:13). His longtime friends, Randy, Teddy, Jeff and Mark, better known as ALABAMA, also placed a remembrance in their hometown paper which noted the Biblical reference to quail. The minister interpreted Bill’s remarks to explain that Bill recognized there was a life more abundant than just the basics of living. Bill most certainly contributed abundant measure to the lives of those who knew and loved him.

Bill Scruggs, 1943-2001

—Reginald T. Hamner

Endnote

1. Bill’s former partner, Judge David Rainis, shared with me a classic example of this wit. Bill was known to be a Democrat when it came to politics. After Bill became aware that he was terminally ill, he announced to his morning coffee group, which included some with strong Republican leanings, he had decided to become a Republican (sic). He explained this decision by noting that when he died, there would be one less of them.
Fourth Special Session

The session was called to raise funds for the Education Budget. In the call was a revision of the Business Privilege Tax (HB 1)(died); Suspension of Carryover Losses for Two Years (HB 2); Elimination of Consolidated Corporate Tax Returns (HB 4); Tax Treatment of Limited Liability Entities (HB 5); Multi-State Tax Compact (HB 7); Establish Education Rainy Day Fund and Make Available $171 Million (HB 8).

Also passed by both houses was a tax on cell phones (HB 62); Licensing of Assisted Living Administrators (SB 11); Crime of Harassing Sports Officials (SB 16); Abolishing On-Site Absentee Balloting (SB 34); and Prohibition on candidate who was defeated in a Party Primary from running as a candidate in another party the same year (SB 36). Although both houses have passed these bills, they must each be signed to become law.

Please consult the legislature’s Web site for final passage: www.ailisd.legislature.state.al.us/acas/ACASLogin.asp.

2002 Regular Session

The 2002 Regular Session of the legislature began January 8, 2002 and can continue until April 22, 2002.

Last year the legislature not only had their regular session but four special sessions which left legislators away from their homes about half the year.

A major issue facing the Alabama legislature and 45 other legislatures is the sharp drop in tax revenues. Sixteen states are considered in critical condition in which revenues are lower and spending is higher than expected in current budget projections. These include our neighboring states of Georgia and Mississippi, as well as nearby North Carolina. Alabama is listed in serious condition in which revenues are lower than expected but spending is on target with current budget projections. This condition is shared by Florida and Tennessee. Only four states have revenues on target with current budget projections. This information is from the National Conference of State Legislatures in their December 2001 publication.

It is also expected that the 2002 statewide and legislative elections will have an impact on the session. April 5, 2002 is the last day for candidates seeking nomination by a party primary to file a declaration for candidacy with the state party chairman or county party chairman. The initial primary election is June 4 with the run-off election June 25, 2002.

Law Institute-Prepared Legislation

Alabama Uniform Anatomical Gift Act. The bill clarifies the rights of parties involved in the donation of their body parts and the authority and procedures for individuals involved in removing and transplanting a part. See March 2001 Alabama Lawyer.

Alabama Uniform Interstate Enforcement of Domestic Violence Orders. The act provides a uniform system for enforcement of domestic violence protection orders across state lines. This full faith and credit provision directs states to honor “valid” protection orders issued by the jurisdictions and to treat those orders as if they were their own. See March 2001 Alabama Lawyer.

Alabama Uniform Institutional Funds Act. In 1993 Alabama adopted the Uniform Management of Institutional Funds Act, however, it was restricted to “educational institutions” thereby other institutions, as the State Bar, religious organizations as the State Baptist Association and the Methodist Districts, and those civic organizations as Kiwanis and Rotary could not avail themselves of investment options as a fiduciary. The amendment to the Educational Institutional Funds Act would remove the limitation of this act to only education institutions. It will not affect the current law as it relates to educational institutions. This will provide Alabama with the same standards for judiciary investments as found in most other states.

Law Institute Major Revisions Effective 2002

Effective January 1, 2002 is Revised UCC Article 9 concerning secured transactions. There are new forms, new filing procedures and in many cases, new filing places. One should consult the new law which is now effective in all 50 states.

The Uniform Electronic Transactions Act that Alabama passed in 2001 has now been adopted in 35 states. In those states that have not adopted this act, they are governed by the Federal Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act or “E-sign” law.

New Web Site

Our new Web address is www.ali.state.al.us. For more information concerning the Institute or any of its projects contact Bob McCurley, director, Alabama Law Institute, P.O. Box 861425, Tuscaloosa 35486-0013; FAX (205) 348-8411; phone (205) 348-7411.
On the Road Again...

Since its inception in 1995, the ROADSHOW has covered the state of Alabama visiting local bar associations. The ASB is pleased to now include free CLE components as a benefit to Alabama lawyers. Contact Susan Andres, director of communications, at (334) 269-1515, extension 132, or e-mail to sandies@alabar.org for details. The following free CLE programs* are available:

- The ASB’s Law Office Management Assistance Program Director Laura Caliway has the answers to your questions on everything from client relations to computer software.
- The director of the Alabama Lawyer Assistance Program, Jeanne Marie Leslie, raises awareness and understanding of substance abuse within the profession and tells you how to be part of the solution to this growing problem.
- Judy Keegan, director of the Alabama Center for Dispute Resolution, brings you a summary of the latest updates and important cases from the fast-growing areas of mediation and arbitration.
- General Counsel Tony McLain keeps bar members informed of the latest disciplinary issues and major legal developments in Alabama and across the nation.
- Volunteer Lawyers Program Director Linda Lund has developed an ethics and professional component covering significant developments on a local and national level.

*Each CLE component is available in one- to three-hour formats.

All signs lead to free CLE.
Attorney's Right to Communicate with Opposing Party's Expert Witness

**QUESTION:**

In RO-87-74 and RO-88-28, the Disciplinary Commission of the Alabama State Bar addressed the question of whether, and under what circumstances, an attorney may contact and communicate with an expert witness employed by an opposing party. The Commission concluded that it was ethically permissible for an attorney to communicate with such a witness despite the fact that the opposing party is represented by counsel and despite the fact that the attorney has not obtained permission from opposing counsel to engage in such communication with the witness. The Commission based its opinion in large part upon three opinions of the American Bar Association Committee on Ethics and Professional Responsibility: Formal Opinion 117 (1934), Formal Opinion 127 (1935) and Informal Opinion 892 (1965). In 1993, the American Bar Association issued Formal Opinion 93-378 which modified, to some extent, its earlier rulings on this issue. Accordingly, the Disciplinary Commission considers it inappropriate to revisit and reconsider its previous opinions regarding contact with opposing experts.

**ANSWER:**

Subject to certain conditions discussed below, it is still permissible, under most circumstances, for an attorney to contact and communicate with an expert witness employed by an opposing party. However, if the matter is pending in federal court, or in any other jurisdiction which has adopted an expert discovery rule patterned after Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(4)(A), an attorney who engages in such contact could be in violation of Rule 3.4 (c) of the Rules of Professional Conduct of the Alabama State Bar.

**DISCUSSION:**

Nothing in the Rules of Professional Conduct conclusively precludes an attorney from initiating contact with the opposing party's expert. Rule 4.2 prohibits an attorney from communicating with a party or other person, only if such party or person is represented by another attorney. Neither Rule 4.2, nor any other rule, extends this protection to witnesses or explicitly treats expert witnesses differently from fact witnesses.

The above-stated conclusion is consistent with the holding of the Alabama Supreme Court in *Romine v. Medicenters of America, Inc.*, 476 So.2d 51 (Ala. 1985), a medical malpractice case in which the personal representative of a deceased patient contended that testimony of the deceased's treating physician was inadmissible because the defendant's attorney had discussed the case with the physician without authorization from the representative of the estate. The court rejected this argument noting that "[t]here is no testimonial privilege in Alabama covering communications between a physician and his patient or the physician's knowledge of the patient's condition acquired by reason of the relationship." 476 So.2d at 54, footnote 2. The Court went on to quote with approval from *Doe v. Eli Lilly & Co.*, 99 F. R. D. 126 (D. C. 1983), viz: "As a general proposition, however, no party to litigation has anything resembling a proprietary right to any witness's evidence. Absent a privilege no party is entitled to restrict an opponent's access to any witness, however partial or important to him, by insisting upon some notion of allegiance. Even an expert whose knowledge has been purchased cannot be silenced by the party who is paying him on that ground alone. Unless impeded by privilege an adversary may inquire, in advance
of trial, by any lawful manner to learn what any witness knows if other appropriate conditions the witness alone may impose are satisfied, e.g., compensation for his time and expertise or payment of reasonable expenses involved . . . " 476 So.2d at 55 (citations omitted)

However, there are certain ethical restrictions on contacts with any witness which are obviously equally applicable to expert witnesses. For example, Rule 4.3 requires candor and truthfulness on the part of an attorney dealing with an unrepresented person. When an attorney contacts any person who is not represented by counsel, including a lay or expert witness, the attorney must ensure that the person contacted fully understands the attorney's role in the matter and his relationship to the case or controversy that has given rise to the contact.

Furthermore, Rule 4.1 (a) prohibits an attorney from making false statements of material fact or law to a third person. This rule precludes any contact with a witness which would convey the message, directly or indirectly, that the witness must speak with the attorney. As is the case with any person or witness not under subpoena, an expert witness may refuse to discuss the case with opposing counsel. In fact, Rule 3.4(d) expressly recognizes the right of an attorney who has hired an expert to instruct the expert not to discuss the case with opposing counsel.

Obviously an attorney may not coerce or intimidate an opposing expert or in any way attempt to change or influence the expert's testimony. The prohibition in Rule 3.4(b) against counseling or assisting a witness to testify falsely applies with the same force and effect to an opponent's witnesses as it does to the attorney's own witnesses. Finally, in any contact with either a fact or expert witness, the attorney may not attempt to elicit confidential or privileged information. A corollary to Rule 1.6 which prohibits an attorney from revealing client confidences is that an attorney may not attempt to cause another to breach such confidences. However, the most significant impact on the validity and applicability of those opinions which permit contact with opposing experts came about as a result of rules which have been adopted by some jurisdictions governing the discovery of expert testimony. Foremost among these is Rule 26(b)(4)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Pursuant to this rule, there is a two-step process which must be followed in order to obtain discovery of facts and opinions held by an adversary's expert who is expected to testify at trial. This rule requires that, prior to any contact with an opposing expert, interrogatories must be served upon the expert.

If the attorney feels the responses to the interrogatories are insufficient, leave of the court must be obtained in order to conduct additional discovery. In two different opinions, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit has held that an attorney who makes ex parte contact with the opposing party's expert, as opposed to complying with prescribed procedures, has committed a "flagrant violation" of Rule 26(b)(4)(A). See, Campbell Industries v. Gemini, 619 F.2d 24 (9th Cir. 1980) and American Protection Insurance Co. v. MGM Grand, 748 F.2d 1293 (9th Cir. 1984).

Several states have also adopted discovery rules that are patterned after, and similar to, Federal Rule 26(b)(4)(A). One of these is our neighboring state of Georgia. In Hayde v. Xtraman, Inc., 199 Ga. App. 303, 404 S.E.2d 607 (1991), the Georgia Court of Appeals held that an attorney who had refused to follow mandated discovery procedures "should not be allowed to circumvent them by engaging in ex parte communication with the opposing party's expert." 404 S.E.2d at 611.

Additionally, some jurisdictions have other statutory prohibitions against certain attorney-witness contacts. In many states, it is unlawful for a treating physician to discuss a patient's case with anyone without first obtaining the patient's consent. There may also be similar prohibitions involving other professionals who may be employed as experts. Rule 3.4 (c) of the Rules of Professional Conduct of the Alabama State Bar provides as follows:

"Rule 3.4 Fairness to Opposing Party and Counsel
A lawyer shall not:

***

(c) knowingly disobey an obligation under the rules of a tribunal except for an open refusal based on an assertion that no valid obligation exists."

Applying this rule to the issue here presented, it is the opinion of the Disciplinary Commission that, while ex parte contact with the opposing party's expert is not ethically prohibited, per se, such contact and communication with an expert witness in a jurisdiction where such contact and communication is prohibited or restricted by statute or procedural rule, constitutes a violation of Rule 3.4(c) and could, therefore, subject the attorney who engages in such contact to disciplinary action. This opinion is consistent with that of the American Bar Association Committee on Ethics and Professional Responsibility, Formal Opinion 93-378. [RO-01-02]

Endnotes
1. Rule 4.2 prohibits contact with a "party." However, the Commentary to the Rule provides as follows: "This rule also covers any person, whether or not a party to the formal proceeding, who is represented by counsel concerning the matter in question."

---

Hooked on Alcohol or Drugs?

YOU DON'T HAVE TO BE.

The Alabama Lawyer Assistance Program can help.
Calls are strictly confidential.
Phone (334) 834-7576 or (334) 395-0807 (24-hour pager).
Jeanne Marie Leslie, program director
My first article as president of the Young Lawyers' Section of the Alabama State Bar was to be published in the November issue of The Alabama Lawyer, and was due to the publisher in mid-September. The article I had written seemed insensitive and a little too edgy for those days following September 11, and I trashed it. My first (unpublished) article discussed the moral decline of America and was to be entitled "Wake Up America." I have pulled portions of that article out of the trash for this article.

I want every young lawyer to know the importance of their position. It should be apparent that there has been a slow decline in society's morality. What is considered normal behavior today was considered deviant behavior in the last generation. This process of becoming desensitized to immoral conduct has advanced for many previous generations bringing this country to its present condition. This does not mean that morality must continue to decline. In my unpublished article, I discussed the declining times of the Roaring 20s and how World War II stopped the decline and provided a revival in national unity, religion and the law. The question I posed in my first unpublished article was where would the present decline end? Which generation would stand up and put a stop to the decline? My article was to challenge our generation of young lawyers to help put a stop to the decline.

However, the answer came on September 11. September 11 horrified and stunned this nation, but look at its results. We have seen an increase in national unity, religion and the law. It was a wake-up call to our generation to make changes. We as lawyers must speak up and take a stand against immoral and uncivilized conduct. It is easy, but wrong, to believe that you cannot make a difference.

Speaking at last spring's annual YLS seminar and meeting at Sandestin (which every young lawyer should attend), Bryan Stevenson, founder of the Equal Justice Initiative of Alabama, reminded us that lawyers hold positions of trust and that our words are powerful in confronting injustice. Bryan's motivational speech showed us that we have a responsibility as lawyers to be careful of what we say and how we act, and that a word of encouragement, or a sound word of caution can have a lasting impact on many lives. There is power in this profession. September 11 has created a revival in America in national unity, religion and the law. It is now our duty to continue this revival and take a stand against individual, corporate and political immoral conduct.

As young lawyers, we should challenge ourselves every day to make our communities better places and to promote the public's welfare. The Young Lawyers' Section of the Alabama State Bar will do everything possible this year to promote the general welfare of this state. If you are not involved in your local chapter, please get involved. Here is this year's Executive Committee:

Robert G. Methvin, Birmingham, president-elect
Brannon J. Buck, Birmingham, secretary
Stuart Y. Luckie, Mobile, treasurer
Cole J. Portis, Montgomery, immediate past president
Thomas B. Albritton, Andalusia
Nolan Aubrey, Birmingham
Robert N. Bailey, Birmingham
LaBarron N. Boone, Montgomery
Benjamin M. Bowden, Andalusia
Kimberly J. Calametti, Mobile
Bryan N. Cigelske, Mobile
Christina D. Crow, Union Springs
Paul J. DeMarco, Birmingham
Suzanne C. Dorsett, Huntsville
Jim Hughley, Birmingham
Craig D. Martin, Mobile
Patrick W. McCalman, Andalusia
Apsilah G. Millsaps, Tuscaloosa
Roman Shaub, Montgomery
James Pittman, Mobile
Harlan F. Winn, Birmingham
Tucker Yance, Mobile

This fine executive committee will undertake numerous projects this year such as the Bar Admission Ceremony, the Youth Judicial Program, the Minority Participation Conference, a Federal Emergency Management Act Response Project, and the Young Lawyers' Section Sandestin Seminar. We look forward to undertaking these challenges.

Todd Strohmeyer is a partner at Sims, Graddick & Dodson in Mobile.
Cabaniss Johnston Scholarship Keeps Giving

In 1887, E.E. Cabaniss packed up his life and moved from tiny Union Springs, Alabama to the bustling city of Birmingham to start a law practice. One hundred years later Cabaniss, Johnston, Gardner, Dumas & O'Neal, the now very successful law firm he started, found the perfect way to commemorate him and his firm’s immense contribution to the legal profession. In 1987, on the firm's 100th anniversary, the idea for the Cabaniss Johnston Scholarship was formed.

The Cabaniss Johnston Scholarship is a scholarship awarded each year to second-year law students who are Alabama residents attending an American Bar Association-accredited law school. Roy Crawford is a partner and has been with the firm since 1977, and he remembers thinking that endowing a scholarship for law students would be a great way to celebrate the firm’s anniversary.

He still feels that way today. “Providing assistance to bright, young law students is the right thing to do,” Crawford said. “And, of course, it is beneficial to the profession to help the best minds can get the education they need.” Crawford also pointed out that while many law firms endow scholarships, they usually go to a specific school. Those receiving a Cabaniss Johnston Scholarship can go to any law school they choose as long as it is accredited by the American Bar Association.

The Cabaniss Johnston Scholarship is administered by the Alabama Law Foundation, a non-profit organization dedicated to law-related charities. When the foundation was formed, Crawford was asked to do the incorporation work. He served on the foundation’s board of trustees and asked them to administer the scholarship program. They agreed, and Crawford continually praises them on the job they have done. “The Alabama Law Foundation has been wonderful. They have done an excellent job administering the Cabaniss Johnston Scholarship fund each year,” he said. “Of course, it is such a small part of what they do. With all of their programs, especially the IOLTA (Interest on Lawyers’ Trust Accounts) Grant Program, they have helped so many women, children and disadvantaged. They do a tremendous amount of good.”

Crawford also prepared the scholarship guidelines that the foundation’s committee uses to pick the winners each year. The committee is made up of two lawyers from the firm and three other members appointed by foundation’s trustees.

This year, the foundation has awarded the 2001 Cabaniss Johnston Scholarship to two exceptional students. Harrison Bishop from Dothan and Henry Walker from Decatur each received a $3,500 scholarship to continue their legal education.

Bishop graduated from Northview High School in Dothan and went on to graduate from Birmingham Southern College with a degree in business administration. He is now in his second year of law school at the University of North Carolina. Bishop expressed his gratitude to the foundation and the firm not only for the scholarship he got, but also their dedication to legal education in general. “This scholarship has been a great help to me, but I am also extremely grateful to the Alabama Law Foundation and the firm of Cabaniss, Johnston, Gardner, Dumas & O’Neal for their continuing support of legal education for Alabama students,” he said.

Walker graduated from Decatur High School and received his bachelor’s degree from the University of North Alabama. He then went on to get his master’s and Ph.D. from the University of Alabama. Walker is now attending Cumberland Law School, thanks in part to the Alabama Law Foundation and the Cabaniss Johnston Scholarship Program.

For 15 years, the Cabaniss Johnston Scholarship program has been awarding scholarships to promising law school students from Alabama. Together, the Alabama Law Foundation and the law firm of Cabaniss, Johnston, Gardner, Dumas & O’Neal are helping students further their education and realize their potential.
Amendment to Alabama Rules of Appellate Procedure

The Alabama Supreme Court has amended Rule 5, Rule 21(d), Rule 27(d), Rule 28, Rule 32, Rule 39(d), Rule 39(f), Rule 39(h), and Rule 40(g), Alabama Rules of Appellate Procedure, and has adopted Court Comments to those amendments (except the amendments to Rule 21(d) and Rule 27(d)). The amendment of those Rules and the adoption of the Court Comments are effective June 1, 2002; however, the supreme court encourages members of the bar to begin compliance with these new rules as soon as possible.

The amendment to Rule 5 changes the procedure for pursuing a permissive appeal from an interlocutory order and imposes a page limitation on the petition for permission to appeal and any answer to the petition. The amendments to Rule 28 and Rule 32 make significant changes in the format and length of briefs and other documents submitted to Alabama appellate courts, and the amendment to Rule 32 includes sanctions for noncompliance with these Rules. The amendments to Rule 21(d), Rule 27(d), Rule 39(d), Rule 39(f), Rule 39(h), and Rule 40(g) provide that the documents addressed in those various rules conform to Rule 32(a) and impose page limitations for those documents.

The order amending these rules and adopting the Court Comments to these rules appears in an advance sheet of Southern Reporter dated on or about December 20, 2001. The order and the text of the amendments and the comments may also be found at the Administrative Office of Courts’ Web site at www.alacourt.org/rulechanges and at the Alabama State Law Library’s Web site at www.alaine.net/rulechanges.

—Bilee K. Cauley, Reporter of Decisions, Alabama Appellate Courts

Take a moment now to check your address on any mailing label from the Alabama State Bar. Is it correct?

If it isn’t, you have until April 1st, 2002 to change it and still get it in the 2002 directory.
Statistics of Interest

Number sitting for exam .................................................. 549
Number certified to Supreme Court of Alabama .................. 323
Certification rate .............................................................. 58.8 percent

Certification Percentages:
University of Alabama School of Law ................................. 83.8 percent
Birmingham School of Law ................................................. 33.3 percent
Cumberland School of Law ............................................... 81.4 percent
Jones School of Law .......................................................... 35.7 percent
Miles College of Law .......................................................... 11.3 percent

*Includes only those successfully passing bar exam and MPRE
Alabama State Bar Fall 2001 Admittees

Adams, Anne Colle
Adams, Brian
Adams, Clayton Matthew
Adams, Forrest Lamarr
Aiello, Joseph Douglas
Albright, Christopher Lamar
Allen, Anthony Scott
Allen, Leslie Garrett
Allen, Larry Goodnight
Aluma, Stephen Scott
Almond, Alexander Pearson
Anderson, Andrea Nakia
Anderson, Jacinda Denise
Anderson, Robert Lynn LaPointe
Atkinson, Cynthia Susan Lee
Baker, Jerry Wayne Jr.
Baich, Sue Ann
Baganier, Rodney Fontaine
Barrett, Henry Clayll
Barter, Pauly Cresue
Basley, Mark Hampton
Beatley, Samuel Boyd
Benueby, Max
Blackmon, William Drake
Blair, Gordon Liddell
Blalock, Dan Jr.
Blanco, Maria del Carmen
Bliylock, Jeremy Alan
Bonnet, Charles Britton
Borton, Thomas Ernest IV
Bowyer, Jason Richard
Brasher, Keith Edward
Braswell, Dennis William
Brenner, Anna Kelly
Bron, Steven Michael
Brou,ussard, Keasha Ann
Brown, Ann Yetta
Brown, David Lee Jr.
Brown, Joseph Allan
Bryant, Robert Dale
Burin, Jonathan Rudman
Burman, Sandy Marie
Butler, Barney Anderson
Butler, Elizabeth Ray
Butler, James Landrum
Campbell, Anastasia Parnham
Campbell, Carol Lynn Jr.
Canty, Ronald Austin
Carney, John Thomas Jr.
Cassady, Utopia Conger
Chapell, Jean-Paul Michael
Chartoff, Marion Dawn
Cheatham, Prince Dorius
Clayton, William
Cook, Jessica Virginia
Coleman, Julie Janette
Colley, Harold Jerome
Colvin, Matthew Alan
Cornel, Jon Collins
Corley, John Dalmat
Cook, Jason Shane
Coppage, Carrie Constance
Creel, Thomas Stuart
Crissley, Laura Johnson
Crocker, Robert Champ
Courneya, Andrew Steven
Daising, Brian Anton
Davis, John Richard
Day, John David
Day, Wayne Charles Jr.
Dearman, Henry Chase
DeSantis, Ashley Lynn Harris
DeVine, Fred R Jr.
Dempsey, Nancy Magalin
Dodd, Cynthia Lynn Douthit
Dorsett, Clyde Powersill
Dotson, Melanie Kathryn
Douglas, Michael Jon
Duggins, Cory Holley
Dubill, Mark Kenneth
East, Christopher Ryan
Edwards, David Eugene
English, Walter Brad
Espy, Benjamin Joseph
Evans, Emma B. Robinson
Evans, Jonathan Scott
Faulkner, Scott William
Fitz, George Harold
Field, Sheila Cremlery
Finger, Justin Dane
Fite, Heath Alan
Floyd, Marilyn Scott
Ford, Brandon Sikes
Frazier, Alexander
Fredy, Matthew David
Furman, Kimberly Ann Lumberland
Gaines, Olephus Joseph, Jr.
Garnier, Marilyn Marie
Garner, Stuart James
Garrett, Wesley House
Gautier, Nicola Ellen Pave
Geiger, Shelly Elizabeth
Gillman, Susan Kay
Giroux, Christopher Stephen
Griffeth, Chadwick David
Griggs, Michael Andrew
Grover, Scott Borden
Gwathney, Leigh Anne
Gwathney, William Curtisll
Haisman, Kenneth Craig
Hall, Charles Shaver
Hall, Scott Richard
Halford, Tanya Diane
Hallmark, Mark Alan
Hamlin, William Tony
Hancock, Brian Douglas
Haney, Brandy Kate
Harrell, Jayne Leslie
Harrison, Donald Maurell
Harville, Heather McClinton
Harwood, Judi Aaron
Haugen, Christopher Paul
Havas, Peter Andrew Jr.
Hay, Bob Kennon
Hey, Steven Keith
Hicks, Mitchell Dwayne
Heinz, Benjamin Connell
Heinz, Teresa Griffin
Helton, Melissa Kelly
Henderson, Andrew Steven
Henderson, Elizabeth Leigh
Henderson, Jeffrey Calhoun
Hendrix, Bradley Loyed
Hillery, S. Thomas
Hill, Carol Edmond
Hobbs, Milton Daniel Jr.
Hollinger, Frances Holt
Holt, Edward Morris
Holzwarth, Edward Brough
Hopper, Diane Boniface
Hpton-Jones, William Alfred Jr.
Hoyt, Jane Marie
Huffaker, Matthew Lee
Hunter, David Wayne
Hunter, Scott Wayne
Hurst, Norman Jr.
Hutchings, Shannon Dawson
Hudib, Anthony Chuma
Ibky, James Elwyn Reese
Ingers-Parker, Mary Annette
Iron, Harriet Thomas
Jackson, Joshua Jonathan
Jackson, Robert Milton
Janoosek, Melissa Marsa
Jarrett, Julie Marie
Jarvinen, Thomas John
Jeffers, Clifton Wayne
Jennings, Robert Frederick
Johnson, April
Johnson, Henry Mitchell
Jones, Ellen Zimmerman
Jones, Jennifer Marie
Jones, Keva Boswell
Jones, Kendall Taylor
Jordan, Lucy Westover
Jowers, Meredith Lee
Justice, Brian Lee
Kauffman, Stephen Daniel
Kay, Lewis Jacob
Keeth, Kacey Leigh
Keeney, Patrick Edward
Kippin, Jay Max
King, Gerald Wesley Jr.
Kissiah, Michelle Mestler
Knight, Kelly Riggins
Kwaskiath, Kevin Vaughan
Lambeth, Carol Eugenia
Love, Shad
Lee, Cal Linne
Lees, Jeffrey Raymond
Legg, James Wesley
Lewis, Brenda Olive Marcia
Lichtman, Philip Keith
Lott, Bobby Jr.
Lowry, Lawrence Matthew
Lowry, Kelly Parker
Lucas, Melinda Jo
Luckett, Robert Cratin Jr.
Lumpkin, Rebecca Anita
Lutz, Jason Benjamin
Lynn, Sherry
Maddox, Marilyn Hollis
Major, Charles Tidman
Maldonado, Leonard Norman
Manning, Ashley Ann Eberhard
Mardis, Carolyn Tombs
Mares, John Keith
Matthews, Paul Bryan
McClain, Kent Michael
McClendon, Brien Michael
McCullum, Meredith Lee
McCrary, Michael Vance
McDowell, Margaret Elizabeth
McFarland, Shannon Marie
McGee, Donna Gail
McGiboney, Bradley Jason
McGinley, Katherine Mehlburger
McGillicuddy, Robert Ball
McHugh, William Michael Jr.
McKay, Walter Henry Clay
McNally, William Domenico
McTighe, James Harold
McAdoo, James Powell Jr.
Mendheim, Amy Carol
Miller, Jeffrey Garrett
Minnelli, Jeffrey John
Mitchell, Anne Elizabeth
Mitchell, John Timothy
Moberg, Mary Ann
Moniz, Diana Jean
Montgomery, William Douglas Jr.
Moon, Daryl Wayne
Morano, Hunter Spencer
Montefi, William Sherman
Morrison, Charlotte Randolph
Moss, Robert Michael
Mujumdar, Anil Ashok
Murphy, Shannon Leigh
Nabors, Jeffery Dale
Nelson, Amy Bell
Nettles, Donald James
Newton, George Edward
Nezer, Emily Kay
O'Bannon, Dooney
O'Rear, William Gunter Jr.
Odell, Ann David
Odom, Jason Michael
Odom, Paige Freeeman
Overstreet, Adam Wayne
Page, Sally Marie
Palmer, Allison Beth
Palmer, Patricia Lynelle Wells
Parker, Stephen Davis
Parnell, Justin Matthew
Payne, Chandler Bonita
Pell, Kristin Gray
Penaskoav, Mark Francis
Pentecost, Vincent Bonner
Pettyjohn, Wiliam Dash
Pharo, Raymond Louis Jr.
Pfeian, Sieghart Stratford
Pickett, Daniel Robert
Parce, Sean Christopher
Pitts, William Eric
Pope, Neil Kirkland
Powell, ReJessa LaVelle
Price, Lamy Wayne
Pickett, Melissa Ann
Pridgen, Dwight William
Pridgen, William Robert
Printz, Adam Kennedy
Pegnoally, Amy Dan
Quin, Derek Maurice
Rainey, Christopher Phillips
Ransom, James Jewettlll
Rash, Shannon Alane
Reulston, Jonathan Elliott
Raymond, Andrew E.
Reid, Gregory Joe
Reitz, Audrey Elizabeth
Reis, James Evansl
Rice, James David
Rigdon, Frank Brady
Roberts, Eric Kynard
Roberts, Paul Richard
Rogin, April Simms
Rolling, Helen Michelle
Romano, Nicole Francesca
Rove, Timothy Shane
Rushin, Kelly Burleson
Russell, Valerie Danni Rucker
Sanborn, Reginald
Saman, Clifford Alan
Sandb, Robert Leedy
Sasser, Karon Ansel
Satterwhite, Paul Daniel
Scott, Jackie Pojarsk
Scott, Joe Charisse
Segrest, Thomas James
Seliers, Jennifer Anne
Sheehan, Ashley Swink
Sheffield, John Martin
Sherer, William Jefferson
Sheth, Sonya Umed
Simley, Scott Fuller
Slaton, Traci Lynn
Small, Ryan Scott
Smith, Jason Randolph
Smith, Kathleen Sook Sellers
Smith, Tynece Anthony
Smithie, Stephanie Lynn Dodd
Sparrow, Sandy Schade
Sueable, Regina Faye
Springfield, Robert Franklin
Stein, Dean Drew
Stittler, Jessica Kay
Stiles, Matthew Watkins
Stracan, Bueran Dale
Strubel, John Patrick
Sullivan, Joshua Blake
Sumall, Dennis Alan
Thigpen, Emily Fulton
Tennises, Robert Lee
Thompson, Bruce Burany
Thrasher, Kelly Anne
Threadcraft, Joshua Howard
Tobin, Brian Heath
Trice, John Wesley
Tucker, Kenneth Shayne
Turner, Gentry Chance
Tyr, Mary Rebecca
Uxward, Meredith Ann
Urell, Ayn Marie
Vall, Tyler Charles
Wallace, Stephen Cochran
Ward, Kimberly Renee
Watson, Christian Nicole
Watt, Lariannah Danielle
Webb, Jon Kevin
Welch, Michele Alexander
White, Michael Alan
Wilhite, Tilly Delouisa
Wine, Douglas Brian
Williams, Tina Maria
Williams, Walter Abarner Jr.
Williamson, Jennifer Mae
Wilson, Anthony Glenn
Wood, Thomas Marriott
Wright, Christopher Ann
Yance, Randolph Tucker
Young, Eric Edward
Youren, Kathrynn Ann Faulkner
Lawyers in the Family

Martha Dubina Roby (2001), Judge Joel F. Dubina (1973) and Riley W. Roby (2000) (admittee, father and husband)


Karon Ansel Sasser (2001) and Robert Earl Sasser (1970) (admittee and father)


Lynlee Wells Palmer (2001) and H. Thomas Wells (1975) (admittee and father)

M. Hampton Basley (2001), Wade H. Basley (1968) and William J. Basley (1964) (admittee, father and uncle)

Bruce Bunyan Thompson (2001) and Joe Bunyan Thompson (1959) (admittee and father)
Lawyers in the Family


Andrea N. Anderson (2001) and James W. Wilson (1976) (admitted and uncle)


Annette Irons Parker (2001) and Stephen D. Parker (2001) (wife and husband co-admitted)

Amy Connally (2001) and Joel Connally (1998) (admitted and husband)

Lawyers in the Family

DeAnna Hay (2001) and Steve Hay (2001) (wife and husband co-admittees)


Angela Hames Schurie (2001) and Randy Allan Hanes (1999) (admittee and father)

Stephen C. Wallace (2001), and William M. Dawson (1969) (admittee and stepfather)


Joseph Allan Brown (2001) and Joseph M. Brown (1977) (admittee and father)

Tucker Yance (2001) and Jim Yance (1973) (admittee and father)


Ross A. Frazer (2001) and Albert Danner Frazer, Jr. (1972) (admittee and father)

Keith Lichtman (2001) and Charles Lichtman (1972) (admittee and father)
Important Reminder About Your Continuing Legal Education Requirement

If you had a membership status change at any time during 2001, you may have been required to earn and report 12 hours of CLE credit by December 31, 2001. Mandatory Continuing Legal Education Rules require attorneys who hold an occupational license (regular membership) any time during the calendar year 2001 to earn 12 hours of CLE credit. If you are not currently a regular member but were a regular member for part of the year you are still required to comply with MCLE Rules. (MCLE Rule 2.5)

For example, if you were inactive or held a special membership and converted to a regular membership during 2001, you are required to obtain 12 hours of CLE credit. If you were a regular member during 2001 but converted to special member or to inactive status you are also required to comply with the 12-hour CLE requirement.

You are not required to obtain 12 hours of CLE credit if you are eligible to claim an exemption from the MCLE Rules. Your exemption, however, must have been claimed on the 2001 CLE reporting form which was mailed to you in early December. If you need to locate approved CLE programs you may request a calendar of approved CLE programs from the ASB CLE department or from the state bar’s Web site at www.alabar.org.

Call the Alabama State Bar’s CLE department at (334) 269-1515, extension 158, 156 or 117, for more information.
Judicial Award of Merit Nominations Due

The Board of Bar Commissioners of the Alabama State Bar will receive nominations for the state bar’s Judicial Award of Merit through March 15, 2002. Nominations should be prepared and mailed to:

Keith B. Norman, secretary
Board of Bar Commissioners
Alabama State Bar
P.O. Box 671
Montgomery, AL 36101

The Judicial Award of Merit was established in 1987. The award is not necessarily an annual award. It must be presented to a judge who is not retired, whether state or federal court, trial or appellate, who is determined to have contributed significantly to the administration of justice in Alabama. The recipient is presented with a crystal gavel bearing the state bar seal and the year of presentation.

Nominations are considered by a three-member committee appointed by the president of the state bar, which then makes a recommendation to the board of bar commissioners with respect to a nominee or whether the award should be presented in any given year.

Nominations should include a detailed biographical profile of the nominee and a narrative outlining the significant contribution(s) the nominee has made to the administration of justice. Nominations may be supported with letters of endorsement.
Thursday:

Bench Bar Luncheon —
Speaker: Honorable William W. Bedsworth, California Court of Appeals, Santa Ana, CA. Nationally syndicated columnist and author.

Membership Reception — Poolside

Friday:

Plenary: John V. McShane, esq., Dallas, Texas Family & Criminal Law specialist and nationally acclaimed author specializing in achieving peak performance, career resilience and quality-of-life issues.

Topic: “Winning Your Life While Winning Cases: Maintaining Joy and Health in the Practice of Law”. Two-hour workshop to follow.

Saturday:

Grand Convocation
Speaker: Honorable Richard Shelby, U. S. Senator from Alabama, Washington DC

PLUS . . .
- CLE opportunities in the mornings — afternoons free!
- Alabama Law Foundation Annual Golf Tournament

Bring your family for a great beach get-a-way!
Cyber-Jurisdiction:
When Does Use of the Internet Establish Personal Jurisdiction?

BY YVONNE BESHANY and SEAN SHIRLEY
The Internet, like television and radio before it, has become an important source for entertainment, news, technology, communication, and business. The development of the Internet continues to produce great opportunities and advantages, but, like any innovation, this evolving medium presents unique problems, especially in the realm of personal jurisdiction.

To date, the Eleventh Circuit and Alabama have not yet addressed when personal jurisdiction exists over a foreign defendant based upon the defendant's cyber-contacts. However, due to the pervasiveness of the medium, such a decision is imminent. This article provides an overview of how other courts have adapted and applied fundamental concepts of personal jurisdiction jurisprudence to cyber-contacts in order to predict how Alabama courts may decide the issue.

Overview of Personal Jurisdiction

Personal jurisdiction can be established by a plaintiff in either of two ways: general jurisdiction or specific jurisdiction. See Burger King Corp. v. Rudzewicz, 471 U.S. 462, 473 n. 15 (1985). General jurisdiction may be established when the defendant’s contacts with the forum are continuous and systematic. See Helicopteros Nacionales de Colombia, S.A. v. Hall, 466 U.S. 408, 416-17 (1983). To establish specific jurisdiction, the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment requires that a plaintiff show that the defendant has at least minimum contacts with the forum state such that maintenance of the suit in the does not offend “traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.” International Shoe Co. v. Washington, 326 U.S. 310, 316, (1945). Additionally, the “quality and nature” of the defendant’s activities within the state must ensure that it is “reasonable and fair” to require it to defend in that state. Kulko v. Superior Court of California, 436 U.S. 84, 92, (1978). “[T]he foreseeability that is critical to due process analysis is . . . that the defendant’s conduct and connection with the forum State are such that he should reasonably anticipate being haled into court there.” World-Wide Volkswagen v. Woodson, 444 U.S. 286, 297 (1980). Specific personal jurisdiction requires a plaintiff to show that the defendant has “purposefully directed” its activities at residents of the forum, and that the litigation results from alleged injuries that “arise out of or relate to” that purposeful availingment. Burger King Corp., 471 U.S. at 472.

These basic principles provide the foundation for determining personal jurisdiction in cyber cases. However, the unique circumstances presented by the Internet necessitated the evolution of a test that could provide both guidance and stability in the ever evolving world of electronic commerce.

Web Sites and Personal Jurisdiction

A. The Zippo Decision and the Sliding Scale

One influential district court opinion which has been referenced by many of the courts is Zippo Manufacturing Co. v. Zippo Dot Com, Inc., 952 F. Supp. 1119 (W.D. Pa. 1997). In that case, a Pennsylvania plaintiff best known for manufacturing tobacco lighters brought a trademark infringement action against a California Internet news service that registered the domain names <zippo.com>, <zippo.net>, and <zipponline.com>, which were used by the defendant to solicit customers for its newsgroup services. After completing an online application and paying the defendant directly over the Internet or by telephone, customers received a password, which allowed them to view and download the defendant’s newsgroup messages. Of 140,000 paying subscribers, approximately 3,000 (or 2 percent) were Pennsylvania residents.

The defendant moved to dismiss the action for lack of personal jurisdiction. In analyzing the Web sites, the Zippo court noted the varying degrees of commercial activity that can be performed through the Internet:

At one end of the spectrum are situations where a defendant clearly does business over the Internet. If the defendant enters into contracts with residents of a foreign jurisdiction that involve the knowing and repeated transmission of computer files over the Internet, personal jurisdiction is proper. At the opposite end are situations where a defendant has simply posted information on an
Internet Web site which is accessible to users in foreign jurisdictions. A passive Web site that does little more than make information available to those who are interested in it is not grounds for the exercise of personal jurisdiction. The middle ground is occupied by interactive Web sites where a user can exchange information with the host computer. In these cases, the exercise of jurisdiction is determined by examining the level of interactivity and commercial nature of the exchange of information that occurs on the Web site.

Zippo, 952 F. Supp. at 1124. Finding that jurisdiction was proper, the Zippo court concluded that the defendant’s contacts with 3,000 Pennsylvania residents over the Internet “constitute[d] the purposeful availment of doing business in Pennsylvania” due to “the nature and quality of commercial activity that [the defendant] conduct[ed] over the Internet.” Id. at 1126.

The “sliding scale” adopted by the Zippo court has been adopted by a majority of the courts facing the same determinations of personal jurisdiction. See, e.g., Soma Medical Intern. v. Standard Chartered Bank, 196 F.3d 1292 (10th Cir. 1999); Mink v. AAA Development LLC, 190 F.3d 333 (5th Cir. 1999); Cybersell, Inc. v. Cybersell, Inc., 130 F.3d 414 (9th Cir. 1997). But see 3D Sys., Inc. v. Aarotech Labs., Inc., 160 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 1998); Bensusan Restaurant Corp. v. King, 126 F.3d 25 (2d Cir. 1997). While most courts agree on the two ends of the spectrum outlined in the Zippo decision, the middle ground is not a clearly defined one and has served for varying decisions across the nation.

B. The Passive Web Site

1. The Majority Rule: A Passive Site Is Insufficient to Confer Personal Jurisdiction


One frequently cited circuit court decision which exemplifies the majority’s position regarding passive Web sites is Cybersell, Inc. v. Cybersell, Inc., 130 F.3d 414 (9th Cir. 1997). In Cybersell, the Ninth Circuit held that advertising on the Internet, alone, is insufficient to establish personal jurisdiction. In Cybersell, the defendant maintained a Web page that contained information about its products and services and allowed users to request additional information. However, the defendant did not sell products on its Web site or direct contacts to the forum.

In affirming the trial court’s dismissal for lack of personal jurisdiction, the Cybersell court held that “something more” [must be shown] to indicate that the defendant purposefully (albeit electronically) directed his activity in a substantial way to the forum state.” Id. Otherwise, every complaint arising out of the use of the Internet would automatically result in personal jurisdiction wherever the plaintiff is located, a result that does not comport with what traditionally qualifies as invoking the benefits and protections of the forum state.

2. Minority Approach: A Passive Web Site May Confer Personal Jurisdiction

Despite the majority rule, a few courts have held that personal jurisdiction may be established over a defendant based upon material contained in a passive Web site. See, e.g., Teleco Communications Group, Inc. v. An Apple a Day, Inc., 977 F. Supp. 404 (E.D. Va. 1997); Inset Sys., Inc. v. Instruction Set, Inc., 937 F. Supp. 161 (D. Conn. 1996). For example, in Inset, the court held that the defendant satisfied the minimum contacts test by merely advertising on its Web site and by listing a toll-free number for inquiries. See Inset, 937 F. Supp. at 165. However, these decisions have been heavily criticized by subsequent cases, see, e.g., Rannoch, Inc. v. Rannoch Corp., 52 F. Supp. 2d 681, 686 (E.D. Va. 1999); Barrett v. Catacombs Press, 44 F. Supp. 2d 717, 727 (E.D. Pa. 1999), and are clearly anomalies in the world of cyber-jurisdiction.

3. The Eleventh Circuit, Alabama and Zippo

While the Eleventh Circuit has not addressed this issue, the only Alabama court which has faced the issue of determining whether personal jurisdiction can be established over the Internet applied the Zippo test and concluded that a passive
Web site was insufficient to establish personal jurisdiction. In *Butler v. Beer Across America*, 83 F. Supp. 2d 1261 (M.D. Ala. 2000), the parent of a minor brought an action in state court against an Illinois corporation for the sale of beer to her son. After removal, the federal trial court faced the issue of "whether personal jurisdiction properly may be asserted by a federal court sitting in diversity in Alabama over a nonresident Illinois defendant in an action arising from a sale made in Illinois solely in response to an order placed by an Alabama resident via the Internet?" Id. at 1264. In finding that no personal jurisdiction existed over the nonresident defendant, the *Butler* court reasoned that Beer Across America "made a single sale amounting to $24.95," which did not involve as great a degree of "personal interaction," and its Web site "does not even anticipate the regular exchange of information across the Internet, much less provide for such interaction." Id. at 1268.

C. The Gray Area of Zippo: Interactivity v. Commercial Nature of the Web Site

The middle ground of *Zippo* is occupied by interactive Web sites where a user can exchange information with the host computer. In these cases, the exercise of jurisdiction is determined by examining the "level of interactivity and commercial nature of the exchange of information that occurs on the Web site." *Zippo*, 952 F. Supp. at 1124.

This middle ground has created a "hodgepodge of case law [that] is inconsistent, irrational, and irreconcilable." Howard B. Stravitz, *Personal Jurisdiction in Cyberspace: Something More is Required on the Electronic Stream of Commerce*, 49 S.C.L. Rev. 925, 939 (1998). Some courts find that an interactive Web site alone is sufficient to establish minimum contacts. Others find minimum contacts through additional non-Internet activity in the forum, regardless of whether the activity is related to the underlying claim. Finally, some courts require additional conduct in the forum that is related to the plaintiff's cause of action. The divergent and fact intensive analysis has created more questions than answers; however, one well reasoned opinion sheds some light on this black hole of cyber-jurisdiction.

In *Millenium Enterprises, Inc. v. Millenium Music, L.P.*, 33 F. Supp. 2d 907 (D. Or. 1999), the court held that personal jurisdiction could not be exercised over the defendant based upon the interactive capability and potential commercial nature of its Web site. In *Millenium*, the plaintiff, an Oregon music store chain, alleged that the defendant's Web site, which advertised its music stores in South Carolina, violated Oregon trademark laws. The plaintiff argued that personal jurisdiction existed due to the interactive nature of the site and because the site enabled users to purchase music online.

Declining to exercise personal jurisdiction on the mere potential for commercial sales or that the site was interactive, the court held that the middle tier of the *Zippo* spectrum requires "deliberate action within the forum." *Millenium*, 33 F. Supp. 2d at 921. The court reasoned purposeful availment requires that the defendant take some direct action which targets the residents of the forum because:

Web sites are accessible day and night to all who possess the necessary technological know-how and equipment. Thus, if an interactive Web site can constitute 'purposeful availment' of a forum simply by being continuously accessible to residents of that forum... a plaintiff could sue a foreign defendant in any forum and claim jurisdiction based on the defendant's interactive Web site, even if the cause of action is unrelated to the Web site. Such results hardly conform with notions of 'fair play and substantial justice.' The grasp of personal jurisdiction was never intended to reach so far and so wide.

Id. at 923. *Millenium* reiterates the touchstone requirements of personal jurisdiction and leaves both courts and litigants with a cogent formula for determining cyber-jurisdiction. It is hoped that courts will follow the light it provides and refocus...
their inquiry on the conduct of the defendant, rather than the sophistication of its web site or its potentiality for commercial sales around the globe.

D. Transacting Business Over the Internet

At the opposite, and most clear cut, end of the spectrum are situations where a defendant enters into contracts with residents of a foreign jurisdiction that involve the knowing and repeated transmission of computer files over the Internet. Under this scenario a defendant is considered to be transacting business over the Internet and personal jurisdiction is proper. See Zippo, 952 F. Supp. at 1123. The seminal case on transacting business via the Internet is CompuServe, Inc. v. Patterson, 89 F.3d 1257 (6th Cir. 1996).

In CompuServe, the Sixth Circuit found personal jurisdiction over the defendant, Patterson, where he marketed CompuServe’s software in other states, loaded his software onto CompuServe’s Internet provider system for others to use (and perhaps purchase), advertised on the system, and e-mailed messages to CompuServe via the system over a period of three years. The court reasoned that “Patterson deliberately set in motion an ongoing marketing relationship with CompuServe, and he should have reasonably foreseen that doing so would have consequences in Ohio.” CompuServe, 89 F.3d at 1265.

Other courts have held that a defendant transacts business over the Internet by generating revenue through direct contact with forum residents, see, e.g., International Star Registry v. Bowman-Haight Ventures, Inc., No. 98 C 6823, 1999 WL 300285, at *6 (N.D. Ill. May 6, 1999), targeting forum residents or intending an effect in the forum, see, e.g., Panavision Int’l, L.P. v. Toeppen, 141 F.3d 1316 (9th Cir. 1998), or using a forum state’s computers to provide a customer benefit, see, e.g., Plus Sys., Inc. v. New England Network Inc., 804 F. Supp. 111 (D. Colo. 1992). At this end of the spectrum, the cyber-jurisdictional quandary is given some clarity: When a defendant targets the forum or obtains a financial benefit, the defendant will be deemed to be transacting business through the Internet and personal jurisdiction will exist.

E-Mail and Personal Jurisdiction

Every day offices are inundated with e-mails, some forwarded by friends or co-workers and others mysteriously appearing from cyber-space. Can these e-mails serve as the exclusive basis for exercising personal jurisdiction over the originator of the message? Two regional courts addressing this issue agree that jurisdiction hinges upon whether the message was sent by the defendant for pecuniary gain. See Reliance Nat’l Indem. Co. v. Pinnacle Cas. Assur. Corp., No. CIV. A. 01-D-827-N, 2001 WL 849530, at * (M.D. Ala. July 19, 2001); Internet Doorway, Inc. v. Parks, 138 F. Supp. 773, 779-80 (S.D. Miss. 2001).

In Internet Doorway, one of the defendants, Davis, falsified the “from” header of an e-mail to make it appear that the message was being sent by Internet Doorway, an Internet Service Provider, and sent e-mails to persons around the world, including residents of Mississippi, which advertised a pornographic Web site. Internet Doorway filed suit against several defendants, including Davis, alleging Lanham Act violations and a state law claim of trespass to chattels. Davis filed a motion to dismiss claiming that the alleged injury occurred in Texas, the location from which the e-mail was sent.

In finding that there was personal jurisdiction over Davis, the Internet Doorway court compared that case to an earlier decision in which a Mississippi court found jurisdiction where a Mississippi plaintiff sued residents of Florida for defamation, libel and slander for statements placed by the defendants on a Web site in Florida which was read by residents of Mississippi. See Lofton v. Turbine Design, 100 F. Supp. 2d 404 (N.D. Miss. 2000). Distinguishing Lofton on the ground that it involved a passive Web site, the Internet Doorway court stated that “the medium in the instant case is an e-mail, which as actively sent to the recipient in hopes that the recipient would read its contents and patronize the Web site it was promoting.” 138 F. Supp. 2d at 777. Such a factor “weigh[ed] in favor of finding personal jurisdiction.” Id. The court also held that, like the injury in Lofton, the injury occurred in Mississippi when the e-mail was received and opened. In analyzing whether the fairness and due process rights of the defendant, the court reasoned that, in sending the e-mail advertisement all over the world, the defendant:

had to have been aware that the e-mail would be received and opened in numerous fora, including Mississippi. Accordingly, the Court finds that it would be neither “unfair” nor “unjust” to subject her to personal jurisdiction in Mississippi. By sending an e-mail solicitation to the far reaches of the earth for pecuniary gain, one does so at her own peril, and cannot then claim that it is not reasonably foreseeable that she will be haled into court in a distant jurisdiction to answer for the ramifications of that solicitation.

Id. at 779-80.

Of greater significance than the court’s
holding is the analysis the court declined to apply. The court found that the "sliding scale" approach was "inapplicable to the case sub judice as the alleged contact was not via an Internet Web site, but through an e-mail actively sent by Defendant." Id. at 779 n. 4. Instead of relying on cyber precedent, the court articulated a new test for determining personal jurisdiction based upon an e-mail contact: whether or not the e-mail was sent for pecuniary gain. This test which, although not applied, was cited with approval by the Middle District of Alabama in Reliance National Indemnity Co. v. Pinnacle Casualty Assurance Corp., No. CIV. A. 01-D-827-N, 2001 WL 849530, at *5 (M.D. Ala. July 19, 2001).

In Reliance, the plaintiff asserted personal jurisdiction over the defendant based upon an e-mail that was originally sent to the defendant's employees and inadvertently forwarded to the plaintiff. Citing with approval the Internet Doorway decision that minimum contacts cannot be established via an e-mail contact unless the defendant or its agent sends the message for a pecuniary gain and noting that the Eleventh Circuit and Alabama previously exercised jurisdiction where a contact was made for a pecuniary benefit, the court held that the forwarded e-mail amounted to unilateral conduct by the plaintiff and was insufficient to establish personal jurisdiction.

These cases may constitute the beginning of a trend in analyzing personal jurisdiction based upon an e-mail contact. Although they provide a definitive statement that an e-mail directed for pecuniary benefit establishes personal jurisdiction, they open the door for many more questions. For example, is personal jurisdiction established if an e-mail links the plaintiff to a Web site and will the court employ Zippo, Internet Doorway or a hybrid analysis in determining jurisdiction? Only time, and, it is hoped, well-reasoned opinions will provide answers to these questions.

Conclusion

Gone are the days where a defendant had to be physically present in the state in order to be hauled into court. The Internet has obviated the need for a defendant to cross state borders to transact business. Now, with the click of a mouse, a defendant can sell its wares in Oxford, England or Oxford, Alabama. Whether one does business by foot or by e-mail, one fundamental concept remains true: if you target forum residents for your own pecuniary benefit, expect to be grabbed by the long-arm of personal jurisdiction.

Endnotes


2. See, e.g., Hsin Ten Enter., USA, Inc., v. Mark Enters., 138 F. Supp. 2d 449 (S.D.N.Y. 2000) (basing personal jurisdiction on highly interactive Web site, presence of company employees in the forum, and the sale of products in the forum); Wise v. Lindamood, 89 F. Supp. 2d 1187 (D. Colo. 1999) (basing general personal jurisdiction on highly interactive Web site and the facts that the defendant entered into several contracts with forum residents and had previously maintained an official office in the forum); Blumenthal v. Drudge, 992 F. Supp. 44 (D. D.C. 1999) (basing personal jurisdiction on highly interactive Web site and the fact that the defendant visited the forum on two occasions and had numerous contacts with forum residents who supplied him with information for his Web site).

3. See, e.g., Bancroft & Masters v. Augusta Nat'l, Inc., 223 F.3d 1082, 1088 (9th Cir. 2000) (finding that the sending of a letter into the forum by the defendant was related to the plaintiff's trademark dilution suit involving the defendant's Web site); Dagessi v. Plant Hotel, N.V., 113 F. Supp. 2d 211, 218 (D. N.H. 2000) (holding that advertisement of hotel in New Hampshire on Interactive Web site was not related to the plaintiff's slip and fall and the defendant's hotel in Aruba); American Network, Inc. v. Access America/Connect Atlanta, Inc., 975 F. Supp. 494, 496-99 (S.D.N.Y. 1997) (holding that due process requirements were met because the defendant entered into contracts with New York residents related to plaintiff's cause of action for trademark infringement).

When Does A Party Waive Its Right To Enforce Arbitration?

BY JAMES W. DAVIS
Arbitration has become a consuming issue in Alabama. It is the subject of political campaigns and public relations crusades, and it has joined the list, along with coverage and removability, of issues a lawyer must consider during the initial stages of every case.

With feelings running so strongly on both sides of the issue, one would think that in every case where an arbitration clause is present, at least one of the parties would immediately locate and seek to enforce the agreement. However, there are a surprising number of reported cases in which a party is accused of waiving its right to arbitration because of delay in asserting that right. Perhaps it is not clear at first if the opposing party has signed an arbitration agreement. Perhaps the chances of enforcing the clause seem hopeless until certain testimony is given, or the moving party has simply changed its mind. For whatever reason, some parties wait weeks, months or even years after the complaint is filed to move to compel arbitration. In the interim, the parties may engage in discovery and motion practice unavailable in arbitration. Depending upon the length of delay, the extent of the litigation activity that has taken place, and whether prejudice was caused as a result thereof, the party may forever lose its right to enforce a valid arbitration agreement.

A party seeking to enforce an arbitration agreement must be wary of initiating any activity, or being guilty of inactivity, that would waive its arbitration rights. Likewise, a party seeking to avoid an arbitration agreement should be familiar with what causes a waiver in order to take advantage of missteps. This article considers Alabama case law concerning what activity and prejudice will close the door on a party's right to enforce an arbitration agreement.

A. The Federal Arbitration Act Sets Limits on Common Law Defenses Such as Waiver

Like any other right, the right to compel arbitration may be waived. Waiver is a common-law concept, but it may be applied to arbitration agreements only within the limits of the Federal Arbitration Act (FAA). The FAA states that arbitration agreements are "valid, irrevocable, and
enforceable, save upon such grounds as exist at law or in equity for the revocation of any contract.” 9 U.S.C. § 2 (emphasis added). Thus, state law cannot treat arbitration clauses with less deference than other contract terms:

What States may not do is decide that a contract is fair enough to enforce all its basic terms (price, service, credit), but not fair enough to enforce its arbitration clause. The Act makes any such state policy unlawful, for that kind of policy would place arbitration clauses on unequal “footing,” directly contrary to the Act’s language and Congress’ intent.


B. The Test for Waiver Under Alabama Law

As applied to contracts generally under Alabama law, “waiver” is the intentional relinquishment of a known right. Putman Construction & Realty Co. v. Byrd, 632 So. 2d 961 (Ala. 1992). It must be shown in an unequivocal manner and may be found only when a party has knowledge of all material facts on which waiver depends. Id. However, unlike other provisions of a contract, arbitration agreements are given the added protection of a strong federal policy in favor of their enforcement. Therefore, all doubts are to be resolved against waiver:

The Arbitration Act establishes that, as a matter of federal law, any doubts concerning the scope of arbitrable issues should be resolved in favor of arbitration, whether the problem at hand is the construction of the contract language itself or an allegation of waiver, delay, or a like defense to arbitrability.


The blending of common-law waiver with the binding federal policy in favor of arbitration has resulted in a two-part test. To show waiver of an arbitration agreement in an Alabama state-court action, one must prove (i) that the party seeking arbitration has substantially invoked the litigation process, and (ii) that, as a result of the defendant’s acts, the party opposing arbitration would be substantially prejudiced by a subsequent order requiring it to submit to arbitration. Companion Life Ins Co. v. Whitesell Mfg. Inc., 670 So. 2d 897, 899 (Ala. 1995). Whether a waiver has occurred may depend upon whether the moving party has “a reasonable basis for not seeking to arbitrate sooner than it did.” Id. at 900. Alabama recognizes, as it must, the strong federal policy in favor of arbitration: “The courts will not lightly infer a waiver of the right to compel arbitration; thus, the burden on the party seeking to prove waiver is a heavy one.” U.S. Pipe &

C. How Does a Party “Substantially Invoke the Litigation Process?”

There is no bright-line test for the amount of litigation activity that will substantially invoke the litigation process. “No rigid rule exists for determining what constitutes waiver of the right to arbitrate; the determination as to whether there has been a waiver must, instead, be based on the particular facts of each case.” Whitesell, 670 So. 2d at 899. In one case, waiting eight months is a waiver, but in another, 11 months is not. In one case, filing a motion to dismiss appears to sway the court in favor of finding a waiver, while in another case, a summary judgment motion does not.

The Alabama Supreme Court has stressed on numerous occasions that almost no single action, by itself, will cause a waiver: “The joining of issue on the merits, assertion of a counterclaim or cross-claim, or engaging in discovery, alone, is not sufficient to create a waiver.” Ex parte Costia & Head (Atrium) Ltd., 486 So. 2d 1272, 1277 (Ala. 1986). However, each of these actions, along with others, may be evidence of waiver.
1. Filing an Answer

"[A]n answer in the complaint does not ‘substantially invoke’ the arbitration process.” Ex parte Dyess, 709 So. 2d 447, 453 (Ala. 1998). Likewise, the failure to plead in the answer that the defendant’s claims are subject to arbitration will not in itself constitute a waiver. Ex parte Hood, 712 So. 2d 341, 346 (Ala. 1998). The contents of the answer are often scrutinized, however, in deciding whether a waiver has occurred. For example, in one case where the trial court denied arbitration, the supreme court reversed and ordered arbitration because the defendant pled arbitration in its answer and placed the plaintiffs on notice of the defendant’s arbitration rights. Terminix Int’l Co. Ltd. Partnership v. Jackson, 669 So. 2d 893, 896 (Ala. 1995). Conversely, in another case, the court cited (among other things) the failure to assert the defense of arbitration in the answer as a basis for finding that a defendant had waived arbitration. Morrison Restaurants, Inc. v. Homestead Village of Fairhope, Ltd., 710 So. 2d 905, 907 (Ala. 1998).

The value of asserting the right and desire to arbitrate at the earliest possible moment is shown in Ex parte Hood, 712 So. 2d 341 (Ala. 1998). In Hood, supra, the court found that if the defendant (Golden) had waived arbitration in only three months when it had removed the case to federal court, met with the plaintiff’s (Hood’s) attorney to develop a discovery plan, and only two months later filed its answer asserting arbitration and moved to compel arbitration. The federal court remanded the case and the state circuit judge entered an order compelling arbitration. The Alabama Supreme Court reversed and held that the defendant had waived arbitration, primarily because the defense of arbitration was not pled at the time of removal:

We might assume that if Golden had immediately followed its removal with service of its answer pleading an arbitration defense, such action would have been sufficient to put Hood on notice that Golden still intended in the federal court to reserve its right to seek arbitration. Filing an answer at such a time might have indicated that Golden intended to pursue arbitration instead of a federal remedy, and it would have given Hood the opportunity to avoid spending the resources necessary to have the case remanded to the state court for trial. As it was, Golden removed the case to the federal court and proceeded as if it were preparing for a judicial resolution of Hood’s claim. Golden’s answer pleading the arbitration agreement simply came too late, after Golden had substantially invoked the judicial process, to the substantial prejudice of Hood.

Id. at 346.

Conversely, in Curren, the defendant removed and, after the case was remanded, moved to compel arbitration approximately one year after the suit was initially filed. The court found that there was no waiver because the defendant pled arbitration in its answer and notice of removal. 779 So. 2d at 1174. See also, Blue Ribbon Homes, 2001 WL 1392266 (Ala. Nov. 9, 2001).

2. Filing a Motion to Transfer Venue

If a plaintiff files suit in a county where venue is improper, the defendant may first seek to have the case transferred to a proper venue without waiving arbitration. Thompson v. Skipper Real Estate Co., 729 So. 2d 287 (Ala. 1999). The defendant in Thompson moved to compel arbitration eleven months after the plaintiffs filed their complaint in Mobile County. The first document filed by the defendant was a motion to transfer venue to Baldwin County, along with a set of interrogatories. At the plaintiffs’ request, the Mobile County Circuit Court delayed ruling on the motion to transfer until the parties conducted discovery on the venue issue. The judge subsequently transferred the case to Baldwin County and the defendant filed its motion to compel arbitration three months later.

The trial court in Baldwin County ordered the plaintiffs to arbitrate and the Alabama Supreme Court affirmed, holding that no waiver had occurred: “A defendant has the right to have the proper venue established before it has any obligation to move to compel arbitration.” Id. at 292. The court noted that eight months of the 11-month delay were spent litigating venue, that the written discovery and depositions conducted related to venue, and that the plaintiffs learned during deposition that the defendant intended to enforce the arbitration agreement. Id. See also Ex parte Allen, 2001 WL 410426 (Ala. April 20, 2001) (No. 1991656, 1991707) (also holding that a defendant did not waive arbitration by seeking to have the case transferred to the proper venue, where no discovery was taken and where the motion to compel arbitration was filed 94 days after the suit was filed).

It is not clear how important it was to the court in Thompson that the plaintiffs were put on notice of arbitration during discovery and not for the first time when the defendant filed its motion to compel. If faced with such a case, a defendant should include in the motion to transfer a statement that the defendant intends to enforce the agreement once venue is determined. If discovery is needed on the venue issue, the chances of waiver are probably minimized if discovery is carefully tailored to address only the venue issue and not the merits.

3. Filing a Notice of Removal

Hood and Curren are compared in detail in the section above discussing the importance of pleading arbitration in the initial answer. In Hood, the defendant waived arbitration by removing the case, filing an answer without mentioning arbitration, and waiting three months to file the motion to compel. 712 So. 2d 341. In Curren, the defendant did not waive arbitration by removing and waiting nearly a year to assert arbitrability, because the defendant pled arbitration in the answer and asserted it in its notice of removal and report of the parties’ planning meeting. 779 So. 2d 1171. In both cases, the defendants were accused of waiving arbitration by its removal to federal court and the corresponding delay. The only apparent distinction is the point in time when arbitration was first raised.

Companion Life v. Whitesell is another case in which the defendant removed before seeking arbitration. 670 So. 2d 897. The defendant removed on grounds that the plaintiffs’ claims were governed by ERISA and participated in developing a discovery plan in the federal district court. After the case was remanded, the defendant moved to compel arbitration. The case
had been pending more than five months when the issue was first raised, and the Alabama Supreme Court held that the defendant had waived arbitration:

Companion's removal of the case to the federal court, its attempt to have Whitessell's claims disposed of under ERISA in that judicial forum, and its invocation of the arbitration clause approximately five months after the filing of Whitessell's complaint and only after an adverse ruling in the federal district court, certainly indicate an intention on Companion's part, at least initially, to forfeit its right of arbitration in favor of a judicial resolution.

Id. at 899.

The "adverse ruling" from the federal court was apparently on the plaintiff's motion to remand. There is no indication in Whitessell that the defendant sought to have the federal court rule on the merits. The only acts, then, that could have been an invocation of the litigation process were the removal, filing an answer and participating in the required parties' planning meeting. Therefore, when a defendant removes a case, it should state in its notice of removal that it intends to arbitrate, plead the defense of arbitration in its answer, and move to compel arbitration promptly after removal.

4. Participating in Discovery

There is no strict rule concerning the amount of discovery that may be taken before a waiver occurs. Discovery initiated by the moving party, to which its opponent must respond, is a factor that a court will likely review if waiver is raised. One party waived arbitration when it waited ten months after the complaint was filed and, in the interim, participated in written discovery, various discovery motions and depositions of the plaintiff and defendant. Ex Parte Smith, 706 So. 2d 704 (Ala. 1997). Another party did not waive arbitration when, during a four-month period, it propounded one set of interrogatories and two requests for production, but sought no depositions. Ex Parte Rager, 712 So. 2d 333 (Ala. 1998). Yet another defendant did not waive arbitration even though, during a seven-month delay, it filed requests for admissions, interrogatories and requests for production, and where the defendant took two depositions and the plaintiff three. Terminix Int'l Co. Ltd. Partnership v. Jackson, 669 So. 2d 893, 896 (Ala. 1995).

All discovery is not counted toward a waiver. For instance, discovery on the issue of arbitrability does not "invoke the litigation process." Jack Ingram Motors, Inc. v. Ward, 768 So. 2d 362, 366 (Ala. 1999). Likewise, discovery related to the issue of venue is not a waiver. Thompson, 729 So. 2d at 292. Discovery related to class certification may also be exempt from a waiver analysis. See Med Center Cars, Inc. v. Smith, 682 So. 2d 382, 385 (Ala. 1992) (Houston, J., concurring) ("the defendants will not be deemed to have waived their arbitration rights by participating in discovery or other proceedings related to a class certification.") Finally, discovery that benefits the party opposing arbitration cannot logically cause prejudice, and therefore will not
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amount to a waiver. *Ex parte Phelps*, 672 So. 2d 790, 793 (Ala. 1995); *Ex parte McKinney*, 515 So. 2d 693, 703 (Ala. 1987).

Discovery is risky if a party intends to seek arbitration. Although limited discovery probably will not constitute a waiver, a party seeking to compel arbitration would be wise to avoid it if possible unless the discovery relates to venue or the issue of arbitrability itself.

5. Filing Dispositive Motions

"Joining issue on the merits," without more, is not a waiver of arbitration. *Ex parte Costa and Head (Atrium) Ltd.*, 486 So. 2d 1272, 1277 (Ala. 1986). However, seeking a ruling from the trial court on the merits of the claim is arguably inconsistent with the right to arbitrate and therefore risks a waiver of that right.

In *Morrison Restaurants, Inc.* v. *Homestead Village of Fairhope, Ltd.*, 710 So. 2d 905, 907 (Ala. 1998), the plaintiff moved for a summary judgment on liability—and the trial court granted the motion—before the defendant moved to compel arbitration. Although the defendant was not the party that filed the summary judgment motion, the Alabama Supreme Court held that the defendant waived its right to arbitration and relied heavily on the fact that the defendant waited until after a ruling on the merits. *Id.* However, in *Jericho Management, Inc.* v. *Fidelity Nat. Title Ins. Co. of Tennessee*, 2001 WL 792781 (Ala. July 13, 2001) (No. 1980537), the defendant moved to compel arbitration and then later, in open court, moved for summary judgment. The majority found that no waiver had occurred (the motion for summary judgment was mentioned by the dissent but not in the majority opinion).

Filing a summary judgment motion or a motion to dismiss may not be a waiver per se, but doing so obviously raises a risk of waiver. A party who places the case squarely in the trial court’s hands should not expect to be able later to place it in the hands of an arbitrator. This type of motion practice is best avoided if a party intends to arbitrate.

6. Participating in a Class Action

Before a class is certified, there can be no waiver concerning the right to arbitrate the claims of absent class members. An order granting or denying arbitration in a class action applies only to members of a certified class: "[I]n the absence of class certification, the trial court’s ruling concerning a motion to compel arbitration in a putative class action applied only to the particular individuals who were before the court." *Cross Blue Shield of Ala.* v. *Woodruff*, 2001 WL 527848 (Ala. May 18, 2001). If the trial court cannot legally enter an order compelling the arbitration of unnamed class members, then a party who participates in the case, even if he waives the right to arbitrate against the named parties, should not be deemed to have waived the right to arbitrate the claims of members of an uncertified class.

Even if a class is certified and a defendant participates in litigation, he may still compel arbitration of claims of class members who opt out of the class suit. In *Ex parte Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc.*, 394 So. 2d 1 (Ala. 1986), the plaintiff filed suit in spite of being a member of a class in a pre-existing federal suit. Ten months into the suit, the plaintiff for-
only seven months after the U.S. Supreme Court ruled. The court considered only the seven-month delay, and only the activity that took place during those seven months, and held that no waiver occurred. Id.; see also Ex parte Phelps, 672 So. 2d 790 (Ala. 1995) (accord); Ex parte McKinney, 515 So. 2d 693 (Ala. 1987) (motion filed two years after litigation commenced but just a few months after a settlement agreement was reached with another party, making the claims arbitrable for the first time).

The language of the arbitration agreement may affect the length of delay that is permissible before arbitration is waived. In Prendergrass, the defendant waited only five months before moving to compel arbitration, but nonetheless waived its right to arbitrate. The court noted that the arbitration agreement required that arbitration be commenced “within a reasonable time after the dispute has arisen” 678 So. 2d at 780. Even though five months might not be too long in a typical case, the contract language shortened the time during which arbitration could be raised.

D. When is a Party “Substantially Prejudiced?”

Regardless of the extent to which a party has invoked the litigation process, “a finding of waiver cannot be said absent a showing of prejudice to the party opposing arbitration.” Thompson, 729 So. 2d at 291. In determining whether prejudice has occurred, the Alabama Supreme Court has examined “the extent and nature of discovery conducted,” Termini v. Jackson, 669 So. 2d at 896, the costs incurred by the plaintiff, Ex parte Smith, 706 So. 2d at 706, as well as the length of the delay, see Ex parte Bentford, 719 So. 2d 778, 781 (Ala. 1998), overruling on other grounds recognized by Ex parte Allen, 2001 WL 410426 n.2.

Discovery served by the plaintiff, to which the defendant responds, apparently does not cause prejudice to the plaintiff if the defendant later moves to compel arbitration. See Jericho, 2001 WL 792781. Nor is prejudice caused by discovery which is beneficial to the party opposing arbitration. Ex parte Phelps, 672 So.2d at 793. However, in Ex parte Handley, 775 So. 2d 141 (Ala. 2000), the defendant filed a third-party indemnity claim, and this action was cited as a step the defendant took to invoke litigation and cause prejudice to the plaintiff. Likewise, the court ruled that the expense a plaintiff incurred in seeking a remand was prejudicial to the plaintiff in Hood, 712 So. 2d at 345; even though the plaintiff probably would have sought a remand even if the defendant had moved to compel arbitration earlier.

Prejudice must be shown to prove a waiver, and the longer the delay, the more expense incurred by the plaintiff, or the more effort the plaintiff must make to respond to non-arbitration filings by the defendant, the greater the likelihood a court will find that the plaintiff has been prejudiced. If seeking to avoid a waiver, a party should give notice of its intentions as soon as possible and should be reluctant to take action that requires a response from the other party, unless it is related to a subject such as venue that has been expressly held not to be a waiver.

E. Withdrawing a Waiver

Can a waiver be taken back? One federal case suggests that a significant change in a case may reset the clock and allow a party to recant an earlier waiver.

We have said that invoking judicial process is presumptive waiver. For it is easy to imagine situations . . . in which such invocation does not signify an intention to proceed in a court to the exclusion of arbitration. There might be doubts about arbitriability, and fear that should the doubts be resolved adversely the statute of limitations might have run. Some issues might be arbitrable, and others not. The shape of the case might so alter as a result of unexpected developments during discovery or otherwise that it might become obvious that the party should be relieved from its waiver and arbitration allowed to proceed.

Cabinetree of Wisconsin, Inc. v. Kraftmaid Cabinetry, Inc., 50 F.3d 388, 390-91 (7th Cir. 1995) (citations omitted) (underlining added). The Cabinetree opinion is quoted twice by the Alabama Supreme Court in Hood, 712 So. 2d at 344, and Whitesell, 670 So. 2d at 900-01 (with the court finding in both instances that a waiver had occurred). A waiver, after all, is supposed to be “knowing,” and a party might convincingly argue that by consenting to litigate the claim as filed, he was not waiving arbitration for the case it later became. The Alabama Supreme Court has not yet ruled on what changes in a case, if any, would start the clock over. A simple contract case could evolve into a dangerous fraud action. Perhaps an amended complaint raising class allegations for the first time, or an amendment to the ad damnum clause deleting a previous limitation of damages, would be such a change. While the decision to forego arbitration may have seemed wise when made, a later change such as these could cause a party to rethink that decision. The Cabinetree decision suggests that the party should have an opportunity to do so.

F. Other Issues

1. The Effect of "No-Waiver" Clauses

Many arbitration agreements incorporate the rules of the American Arbitration Association, which includes the following "no-waiver" provision: "No judicial proceeding by a party relating to the subject matter of the arbitration shall be deemed a waiver of the party's right to arbitrate." Rule 47(a). Although there is no reported decision from an Alabama court addressing the issue, other courts have held that the clause does not prevent a finding of waiver, but was intended to permit parties to obtain provisional remedies in court (such as a temporary restraining order) without waiving arbitration. E.g., Shuy v. 746 Broadway Corp., 409 N.Y.S.2d 69, 71 (N.Y.Supp. 1978). Other courts have held that the clause was not dispositive, but simply "another factor to be weighed in the scales." Knorr Brake Corp. v. Harbili, Inc., 556 F.Supp. 489, 493 n.5 (N.D.Ill. 1983). For a summary of decisions discussing "no-waiver" clauses, see S & R Co. of Kingston v. Laiona Trucking, Inc., 159 F.3d 80, 85-86 (2nd Cir. 1998).
2. Who Decides Whether a Waiver has Occurred?

In certain circumstances, waiver may be a question for the arbitrator and not the court, such as when the alleged waiver is a result of failing to abide by the procedural requirements of the arbitration agreement, as opposed to participating in litigation. Dean Witter Reynolds, Inc. v. McDonald, 758 So. 2d 539, 542 (Ala. 1999) (citations omitted). Another such circumstance is when the parties in the arbitration contract itself refer waiver questions to the arbitrator. See Universal Underwriters Life Ins. Co. v. Dutton, 736 So. 2d 564 (Ala. 1999).

3. Standard of Review on Appeal

Generally, trial court orders granting or denying a motion to compel arbitration are reviewed de novo on appeal. When it comes to questions of waiver, however, the Alabama Supreme Court has stated that “[o]rdinarily, we review issues regarding waiver of arbitrability under an abuse-of-discretion standard.” Karl Storz Endoscopy-America, Inc. v. Integrated Medical Systems, Inc., 2001 WL 755661 (Ala. July 6, 2001) (No. 1000580). See also Crimson Industries, Inc. v. Kirkland, 736 So. 2d 597, 600 n.3 (Ala. 1999) (accord); Ex parte Handley, 775 So. 2d 141, 143 (Ala. 2000) (accord). In practice, however, legal conclusions concerning waiver are reviewed de novo. In Big Valley Home Center, Inc. v. Mullican, 774 So. 2d 558 (Ala. 2000), the court explained its standard as follows:

Although a trial court’s determination that a party has waived its right to arbitration is a legal conclusion subject to our plenary review, the trial court’s findings supporting that conclusion are based on questions of fact and will not be overturned unless clearly erroneous.

Id. at 560. See also, Ex parte Allen, 2001 WL 755661 (“the trial court has no discretion to deviate from a case that is directly on point.”)

4. Waiver in the Eleventh Circuit

Federal law concerning waiver of an arbitration agreement does not differ significantly from Alabama law. A federal court looks to federal law, not state law, to determine whether there has been a waiver. E.g., S&H Contractors, Inc. v. A.J. Taft Coal Co., Inc., 906 F.2d 1507, 1514 (11th Cir. 1990), cert. denied 498 U.S. 1026 (1990). A detailed analysis of federal law is beyond the scope of this article. For cases from the Eleventh Circuit dealing with waiver, see Morewitz v. West of England Ship Owners, 62 F.3d 1356, 1366 (11th Cir. 1995), cert. denied, 516 U.S. 1114 (1995); S&H Contractors, 906 F.2d at 1507 (“When determining whether the other party has been prejudiced, we may consider the length of delay... and the expense incurred by that party from participating in the litigation process.”); Brown v. ITT Consumer Financial Corp., 211 F.3d. 1217, 1222-23 (11th Cir. 2000); Stone v. E.F. Hutton & Co., Inc., 898 F.2d 1542 (11th Cir. 1990); Benoay v. Prudential-Bache Securities, Inc., 805 F.2d 1437 (11th Cir. 1986); Belke v. Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, 693 F.2d 1023 (11th Cir. 1982); and Miller v. Drexel Burnham Lambert, Inc., 791 F.2d 850, 854 (11th Cir. 1986).

Conclusion

Waiver of arbitration is not a precise area of the law and caution is advised. Short of filing a motion to compel arbitration immediately upon receiving service of the complaint, there is no guarantee of avoiding a waiver. A case could be made that clear rules, even if arbitrary, would be better than the current system, and would lessen the chance that a party will waive arbitration without intending to do so. For instance, there could be a rule that waiver is presumed only after a trial setting or upon the filing of a dispositive motion. Another benchmark might be discovery related to the merits that would not be available in arbitration (and to which the party opposing arbitration spends time and resources to respond). However, to remain consistent with the federal policy in favor of arbitration, there must be exceptions to any rule for a case where the opponent has not been prejudiced or where the waiver was not a “knowing” waiver.

Under the system we have now, each case is considered on its individual facts. Therefore, a party who is serious about arbitration should make its decision as soon as possible and give notice of its intent, even if it does not move to compel arbitration until later, and should avoid litigation steps inconsistent with the right to arbitrate.

Endnotes
3. See also Ex parte Prandergrass, 578 So. 2d 778 (Ala. 1993) (waiver after five months); Ex parte Handley, 775 So. 2d 141 (Ala. 2000) (waiver after 18 months); Morrison Restaurants, Inc., 710 So. 2d 905 (waiver after eight months); Ex parte Phelps, 672 So. 2d 790 (Ala. 1995) (no waiver after 36 months); Ex parte McKinney, 515 So. 2d 693 (Ala. 1987) (no waiver after two years).
5. See also Brown v. E.F. Hutton & Co., Inc., 810 F.Supp 76 (S.D. Fla. 1995) (no waiver when motion was filed four years after the case began but when the plaintiff had recently filed a second amended complaint); Creative Telecommunications, Inc. v. Braden, 120 F.Supp 2d 1225, 1232 (D. Hawaii 1999) ("even if a district court finds an initial waiver of the right to arbitrate, it is also entitled to permit that waiver to be rescinded, depending upon the course the litigation takes."); Gilmore v. Shaw/son/American Express, Inc., 811 F.2d 108, 113 (2nd Cir. 1987), overruling on other grounds recognized by McDonnell Douglas Finance Corp. v. Pennsylvania Power & Light Co, 849 F.2d 761 (2nd Cir. 1988).
6. The Cabiniee opinion, in which the court held that the moving party had waived arbitration, also held that the party opposing arbitration did not have to prove that it suffered prejudice in order to defeat arbitration on grounds of waiver. In this respect, Cabiniee is inconsistent with Alabama and Eleventh Circuit law.
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Fred Gray set out to be a minister, but by his senior year in college he knew he wanted to be a lawyer. As a young black man in Montgomery at the end of the 1940s, he didn’t know any black attorneys; for that matter, he didn’t know any white ones, but, he had heard that lawyers help people and helping his fellow African-Americans was what he wanted to do.

In July 2002, Gray will become the first black to serve as president of the Alabama State Bar.

Reared in Hunter Station on Montgomery’s west side, Judge Charles Price enlisted in the United States Army upon graduating from George Washington Carver High School, because the military offered more advancement for blacks. After his discharge, he attended college in Virginia, then graduated with honors from the George Washington University School of Law in Washington, DC. Now the presiding judge of the 15th Judicial Circuit of Alabama, Price will become the first black president of Alabama’s Circuit Judges Association when he assumes the office in July 2002.

After graduating from law school in Kansas, Tyrone Means chose to come to Montgomery to practice law. As a youngster, he often visited relatives in Lowndes and Greene counties and felt his degree could be put to better use in the South. Recently, he completed his term as the first African-American president of the Alabama Trial Lawyers Association.

All three men have blazed trails for other African-Americans who want to practice law in Alabama. That they are black is significant, but not as important, they say, as their records and reputations as attorneys.

**Tyrone C. Means**

Being first isn’t new to Means, but he says that being the first black in any role has become less of a priority if you want to accomplish certain goals. Serving as president of the Alabama Trial Lawyers Association was prestigious, he says, but he had to fit it into the responsibilities he has at his law practice.

In addition to creating a positive role model for other black attorneys to emulate, Means wanted to use his term as president to improve the public’s image of lawyers. His goal was to increase the overall membership of the bar association and to recruit more African-Americans. Established in 1946, the Trial Lawyers Association represents more than 2,000 attorneys statewide.

Reared in Chicago, Means graduated from Morehouse College in Atlanta with a bachelor of arts degree in mathematics. He earned a Juris Doctor degree from the University of Kansas Law School in Lawrence, Kansas in 1976, and has been admitted into the bar in Kansas, Alabama and Georgia. He worked as a budget analyst for the City of Chicago during the late Mayor Richard Daley’s term.

When he came south, he investigated the possibilities of practicing in Birmingham or Atlanta before settling on Montgomery. He worked as an associate attorney for Gray, Seay & Langford in Montgomery, and then formed Thomas, Means, Gillis & Seay, P.C., where his practice areas concentrate on personal injury and wrongful death litigation, consumer fraud, medical malpractice, government law, nursing home litigation, and products and premises liability litigation. Currently, he is a managing partner of the firm, which has offices in Birmingham, Atlanta and Livingston, Alabama. Not only active in local, state and national bar associations, he frequently serves as a speaker, chairman

**Tyrone C. Means**
and moderator for law-related conferences. As the father of two children, one a student at Auburn University with aspirations as an attorney, Means is also active within the community as a board member of numerous civic and social organizations.

With only 500 to 600 African-American attorneys in Alabama, he takes his responsibility as a role model seriously. Through his association with the Trial Lawyers Association, he fosters networking and continuing professional education. "We have the ability to exchange ideas," says Means. "As advocates for the public, we can lobby for better representation."

**Judge Charles Price**

"Well, somebody has to be first," Judge Price says, "and it needs to be someone like me—so it might as well be me."

Energetic, active in his profession and quick to speak his mind, Judge Price says being the first black anything is not new to him. To be effective in whatever role you've been placed, says Price, you've got to be aware of who you are, but willing to let go and concentrate on the business at hand.

Judge Price began his legal career at the Department of Justice in Washington, D.C., but returned to Alabama in 1973 as an assistant Attorney General. In 1974, his friend, Bill Baxley, then the state's Attorney General, appointed him as Acting District Attorney for Escambia County.

"I love that guy," Price says of Baxley. "You can say that, too," he said. In a time when few blacks held such high offices, Baxley took a chance on Price and the position helped him establish a solid reputation as a lawyer. Before entering private practice in 1977, Price was Deputy District Attorney for Montgomery County and was appointed Assistant Municipal Judge for Montgomery County in 1980. One of his proudest achievements came on April 4, 1983, when then Gov. George C. Wallace appointed him as a Montgomery County Circuit Judge.

As a participant in numerous educational seminars, Price says it is a lawyer's duty to constantly upgrade not only himself, but also the profession. He has served as president of the Montgomery Trial Lawyers Association and is a member of the National Bar Association, an organization created for black attorneys. In the

**TIMELESS WISDOM**

*Aesop's Fables* were not written for children—

They were written to help leaders improve their lives and the lives of the people those leaders serve. Aesop's fables coax leaders to make choices between such things as greed and giving, arrogance and humility, and selfish indifference and compassion.

Papantonio's new release, with foreword by Robert F. Kennedy, Jr., explores the details of the timeless wisdom left by Aesop. He invites lawyers to think about character qualities that we should both revere and revile in leaders.

Papantonio shows how Aesop's wisdom can benefit lawyers in their role as leaders.
Alabama Circuit Judges Association, he serves as secretary/treasurer and in 1999, he was unanimously selected presiding judge of the 15th Judicial Circuit.

Going back home to Hunter Station, he is still just Charles Price, a fact that keeps him humble. It’s not easy explaining his title, he said, because most folks assume if you are a judge, you preside over a court of law. To his old friends and former neighbors, he says he presides over all the other judges.

A recipient of the John F. Kennedy Profile in Courage Award, he was presented with the National Education Association’s Dr. Martin Luther King Humanitarian Award in 1998. Among his prized honors is the Raymond Pace Alexander Award, given to him in 2000 by the National Bar Association. While entering law was a major decision, his biggest and most important was marrying his wife, Bernice Price, who is a college professor. Their two children are following in their father’s footsteps and are pursuing careers in law.

Fred D. Gray

Fred Gray is probably the most influential African-American trailblazer in Alabama’s law profession. At one of the most crucial times in the state’s, indeed the nation’s, pursuit for civil rights, he was setting the standard by which all other attorneys, especially blacks, are now measured.

As a “boy preacher,” he was sent away to the Nashville Christian Institute, the only African-American Church of Christ-supported boarding school. At 12 years old, he was on a mission from God, he says, and was determined to be a minister. He was elected president of the student body and as such, he traveled as a school representative on fund-raising trips. The experiences he had and the opportunities to meet people serve him well to this day, he says.

He graduated from high school early and came back home to Montgomery to enter Alabama State College for Negroes (now Alabama State University) with a plan of becoming a social science teacher and a minister, but a teacher who often lectured on obtaining civil rights changed his mind. Working his way through college as a district manager of the Alabama Journal newspaper, he had to ride the city buses on his rounds through his delivery territory and came face-to-face with discrimination because of his race.

During his junior year, he decided to attend law school and return to Montgomery to practice and “to destroy everything segregated that I could find.” He kept the dream a secret and in his senior year, he applied to law schools in cities where he would also have an opportunity to get good enough jobs to help pay his expenses. He was accepted at Case Western Reserve University in Cleveland, Ohio, where Alabama assisted with a grant on a reimbursement basis. Admitted to the Ohio and Alabama bars in 1954, he came home to Montgomery where he opened his own law office. One of his first clients was Rosa L. Parks, who had become his friend and sometimes lunch partner in his downtown office. When she was arrested on Dec. 1, 1955, for not giving up her seat on a city bus to a white man, Gray became her attorney and the Montgomery Bus Boycott changed not only the history of civil rights in Alabama and the nation, it launched Gray’s long and distinguished career.

In 1956, he became the first civil rights attorney for Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., and defended him in the Montgomery Bus Protest trial. Cases against the other 97 defendants indicted in the same case were dropped when King was found guilty and the appeal was dismissed on a technicality. Some of Gray’s cases are now subjects of constitutional law textbooks, including the Gomillion v. Lightfoot case, which laid the foundation of the “one man, one vote” concept; Williams v. Wallace, a class action

suit resulting in court-ordered protection of marchers from Selma to Montgomery in 1965; Mitchell v. Johnson in 1966, which was one of the first civil actions filed to end systematic exclusion of blacks from jury duty; and Lee v. Macon, involving the infamous Tuskegee Syphilis Study in 1972.

For more than 45 years, Gray has led the charge to obtain civil rights for all persons and from 1970 to 1974, he was the first black since Reconstruction to serve in the Alabama legislature. To be more assured of election, in 1965 he and his family moved to Macon County, where his satellite office in Tuskegee was prospering and opportunities for political offices were greater.

As president of the National Bar Association in the mid-1980s, he initiated the NBA Hall of Fame, to which he was inducted in 1995. His awards are as numerous as is his participation in professional and community organizations. At 70 years old, an age when most lawyers have already retired, he looks forward to his year as president of the Alabama State Bar. Although he hasn’t yet settled on an agenda for his term, he knows it will have something to do with improving the image of lawyers to the public. “Lawyers render a service,” he said, explaining the need for attorneys to have positive public images.

His year as association president may also have additional benefits, says Gray. As the firm’s senior partner, he wants to step back from the everyday duties at Gray, Langford, Sapp, McGowan, Gray & Nathanson on the square in downtown Tuskegee and as association president, he will be expected to tend to business throughout the state. “I’ve been telling everybody that it’s time for them to take care of the things they always expect me to do,” he said. Slowing down will also allow him to devote more time to working with the Tuskegee Human and Civil Rights Multicultural Center, a non-profit facility in which historical materials and exhibits recognize the human and civil rights contributions of Native Americans, European Americans and African Americans. “If these groups can work side by side,” Gray says, “then we will see we have a lot in common.”

Elizabeth Via Brown

Elizabeth Via Brown is a freelance writer living in Montgomery. She may be contacted by e-mail at evbrown@factworld.net or by fax at (334) 271-6775.
Tired of hearing bad lawyer jokes - and wish you could do something about them? Worried about the public image of the legal profession but don’t know what you can personally do to help?

There is something you can do. The answer to the questions above is the ASB’s TO SERVE THE PUBLIC VIDEO PRESENTATION — a complete package that includes an award-winning eight-minute video, speech points and even brochures for the audience. Ready for you to take out to civic organizations or groups in your area on a moment’s notice. Can you imagine the impact if Alabama lawyers across the state did just ONE presentation in their local community? That’s right — there would be over 10,000 positive messages going out about the legal profession!

Every local bar association has a copy of the presentation or you can just call the ASB (800-354-6154) and request one. It has never been easier to do something positive for your profession!

LET'S TALK ABOUT THE GOOD THINGS LAWYERS DO!

ALABAMA STATE BAR
To Serve the Public
Join the Volunteer Lawyers Program and receive the "Basic Issues of Law" manual on a 3-1/2" disk, free. This manual covers nine "bread-and-butter" areas of the law, including adoption; bankruptcy; collections litigation; divorce, custody and post-divorce; guardian and conservator by court appointment; mortgage foreclosure; powers of attorney; and will drafting. To join, simply complete the form below and mail to: Volunteer Lawyers Program, Alabama State Bar, P.O. Box 671, Montgomery, Alabama 36101. Upon receipt of your enrollment form, the VLP will mail to you the "Basic Issues of Law" disk.
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Alabama State Bar Volunteer Lawyers Program
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Phone (334) 269-1515, ext. 301 • Fax (334) 261-6310 • www.alabar.org
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Address

Telephone/Fax Number

Signature

I will accept two case referrals in the following areas:

☐ Adoption/Legitimations
☐ Bankruptcy
☐ Family Law
☐ Real Property
☐ Probate
☐ Where you need me
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<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David G. Flax</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas C. Freeman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter S. Friuin</td>
<td>Bill H. Fuller</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard H. Gill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Lewis Gill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pamela J. Goeden Cook</td>
<td>C. Lance Gould</td>
<td>Janet Schroeder Grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timothy C. Halstrom</td>
<td>Eileen L. Harris</td>
<td>Frank H. Hawthorne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Cliff Heard</td>
<td>D. Mitch Henry</td>
<td>Pamela F. Higgins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truman M. Hobbs</td>
<td>Shannon Holliday</td>
<td>Michael J. Jackson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angelina B. Jackson</td>
<td>Jimmy D. Jacobs</td>
<td>Michael S. Jackson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linsey E. Johnson</td>
<td>L. Scott Johnson</td>
<td>Donald R. Jones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard L. Keith</td>
<td>L. Gil Kendrick</td>
<td>Barry A. Leavell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan E. Kennedy</td>
<td>T. Cowin Knowles</td>
<td>W. Don Loford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas E. Konrad</td>
<td>Thomas D. Kotou</td>
<td>Donald B. Little</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles D. Langford</td>
<td>Robin G. Laurie</td>
<td>Edwin K. Livingston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer L. Lunt</td>
<td>Thomas Mancuso</td>
<td>Thomas C. Tankersky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard A. Mandell</td>
<td>Emily C. Marks</td>
<td>D. Thomas H. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evans H. Marshall</td>
<td>W. Troy Massey</td>
<td>John R. Matthews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred Matthews</td>
<td>J. Walt Morris</td>
<td>Mitch McBeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard C. Deam</td>
<td>F. Chadwick Morris</td>
<td>W. Joseph McCorkle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabrina L. McKinney</td>
<td>C. Knox McLurey</td>
<td>Mickey J. G. McDermott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyrone C. Mears</td>
<td>William J. Messer</td>
<td>Lee A. Melver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas J. Mathvin</td>
<td>Brian W. Moore</td>
<td>Richard D. Morrison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elouise L. Nolan</td>
<td>F. Chadwick Morris</td>
<td>F. Chadwick Morris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen M. NeSmith</td>
<td>K. Anderson Nelson</td>
<td>Stephen M. NeSmith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deborah M. Nickson</td>
<td>A. C. G. Pettus</td>
<td>George R. Parker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth H. Nixon</td>
<td>J. Scott Pierce</td>
<td>Simeon F. Perron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy E. Norwood</td>
<td>Henry W. Pirtle</td>
<td>Michael Peterson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tabor R. Novak</td>
<td>Armando W. Pitters</td>
<td>A. C. G. Pettus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Diane Paris</td>
<td>Debra H. Poole</td>
<td>J. Scott Pierce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan</td>
<td>James Adams</td>
<td>John Baggett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Francis</td>
<td>Howard M. Belsor</td>
<td>Jeffrey S. Brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Francis</td>
<td>Thomas A. Caddell</td>
<td>David B. Cauden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. A. A. Caddell</td>
<td>Carl A. Cole</td>
<td>Thomas D. DiGiulian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Hammond</td>
<td>Denise M. Hill</td>
<td>Bingham Edwards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Francis</td>
<td>David Langston</td>
<td>Amelia Griffith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthur Groover</td>
<td>Garland Hall</td>
<td>Stephen Hammond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. M. Nowlin</td>
<td>Mimi C. Robinson</td>
<td>Emily Lane Larson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Phillips</td>
<td>Karen S. Rodgers</td>
<td>David Langston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph B. Powell</td>
<td>Geraldine Rose</td>
<td>Jenny McHenry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherman Powell</td>
<td>Robert J. Russell</td>
<td>Richard J. Russell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Prept</td>
<td>Robert E. Sasser</td>
<td>William P. Sawyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregory Reeves</td>
<td>Patrick L. W. Sefton</td>
<td>Bobby D. Segell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicholas Roth</td>
<td>Heath L. Neal</td>
<td>Will B. Sellers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seven Sasser</td>
<td>C. Franklin Snowden</td>
<td>L. Landis Sexton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth S. HP</td>
<td>Chuck A. Stewart</td>
<td>Roman A. Shaul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. W. S. Water</td>
<td>Thomas C. Banks</td>
<td>C. Watson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Weathers</td>
<td>Shelly S. Waters</td>
<td>G. Griffin Sikes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian White</td>
<td>Robert Weathers</td>
<td>Launcie P. Sills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth R. Widener</td>
<td>Shelly S. Waters</td>
<td>Spence A. Singleton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casey D. raster</td>
<td>D. Thomas H. V.</td>
<td>Clifton E. Satten</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Adams</td>
<td>Judy B. Van Heest</td>
<td>Jerome D. Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Baggett</td>
<td>C. Gibson Vance</td>
<td>C. Franklin Snowden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard M. Belsor</td>
<td>Robert J. Varley</td>
<td>Chuck A. Stewart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeffrey S. Brown</td>
<td>Richard C. Varley</td>
<td>Rick C. Banks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas A. Caddell</td>
<td>Charles H. Vol.</td>
<td>George H. Wakefield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David B. Cauden</td>
<td>John R. Walker</td>
<td>John R. Walker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carl A. Cole</td>
<td>J. Doman Walker</td>
<td>James N. Walter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas D. DiGiulian</td>
<td>Robert C. Ward</td>
<td>Brenda D. Watson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beth L. Wilder</td>
<td>Milton J. Westry</td>
<td>Michael L. White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Willford</td>
<td>Michael L. White</td>
<td>David J. Wilder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jesse M. Williams</td>
<td>James E. Williams</td>
<td>James E. Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James E. Williams</td>
<td>Paul C. Williams</td>
<td>E. Ham Wilson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Kelli Wise</td>
<td>Joseph B. Williams</td>
<td>Jeffery S. Brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James L. Wright</td>
<td>David B. Zimmerman</td>
<td>Debra H. Poole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Coleman Yarbrough</td>
<td>H. L. Pawlik</td>
<td>Keith Watkins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David B. Zimmerman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randal</td>
<td>Michael Javinski</td>
<td>Oliver Kitchens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell</td>
<td>L. Joel Collins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perrin</td>
<td>C. Kersey Curtis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell</td>
<td>Peter A. Dumbree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas F. Worthy</td>
<td>John D. Jones</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelby</td>
<td>Glen E. Loften</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Charles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Ellis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Green</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanford D. Hatten</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronnie D. Marlow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Sherri Martin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Moyer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Medaris</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Farley Moody</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jo Ellen Mudd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren M. Parrino</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven Sears</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Clair</td>
<td>A. Dwight Blair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erskine Funderburg</td>
<td>Leatha K. Gilbert</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Kemp</td>
<td>Elizabeth Parsons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles E. Robinson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred Teague</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Russell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edwin Van Dall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tommie J. Wilson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William C. Brewer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Drayton Pruitt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Upchurch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talladega</td>
<td>Cheryl D. Barnett</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah C. Bowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Shaw Gaines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael A. Givens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Gorham</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregory S. Graham</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huel Love</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Montgomery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael A. Givens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Oettle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William K. Rogers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Thompson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barry Vaughn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tallaposa</td>
<td>Charles Adair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Faye Edmondson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph D. Estiegate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Paul Jones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perry Myer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Organized pro bono programs make us keenly aware of the contribution and concern of many of our colleagues and remind us of our own need to serve our community through our profession. We hope that all lawyers will someday participate in organized pro bono programs so that we can recognize their contributions too.
We also thank the dedicated lawyers of Legal Services Corporation of Alabama, Legal Services of Metro Birmingham and Legal Services of North Central Alabama. Their assistance and cooperation have enabled these programs to operate efficiently without a duplication of services.
Justice for all is more than just a cliché. It is a time-honored ideal to which all lawyers and all Americans aspire. By volunteering your time and skill to provide legal services to those who cannot normally obtain them, you are making a significant contribution toward making that ideal a reality.
This Honor Roll reflects our efforts to gather the names of those who participate in organized pro bono programs. If we have omitted the name of any attorney who participates in an organized pro bono program, please send that name and address to: Alabama State Bar Volunteer Lawyers Program, P. O. Box 671, Montgomery, AL 36101.
REGISTRATION FORM

JOINT MEETING OF THE BENCH AND BAR
CLE PROGRAM

JANUARY 24, 2002
BRYANT CONFERENCE CENTER • TUSCALOOSA, AL

NAME _________________________________
(as you would like for it to appear on your name tag)

FIRM _________________________________

ADDRESS _________________________________
(official work address)

TELEPHONE _________________________________

☐ Please register me for the CLE program (hours applied for) ($120.00 per person)

Deadline: January 14, 2002. (Absolutely no refunds will be given after January 18, 2002. Only personal checks and money orders are accepted via mail. The above-mentioned and cash are gladly accepted for payment upon arrival at the conference site.)

☐ I will attend the reception only at the Paul Bear Bryant Museum on Wednesday evening. ($60.00 per person)

☐ I am a member of the Tuscaloosa Bar Association and will attend the reception at the Paul Bear Bryant Museum on Wednesday evening. ($40.00 per person) (Members of this group receive a discounted price due to their association’s monetary contribution to the overall reception.)

☐ Please register the following guest(s) for the reception at the Paul Bear Bryant Museum on Wednesday evening. ($60.00 per person)

Guest Name _________________________________

Guest Name _________________________________

Guest Name _________________________________

TOTAL PAYMENT $ _________________________________

Mail your completed form and check to:
Alabama Judicial College Faculty Association (AJCFA)
300 Dexter Avenue
Montgomery, AL 36104
Telephone (334) 242-0847
Fax (334) 353-5125
Wednesday, January 23, 2002
3:00 - 4:00  Concurrent Sessions
Repeated Session - Civil Law Update
Ann McMahan, Esq., Dominick Fletcher, Yeilding, Wood, & Lloyd, P.A., Birmingham, AL

Mental Health Legal Update
James Reddick, Jr., Esq., Director, Taylor Hardin Secure Medical Facility, Tuscaloosa, AL

Bond Forfeiture & Court Cost Collections
Honorable Dan Reeves, Circuit Judge, 18th Judicial Circuit, Columbiana, AL

Community Notification Act
TBA

Thursday, January 24, 2002
7:30 - 8:30  Law School Breakfast for Judges
3:00 - 4:00  Concurrent Sessions
Repeated Session - Domestic Law Update
Honorable Richard Darrough, Circuit Judge, 15th Judicial Circuit, Montgomery, AL
Honorable Gary Pate, Circuit Judge, 10th Judicial Circuit, Birmingham, AL

4:00 - 5:00  Concurrent Sessions Cont'd
Workman's Compensation
Tam Oliver, Esq., Carr, Allison, Pugh, Howard, Oliver & Sisson Law Firm, Birmingham, AL
Steve Ford, Esq., McElvy & Ford, P.C., Tuscaloosa, AL

5:00  Adjournment

6:00  UA President's Reception & UA/AU Women's Basketball Game
Reinstatement

- The Supreme Court of Alabama entered an order based upon the decision of the Disciplinary Board, Panel II, reinstating Gadsden attorney Joseph Guillatte Hunter, III to the practice of law in the state of Alabama effective February 23, 2001. [Pet. for Rein., No. 00-07]

Disability Inactive

- Scottsboro attorney Clifton Wade Johnson was transferred to disability inactive status pursuant to Rule 27(c), Alabama Rules of Disciplinary Procedure, effective November 20, 2001. [Rule 27(c), Pet. No. 01-04]

Disbarments

- The Supreme Court of Alabama adopted an order of the Disciplinary Commission disbarring former Huntsville attorney James Laurence Butler, Jr. from the practice of law in the State of Alabama effective August 21, 2001. On February 28, 2001, the Circuit Court of Madison County, Northern District of Alabama, accepted Butler’s guilty plea to the crimes of theft second degree in two cases, CC-00-1089 and CC-00-3137. Butler was sentenced to a term of five years in the state penitentiary in each case to run concurrently. In both cases, said sentence was suspended for five years on the condition that Butler serve on the Madison County Work Release Program until all court-ordered money is paid, all fines paid, the Victims’ Compensation Act assessment is paid, that he makes restitution to the injured police officer, and submit to DNA samples. Butler was already interim suspended under Rule 20 of the Alabama Rules of Disciplinary Procedure. On May 1, 2001 the Disciplinary Board, Panel V, entered an order decreeing that Butler was convicted of a “serious crime” under Rule 22 of the Alabama Rules of Disciplinary Procedure. On August 13, 2001, the Disciplinary Board, Panel V, entered an Order denying Butler’s July 30, 2001 motion to dismiss. The hearing was held as scheduled on August 20, 2001. Butler did not attend. [Rule 22(A), Pet. No. 01-01]

- The Supreme Court of Alabama entered an order based upon the decision of the Disciplinary Board, Panel V, disbarring Walter Jasper Price, Jr. from the practice of law in the State of Alabama, effective April 26, 1996, which is the date of his previous disbarment ordered by the supreme court on August 11, 1998. Price was found guilty of violating Rule 8.1(b), A.R.P.C. Price failed to respond to requests for information from the Office of General Counsel concerning a complaint that had been filed against him. [ASB No. 97-232A]

- The Alabama Supreme Court entered an order based upon the decision of the Disciplinary Board, Panel V, on September 5, 2001, that Gregory Dwayne Jones be disbarred from the practice of law in the state of Alabama effective retroactively from October 27, 1993, the effective date of Jones’s interim suspension from the practice of law. Jones’s conditional guilty plea and order of disbarment were based on the following:

  In ASB No. 94-167(A), Jones represented the complainant in a motor vehicle accident case. Jones settled the case on behalf of the complainant and paid the complainant her share of the proceeds with a personal check that was returned for non-sufficient funds. Jones pled guilty to violating Rule 8.4(g), A.R.P.C.
In ASB No. 95-050(A), Jones converted funds held in trust for the benefit of the complainant to his personal use. Jones pled guilty to violating Rule 8.4(g), A.R.P.C.

In ASB No. 92-332(A), Jones was paid to represent the complainant in a dispute with a former employer over non-payment of medical insurance premiums. Jones did nothing on behalf of his client and failed to refund the unused portion of her retainer. The Disciplinary Commission initially ordered that Jones receive a private reprimand and make restitution to the complainant. Jones failed to abide by the Disciplinary Commission’s order. Therefore, formal charges were filed against Jones for his misconduct. Jones pled guilty to violating Rules 1.3 and 1.16(d), A.R.P.C.

In ASB No. 93-271(A), Jones was paid to represent the complainant’s husband in a criminal appeal. Jones did no work in the matter, failed to communicate with the client and refused to refund the unearned retainer. Jones pled guilty to violating rules 1.3, 1.4(a) and 1.16(d), A.R.P.C.

In ASB No. 93-286(A), Jones was paid to represent the complainant in a wrongful discharge case. Jones did no work in the matter, failed to communicate with his client and refused to refund the unearned retainer. Jones pled guilty to violating rules 1.3, 1.4(a) and 1.16(d), A.R.P.C.

In ASB No. 93-493(A), Jones was paid to represent the complainant in a criminal matter. Jones had the case continued, but did no other work on the case, refused to communicate with the client and refused to refund the unearned retainer. Jones pled guilty to violating rules 1.3, 1.4(a) and 1.16(d), A.R.P.C. The Disciplinary Board ordered Jones to make restitution to the complainant in the amount of $3,000.

In ASB No. 93-498(A), Jones was retained by the complainants to represent them in a legal matter. Jones did no work in the matter and failed to communicate with the complainants regarding their case. Jones also failed to respond to requests for information or otherwise cooperate during the bar’s investigation of the matter. Jones pled guilty to violating rules 1.3 and 1.4(a), A.R.P.C.

In ASB No. 94-013(A), Jones was retained to represent the complainant in a legal matter. Jones did no work in the case, failed to communicate with the client regarding the matter and refused to refund the unearned retainer. Jones pled guilty to violating rules 1.3, 1.4(a) and 1.16(d), A.R.P.C. The Disciplinary Board ordered that Jones make restitution to the complainant in the amount of $5,000.

In ASB No. 94-043(A), Jones was retained to represent the complainant and accepted payment for the representation after he had been interimly suspended. Jones did not inform the complainant of his suspension, did not do any work in the matter, did not communicate with the complainant and did not refund the retainer. Jones pled guilty to violating rules 1.3, 1.4(a) and 1.16(d), A.R.P.C. [ASB nos. 93-271(A), 93-286(A), 92-532(A), 94-167(A), 95-050(A), 93-493(A), 93-498(A), 94-13(A), and 94-43(A)]

**Suspensions**

- On September 17, 2001, the Disciplinary Board, Panel V, issued an order accepting Montgomery attorney Paul Whiting Copeland’s conditional guilty plea. This order dissolved Copeland’s interim suspension upon acceptance of his plea. Copeland entered a plea to the first charge in the complaint filed against him. On April 26, 2001, the Disciplinary Commission of the Alabama State Bar interimly suspended him from the practice of law in the State of Alabama. The order of the Disciplinary Commission was based on a petition filed by the Office of General Counsel evidencing Copeland’s failure to comply with his stipulation agreement with the Alabama Lawyer Assistance Program. On March 9, 2000, Copeland signed a stipulation agreement with ALAP for alcohol abuse. This agreement called for the “immediate suspension” of Copeland’s law license if he failed to comply with any of the provisions of that agreement. Copeland violated the agreement on April 11, 2001 by testing positive for alcohol during a random urine analysis required by his agreement. Copeland has agreed to probation for two years with special conditions. [ASB No. 01-130(A)/Rule 20(a), Pet. No. 01-07]

- Effective October 18, 2001, Birmingham attorney Cecile R. Beasley has been suspended from the practice of law in Alabama for noncompliance with the 2000 Mandatory Continuing Legal Education requirements of the Alabama State Bar. [CLE 01-5]

- Effective October 8, 2001, Atlanta attorney Darin Wayne Collier has been suspended from the practice of law in Alabama for noncompliance with the 2000 Mandatory Continuing Legal Education requirements of the Alabama State Bar. [CLE 01-14]

- Effective October 8, 2001, Fort Payne attorney Steven George Nolles has been suspended from the practice of law in Alabama for noncompliance with the 2000 Mandatory Continuing Legal Education requirements of the Alabama State Bar. [CLE 01-16]

---

**CLE Opportunities**

The Alabama Mandatory CLE Commission continually evaluates and approves in-state, as well as nationwide, programs which are maintained in a computer database. All are identified by sponsor, location, date and specialty area. For a complete listing of current CLE opportunities or a calendar, contact the MCLE Commission office at (334) 269-1515, extension 117, 156 or 158, or you may view a complete listing of current programs at the state bar’s Web site, www.alabar.org.
Legal Education requirements of the Alabama State Bar. [CLE 01-35]

- Effective October 8, 2001, Miami attorney Barton Stuart Sacher has been suspended from the practice of law in Alabama for noncompliance with the 2000 Mandatory Continuing Legal Education requirements of the Alabama State Bar. [CLE 01-38]

- Effective October 8, 2001, New Orleans attorney Berney Leopold Strauss has been suspended from the practice of law in Alabama for noncompliance with the 2000 Mandatory Continuing Legal Education requirements of the Alabama State Bar. [CLE 01-45]

The Alabama Supreme Court entered an order based upon the decision of Panel IV of the Disciplinary Board on October 9, 2000, suspending Darryl Clarence Hardin from the practice of law in the state of Alabama for a period of three years and six months, effective March 27, 1997.

Hardin accepted employment from clients and thereafter failed or refused to take any action on behalf of the clients or provide any legal services whatsoever. Hardin failed or refused to return telephone calls or respond to written correspondence from clients or otherwise keep them informed as to the status of the representation. When clients filed complaints with the Alabama State Bar, Hardin failed or refused to respond to the complaints. Hardin pled guilty to having violated rules 1.1, 1.3, 1.4, and 8.4(g) in each case. Additionally, in ASB No. 92-339(A), Hardin pled guilty to a violation of Rule 1.15(b). In ASB No. 93-257(A), Hardin pled guilty to a violation of Rule 1.15(b). In ASB No. 93-257(A), Hardin pled guilty to a violation of rules 1.8(h), 8.1(b) and 8.4(c). And, in ASB nos. 93-347(A), 96-040(A), 96-105(A), 96-274(A), 96-345(A), 97-010(A), 97-129(A), 97-143(A), 97-155(A), and 97-156(A), Hardin pled guilty to violating Rule 8.1(b).

The Alabama Supreme Court entered an order based upon the decision of the Disciplinary Commission of the Alabama State Bar, suspending Lynette Kay Gayle-Williams from the practice of law in the state of Alabama for a period of one year, effective October 24, 2001. Gayle-Williams pled guilty to violating rules 8.4(a) (b) (c) (d) and (g), Alabama Rules of Professional Conduct. Gayle-Williams was arrested and charged with theft of property second degree. After her arrest, Williams contacted the owner of the property and arranged a meeting with him and pled with him to drop the criminal charges and allow her to make restitution. Gayle-Williams pled guilty to criminal trespass third degree, a violation of Section 13A-7-4, which is a violation punishable by not more than 30 days in jail. [ASB No. 00-153(A)]

Public Reprimand

- On October 26, 2001, Andalusia attorney James Harvey Tipler received a public reprimand without general publication. Tipler represented Donna Morgan in a personal injury case. During the representation, Tipler had the client go to the Diagnostic Imaging Center of Northwest Florida for an MRI. On August 29, 1996, she signed a lien form given to her at the Center. Tipler subsequently received a judgment in the amount of $25,000. On November 9, 1996, Tipler disbursed proceeds to Ms. Morgan and certain medical providers. Tipler did not pay the Center's outstanding bill of $1,345 because he did not receive the lien form from them until December 2, 1996. Tipler signed the lien form and returned it, thereby acknowledging receipt and agreeing to protect the Center's interest. The Center began calling Ms. Morgan about payment and she finally agreed to pay them $100 per month. She did not make any payments and Tipler sent her a letter on February 12, 1998 requesting that she either pay the bill in full or begin making payments. Ms. Morgan refused to communicate any further about the matter. Tipler filed suit against her on behalf of the Center in the District Court of Covington County. The case was tried and a judgment was entered against Ms. Morgan for $1,345 on January 11, 1999. The Disciplinary Commission found Tipler's actions constituted a violation of Rule 1.9 [conflict of interest] of the Rules of Professional Conduct. [ASB No. 00-233(A)]
The Birmingham Bar Association is pleased to announce the MCLE Commission accreditation of its online CLE program. With the BBA University, taking CLE courses just stepped into the 21st century. Now approved for Alabama MCLE credit, it’s your gateway to 16 national courses that you can take 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, on your schedule and at your leisure. Courses include evidence, commercial law, IP, and other substantive legal topics, and what’s even better, each course takes only about an hour to complete. What are you waiting for? Check out BBA University at www.birminghambar.org
RATES
Members: Two free listings of 50 words or less per bar member per calendar year EXCEPT for "position wanted" or "position offer" listings—$35 per insertion of 50 words or less, $.50 per additional word;
Nonmembers: $35 per insertion of 50 words or less, $.50 per additional word. Classified copy and payment must be received according to the following publishing schedule:
Send classified copy and payment, payable to The Alabama Lawyer, to: Alabama Lawyer Classifieds, c/o Shannon Elliott, P.O. Box 4156, Montgomery, Alabama 36101.

Services

- ENGINEERING/CONSTRUCTION EXPERTS: Drainage, structural, mechanical, roofing, electrical, process chemical, BFS (stucco), mold and mildew, HVAC; for residential housing, industrial and chemical facilities, pipelines, compressor stations, commercial buildings, and port structures. Provide expert construction claims and dispute analysis. Provide computer animation of structural behavior under loads. Experienced testifying experts with licenses and credentials. Company engineering and contractor licenses in Alabama and Louisiana. Contact Hal K. Cain, Mobile.
  Phone (334) 861-2665, E-mail: hkcain@bkain.com. Web site: www.hkcain.com.


- SECURITIES AND TRUST INVESTMENT EXPERT: Twenty-five years' investment experience. Former vice-president/portfolio manager Chase Manhattan, SunTrust Banks, United Jersery Banks. Chartered financial analyst. Cum laude Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania. B.S., economics; dual major, economics/finance. Registered investment advisor. Steven Stern, CFA, P.O. Box 310157, Miami, Florida, 33231. Call (305) 374-8493 (voice), (305) 374-8494 (fax), or e-mail: SternInvest@aol.com.

- STATISTICS, DATA ANALYSIS, SOFTWARE EXPERT: Litigation support on matters involving analysis of large sets of data and information systems practices. Custom programming also available. We use the SAS system and other products. Consultants with years of experience in finance, manufacturing, pharmaceuticals, travel, credit. Call Heidi Markovitz, CDP at (305) 365-0439 or visit our Web site: www.Simply-Systems.com. Simply Systems, 161 Crandon Boulevard, Suite 325, Key Biscayne, Florida, 33149.

- DOCUMENT EXAMINER: Examination of questioned documents. Certified forensic handwriting and document examiner. Thirty-five years' experience in all forensic document problems. Formerly, chief questioned document analyst, USA Criminal Investigation Laboratories. Diplomate (certified) ABFDE. Member: ASQDE; IAI; SADFE; NACDL. Resume and fee schedule upon request. Hans Mayer Gideon, 218 Merrymont Drive, Augusta, Georgia, 30907. Phone (706) 860-4267.

- TRAFFIC ACCIDENT RECONSTRUCTIONIST: This engineer has reconstructed over 3,000 accidents in 23 states on highways, streets, railroads and highway construction zones involving trucks, vans, cars, pedestrians, trains, and farm implements. Computer-generated drawings are prepared to illustrate his opinions. Over 46 years' engineering experience. Registered professional engineer and full board certification by ACTAR. Contact John T. Bates, P.E. Toll-free (800) 299-5950.

- INSURANCE EXPERT WITNESS: Fee-only expert witness. Twenty years' experience in risk management insurance consulting. Pre-filing evaluation, deposition and trial. Policy coverage, captives, excess, deductibles, self-insurance, agency operations, direct writers, property loss preparation. Member S.R.M.C. Contact Douglas F. Miller, Employers' Risk Management. Phone (205) 995-0002. Birmingham or WATS (800) 462-5602.

- HIGHWAY SAFETY AND TRAFFIC ENGINEERING: Highway safety and traffic engineering in the roadway environment, construction work zones, roadway hazards, roadway design. RR grade crossings, traffic controls, highway engineering safety standards, accident reconstruction, driver reactions and expectations. GE Lohr, PE, Box 12339, Charleston, South Carolina, 29422-2339. Phone (843) 795-7218. E-mail: lohrg@msn.com.

- AUTO INJURY REVIEW: Board-certified medical specialists in Alabama for review of medical records relating to motor vehicle accidents. For defendant. Prompt turn-around. Available for expert testimony. Phone (256) 539-1211. Fax (256) 529-4007. E-mail: ehlind@hiway.net.

- FORENSIC DOCUMENT EXAMINATIONS: Seventeen years' forensic document examinations, 27 years' total forensic experience. Retired senior documents examiner and discipline coordinator, Alabama Department of Forensic Sciences. Member, Questioned Document Section—American Academy of Forensic Sciences; Southeastern Association of Forensic Document Examiners; Southern Association of Forensic Scientists; Alabama State Association of Forensic Sciences (past president). Contact Richard A. Roper, Ph.D., 7956 Vaughn Road, #141, Montgomery 36116. Phone (334) 260-2552. Fax (334) 260-7929. E-mail: richroper@aol.com.

- REGISTERED FORESTER: Forty-one years' experience in private, industrial and federal forest management and practices. Specializing in timber trespass evaluations and acquisition appraisals. Elwyn A. Spence, Anniston. Phone (256) 237-4309.

- HANDWRITING EXPERT/FORENSIC DOCUMENT EXAMINER: ABFDE certified. Formerly Chief, Questioned Documents Division, U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Laboratory. American

- PHYSICIAN TEAM: Will provide free consultation to public defenders on criminal cases involving substantial medical evidence. Willing to assist with case review, medical research and defense lawyer preparation for trial. Contact us at: md_review@hotmail.com.

- CONSULTING ENGINEER/EXPERT WITNESS: Professional engineer with 24 years of industrial, construction, safety, machinery, and pulp & paper experience. I am a "hands-on" engineer with an extremely strong mechanical aptitude. I worked as a technician and mechanic before getting my degree in engineering. I have superb troubleshooting abilities, with a thorough knowledge and understanding of machinery, industrial accidents, OSHA, building codes, automobile accidents, product liability and defense. Robert T. Tolbert, P.E. Phone (205) 856-9922. Fax (205) 853-4353.

- MEDICAL LEGAL CONSULTANT: Medical legal consultant for case analysis, impairment ratings, expert witness, over 25 years' experience in neuromuscular skeletal conditions, accidents, workers' compensation, personal injury, and chiropractic malpractice. Available for evaluations in several Birmingham locations. Please contact: Consulting Services, Dr. John E. Cabral, at (770) 736-0000. Fax (770) 736-1199. Birmingham phone (205) 410-2151. E-mail: jec917@aol.com.

For Sale
- LAW BOOKS: The first 40 years of The Alabama Lawyer (1940-79), vol. 1-40, complete, and the first 25 years of the Alabama Law Review (1948-74), vol. 1-26, complete, plus more of each. These unbound volumes are scarce and hard to find. Will sell at a reasonable price. If interested call (334) 272-1586.

For Lease
- OFFICE SPACE: 350 Adams Avenue, Montgomery. Large five-room suite w/ small kitchen. Approx. 1,400 sq. ft., one block from county courthouse, utilities and parking included. Phone (334) 264-6401.

Create complex legal documents in minutes.
Cuts document drafting time 75-85%

"If anything approaches ‘law office in a box,’ this is it.”
Leader’s Legal Tech Newsletter

State-Specific DL Drafting Libraries
- Wills
- Inter Vivos Trusts
- Separation Agreements
- House Sales
- Condo Sales
- Commercial Real Estate Contracts
- Office Lease Riders
- Store Lease Riders
- Net Leases
- Mortgages/Deeds of Trusts
- Security Agreements
- Limited Partnerships
- Business Sales
- Shareholders Agreements
- Corporate Kita
- Limited Liability
- Premarital Agreement
- Management Agreements

*250 per library/per state

www.bloomberg.com

Do You Have More Work Than Time?
If you don’t have the time necessary to research your opponent’s arguments or write your brief, then
I CAN HELP!

As an attorney with eighteen years of experience in research and writing I have the time necessary for the sustained, uninterrupted research so often needed to win a case. When your case is fully researched you can represent your client with more confidence and be better prepared in court. I am available for short research questions or lengthier briefs. My rate is $35.00 per hour.

Katherine S. Weed
P.O. Box 590104
Birmingham, AL 35229
(205) 941-1496
Ksweed@aol.com

No representation is made that the quality of the legal services to be performed is greater than the legal services performed by other lawyers.
• BIRMINGHAM OFFICE SPACE—SOUTH-SIDE: Nice furnished office space in SouthSide with free parking. Phone-secretary available, utilities furnished, library and conference room available, copier, fax reception. Located at 2153—14th Avenue South-Highland Area. Phone (205) 939-1327.

• FIVE POINTS SOUTH LAW OFFICE: Office space available in a newly remodeled office building located at 1117 22nd Street, South.

Situated within ten minutes from the courthouse, our rental units offer such ancillary services such as conference room, receptionist, telephone w/voice mail, fax machine, copier, and high-speed DSL Internet connection. "Virtual" office is also available. Spaces available range from 200 to 3,000 sq. feet. These services are provided at one flat rate. Please call Tom Plouff, esq., at 539-0000.

Position Offered

• ATTORNEY POSITION. Attorney position available in Montgomery law firm. Three to five years' experience preferred, but not required. Send confidential application to P.O. Box 230254, Montgomery 36123.

PUBLIC LAW OFFICE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

April 12-13, 2002  San Antonio

The American Bar Association's Government and Public Sector Lawyers Division, with the co-sponsorship of the Alabama State Bar, presents the Public Law Office Management Conference April 12th and 13th, 2002 in San Antonio.

The ABA's Government and Public Sector Lawyers Division, with the co-sponsorship of the Alabama State Bar, will present this day-and-a-half conference to provide a forum for public lawyers from across the nation and from a wide variety of public law offices to meet and share ideas for running a successful office. This is a great opportunity to learn what your public lawyer colleagues are doing to meet the requirements of running an efficient and cost-effective public law office. Topics to be covered include:

★ Establishing an Effective Legal Team;
★ Technology in the Public Law Office;
★ Hiring and Firing Legally;
★ Information for Clients and the Public;
★ Services Provided by the Office; and
★ Dealing with the Media

There are lots of other reasons why San Antonio is a great destination, from the historic Alamo, to the marvelous shops at La Villita, to the best Tex-Mex food in the Lone Star State.

Members of the Alabama State Bar will receive a discount on the registration fee. For more information or materials, contact Theona Salmon at (202) 662-1023 or salmont@staff.abanet.org.
Meeting Needs. Exceeding Expectations.

Your clients didn’t retain “just any attorney” to represent their legal interests.

Don’t retain “just any valuation firm” to advise and assist you.

In court or out, business transactions or estate planning, you should always be armed with a reliable business valuation for your client.

For swift response and strict adherence to the profession’s highest standards, rely on Dixon Odom’s Business Valuation and Litigation Services Team.

We are a nationally recognized accounting, valuation and consulting firm with the strongest credentialed professionals in Alabama.
Productive, secure collaboration for legal professionals.

West WorkSpace™ is an extranet - a secure online environment where you, your clients, co-counsel, and other parties can meet and collaborate. West WorkSpace is designed exclusively for law firms, equipped with powerful practice tools that support communication, help you find, use and share information, and maintain rich archives of completed documents. Replace expensive paper-based collaboration with a truly productive Web-based tool.

Learn more and try our online demo at: workspace.findlaw.com
Or call a West Group representative at: 1-800-762-5272

West WorkSpace™

© 2001 West Group W-1000118-01 Trademarks shown are used under license.